JUN 2 4 1986

Y 1.1/8: 99-648

99TH CONGRESS
2d Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT
99-648

H 182 ORIGINAL

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATION BILL, 1987

COMPLETED

JUNE 19, 1986.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. HEFNER, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 5052]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for military construction and family housing for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1987.

CONTENTS

	Page
Basis for recommendations	2
Hearings	3
Conformance with authorization bill	3
Degree of U.S. commitment overseas	3
European construction program	4
NATO infrastructure	5
Recoupment of prefinanced funds	5
Increased participation by U.S. contractors	5
Persian Gulf	7
Pacific construction program	7
Caribbean Basin	8
Stimulating U.S. business overseas	9
Pacific area	9
Architect and engineering contracts	9
Packaging of contracts	10
Financial management	10
Quality of life	12
Unaccompanied personnel housing	12
Child care centers	12
Medical program	12
Alternate construction methods	13
Planning and design	14

61-291 O

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

	Page
Energy	14
Minor construction	15
Army light infantry divisions	17
Navy Strategic Homeporting	18
Washington Navy Yard	19
Strategic defense initiative construction	19
Program, project, and activity	20
Honduras—land claim	20
Individual appropriations:	
Army	20
Navy and Marine Corps	24
Air Force	30
Defense agencies	37
Reserve components	42
Family housing	44
Overview	44
Army	50
Navy and Marine Corps	52
Air Force	53
Defense agencies	55
Homeowners assistance funds	56
Changes in application of existing law	56
Transfer of funds	56
Inflationary impact statement	56
Comparison with budget resolution	56
Five-year protection of outlays	57
Assistance to State and local governments	57
State list	58

Basis for Committee Recommendations

The Committee has recommended appropriations totaling $8,104,101,000 for fiscal year 1987 military construction and family housing. This is a reduction of $2,033,099,000 below the Department of Defense request of $10,137,200,000. The Committee's recommendations on the fiscal year 1987 military construction program reflect a similar level of funding to what Congress provided in fiscal year 1986 after sequestration. The fiscal year 1987 request is a 25% increase over the amount provided in fiscal year 1986, making construction once again one of the areas of biggest growth in the overall defense budget.

As a result of the overall direction of the Congress to reduce the defense budget, the authorization reduced the administration's request by $1.6 billion. Their action reflects the deferral of several major new initiatives such as the construction to support the Army's light infantry division in Alaska, the Navy's homeporting of ships in Everett, Washington, a new Navy support complex in Naples, Italy and all funds requested for bases in the Philippines. The Committee takes exception to some of these reductions, and has provided funds in this bill for some of these locations.

The Committee feels, however, that the overall level recommended for military construction is sufficient and will substantially improve our overall readiness posture. Defense "requirements" should be judged on the basis of what level of security is provided, rather than the artificial benchmark of how much has been spent in the past. The level recommended is over twice what was provided for military construction in 1980 and thus demonstrates a substantial growth in defense capabilities which are derived from construction. The level recommended provides for the highest priority

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

military construction requirements, and will substantially improve both readiness and the quality of life in the military.

The adjustments to the budget request fall into the following categories:

(1) Deletion and deferral of numerous projects failing authorization. (Reduction $1.5 billion)

(2) Elimination of overseas projects that are considered no longer necessary or at installations of marginal benefit. (Estimated savings $45 million)

(3) Reduction in scope and price to high cost facilities and packaging of construction contracts. (Estimated savings $100 million)

(4) Elimination of projects that can or should be funded through NATO or host nation support. (Estimated savings $100 million)

(5) Elimination of projects of low operational utility ($125 million)

(6) Reduction of high cost family housing projects and limitation of family housing operations and maintenance accounts to provide slight increases over last year's bill. (Estimated savings $190 million)

HEARINGS

During the review of the fiscal year 1987 budget request, the Military Construction Subcommittee conducted 12 different hearings on individual functional areas of the program. These covered 11 days of hearings and are contained in 5 volumes of printed hearings and additional classified transcript and information retained in Committee files.

CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

The House Armed Services Committee will report H.R. 4181, the legislation containing authorization for most of the military construction projects included in this bill. Because Senate and conference action on the authorization had not been completed at the time this bill was prepared, the Committee considered projects recommended for authorization in H.R. 4181. Several projects have been included which are approved subject to authorization.

DEGREE OF U.S. COMMITMENT OVERSEAS

In the Committee's view, the increased economic and military strength of our European allies has led to a gradual diminishing perception of the Soviet threat on their part.

The North Atlantic Treaty Organization was created 40 years ago at a time when the United States was dominant both militarily and economically, and our European allies were just beginning to recover from World War II. As the European countries have recovered their strength, their ability and willingness to dissociate themselves with the U.S. has grown. Today, as a result of recent opposition on the part of some of our European allies to U.S. actions against third world countries, our ability to use U.S. forces deployed in these countries is in question. The result is that a sub-

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

stantial portion of U.S. military forces are stationed where host governments may only permit their use against the least likely threat—a Soviet invasion of western Europe. The Committee therefore questions whether current U.S. deployment levels to NATO countries fit strategic as well as political realities.

There is no doubt that our allies need to assume a greater role in the defense of Europe. This would free up U.S. assets for potential commitments elsewhere and perhaps strengthen the alliance by forcing the creation of a European identity within NATO. It would also allow for healthy disagreements on policies affecting non-NATO countries without the potential hindrance of tying up major elements of U.S. military units.

The Committee has therefore deferred the funding of several new initiatives in Europe. All funds requested at several low priority installations have also been denied in conjunction with last year's initiative on base closures overseas. The Department is to consider and present a plan which explores a realignment of U.S. force structure. This reassessment should address the feasibility of lessening the number of U.S. forces and assets permanently stationed in NATO countries. The Committee is not suggesting a lessening of the U.S. commitment to the alliance or the defense of Europe. The goal of this study should be to explore ways to reduce actual deployment levels while maintaining our commitments to the alliance in a crisis. The Department should respond to this directive by February 28, 1987.

European Construction Program

Most of the U.S. bases and installations overseas are located in the European theater, with the majority of them being in the Federal Republic of Germany. Germany accounts for 759 of the Army's 822 installations in Europe and they are grouped in a total of 40 military communities.

Several developments in Germany have evolved over the years that have made it difficult for the U.S. European Command to appreciably reduce or consolidate its installation structure. In addition, it has become more difficult for the U.S. command to conduct its planned training and operations from existing installations.

There are two principle developments which have been most troublesome in our effort to consolidate bases in Germany. First, there has been a huge increase in environmental awareness among the German population, which is no longer willing to accept degradation of the environment as the price for progress or as the unavoidable result of defense-related activities. Secondly, there has been a major change in the political attitudes and composition among the German public. Difficulties arise when any major consolidation is attempted that involves the expansion of an installation.

Opposition to the Army's construction and facility operations is particularly strong in the German State of Hessen. Current and planned projects are at times frustrated or delayed due to political influence.

The Committee is deeply concerned about the developments in Germany. The Department has stated that consideration of closure

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

or consolidation is under constant review. Therefore, the Department is directed to report by January 30, 1987, any progress toward achieving consolidations or base closures. In view of the uncertainty with regard to executing planned and budgeted projects, the Committee has recommended a general reduction of $10 million based on the assumption that some projects will have to be cancelled because of local opposition.

NATO Infrastructure Program

The NATO Infrastructure program is a 30-year-old plan in which each member of NATO contributes toward a central fund that is used for construction projects throughout the alliance. The United States contributes 27 percent of the funding, but because of the large number of installations and activities used by United States personnel, it is estimated that return on our capital investment outweighs our contribution. In this sense, the NATO program has been a positive contributor to the U.S. presence in Europe.

The Committee is extremely pleased with the expanded five year Infrastructure program. The amount to be spent will nearly double the current size of the program. It is hoped that this will result in the expansion of the NATO criteria and thereby reduce the amount of U.S. unilaterally funded construction. The Department should be exploring areas where expansion of eligibility criteria will lessen our requirements and pursuing these proposals with our allies. The Committee expects a report on efforts to expand eligibility criteria at the time of next year's hearings.

The Committee has recommended funding the entire request of $247 million for this account. The Committee has also approved a change in the bill language which allows for expenditures from this account for equipment and other related activities.

RECOUPMENT OF PREFINANCED FUNDS

The United States, over the past ten years, has prefinanced NATO projects with the understanding that this funding would be recouped on a timely basis. However, more than $200,000,000 in prefinanced projects has not been recouped. In addition, the Department has still not programmed $150,000,000 owed to the United States for prefinanced aircraft shelters. At a minimum, the Department should immediately file for this recoupment.

With the expanded size of the NATO Infrastructure program the Committee expects that all outstanding recoupments will be sought and obtained in the next five years. No future prefinancing should be sought until the Department programs existing recoupments for payment. The Department is to continue to keep the Committee advised on efforts to obtain these recoupments.

INCREASED PARTICIPATION BY U.S. CONTRACTORS

Although the United States is expending about $240,000,000 million this year in the NATO Infrastructure program, few U.S. companies benefit from this expenditure. For the past several years the Committee has directed the implementation of new procedures to improve the chances of successful American contractor participa-

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

tion in this program. These procedures included the appointment of an ombudsman, announcement of all large Infrastructure projects in the Commerce Business Daily, and the packaging of construction contracts. Although U.S. companies continue to compete successfully for NATO Infrastructure funded projects in those categories that call for procurement of technical equipment and/or services, there has been no success in obtaining bids on normal construction contracts.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Department to:

(1) Continue to explore the packaging of construction work at locations where there is some potential that U.S. companies will bid;

(2) Raise the project threshold for advertisement in the Commerce Business Daily from $5 million to $15 million; and

(3) Continue compliance with the existing policy of requiring notification on the waiver of international competitive bidding on all projects exceeding $15 million.

Last year the Committee directed the U.S. mission to NATO to seek changes in the NATO procurement regulations which would have required that (1) all companies bidding subcontract work over $1,000,000 to a prime contractor bidding NATO work be identified by the prime contractors bid documents and (2) the selected prime contractor could not substitute any subcontractor identified in his bid without the unanimous approval of the NATO countries.

This policy was created to attempt to protect U.S. subcontractors from unfair "bid shopping" practices. The Department. however, failed to implement this directive, and indicated that it was not desirable since "there is no requirement either in U.S. law or the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) for subcontractors to be named in bid proposals from perspective prime contractors. Nor do we believe it appropriate to have such a requirement."

Subsequent to that response the U.S. sought and obtained permission from NATO on their intent to prefinance the Weapons Storage and Security Systems. The U.S. also sought and obtained a waiver of international competitive bidding of this $220 million program on the condition that:

(1) The IFB require that each U.S. prime contractor list subcontractors, who may not be replaced after contract award; and

(2) The IFB require the prime contractor to subcontract the installation of vaults to firms in the nation involved.

In the Committee's view, if the U.S. is willing to accept these conditions on procurement, our allies should be willing to reciprocate. In any case, the U.S. mission should take the lead in protecting U.S. subcontractors in NATO procurements. The Committee directs that further attempts be made to implement these changes in regulation. The Committee's willingness to prefinance the construction portion of the Weapons Storage and Security Systems will be affected by the sincerity of the Department's actions to ensure protection of U.S. subcontractors from unfair bidding practices.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Persian Gulf/Indian Ocean

A total of $1.1 billion has been provided by the United States in the last 6 years for the development of facilities in Diego Garcia, Kenya, Somalia, Oman, Portugal and Morocco. The completion of these facilities and other projects under construction will result in U.S. access to seven separate airfields and three ports in the immediate Persian Gulf area, as well as providing a capability for air and sea support from Diego Garcia, Morocco and Lajes, Portugal. Funds appropriated in fiscal year 1986 represented completion of all major requirements in the region. Therefore, the Committee views the Persian Gulf facility program as virtually complete in that no new major projects or sites are envisioned.

The details in terms of investments to date are shown below:

PERSIAN GULF/INDIAN OCEAN

[In millions of dollars]

Country/project/service	Funded to date
Diego Garcia:	
Facilities expansion (Navy)	$442.9
Facilities expansion (Air Force)	217.5
Kenya: Facilities expansion (Navy)	57.9
Morocco: Facilities expansion (Air Force)	30.0
Oman: Facilities expansion (Air Force)	255.2
Portugal: Lajes Field (Air Force)	70 8
Somalia: Facilities expansion (Navy)	54.0
Total	1,128.3

Pacific Construction Program

PHILIPPINES

The Committee recognizes the critical importance of the United States military facilities in the Philippines. No other location in the western Pacific is better suited to support U.S. commitments in northeast Asia, southeast Asia and the Indian Ocean region. The Committee recognizes that any relocation of the facilities at Subic Bay and Clark AFB would be severe. Any relocation to other parts of the western Pacific would not only inhibit the U.S. commitment but would also require investments and costs in the tens of billions of dollars. While other locations exist, they are not considered viable alternatives.

The House Armed Services subcommittee for Facilities and Installations has chosen to defer authorization of military construction requests of $73 million for fiscal year 1987 for both Subic Bay and Clark AFB pending stabilization of the political situation and decisions on continued use of the bases. The Committee does not consider the deferral of funds at this time to be in the best interest of the United States. The United States is committed to remain in the Philippines at least until 1991 and negotiations will be resuming with the Philippines government to extend, beyond 1991, the agreement involving the bases. It is believed that denial or deferral of funds could send the wrong signal to the Aquino government.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

The Committee believes that many of the projects recommended are necessary projects in order to allow the United States to continue to perform its mission in that region. The Committee therefore has funded $41 million of the $73 million requested subject to authorization.

ACCOMPANIED TOURS IN KOREA

The Army has indicated that the U.S. command in Korea has asked for authority to increase the accompanied tours in Korea, but that the scope has not yet been defined. The Air Force, however, has adopted a policy of expanding the number of accompanied tours but is now rethinking a time-phasing of that policy. The Committee directs the Department to submit a report outlining the current planning for accompanied tours in Korea. The report should address the cost of increasing accompanied tours.

CARIBBEAN BASIN

Since mid-1983 the United States has conducted a series of major and minor exercises in Honduras of unprecedented size and duration. These exercises, which are an integral part of the administration's plan to counter Soviet/Cuban presence in the region are currently planned to continue through at least 1991. The Congress has approved a total of $21 million in military construction funds since 1983 for the upgrade of two airfields in Honduras. In addition, however, at least $6.5 million in O&M funded construction in support of the continuous presence of U.S. personnel has taken place. The rationale used by the Department to justify the use of O&M funds for construction in Honduras has always been that (1) it was temporary (2) it was done as part of an exercise with troop labor and (3) the cost of any one project did not exceed the statutory limit on O&M funded construction projects of $200,000. Construction has occurred in fiscal year 1986 at Palmerola AB, despite the specific objections of both the Subcommittees on Defense and Military Construction, which violates all three of these principles. The Committee does not dispute the need for the specific projects, however, use of O&M funds for them was improper. GAO in its most recent update on this issue concurs that certain expenditures were improper and recommends the establishment of a separate account for exercise related construction.

Last year the Committee cited specific examples to support the contention that the U.S. presence in Honduras was not "temporary", but "planned for continuation into the forseeable future." The elements contained in the updated long term construction plan for the Caribbean Basin leave no doubt of this fact. The plan indicates that $30 million will be spent on various facilities in Honduras in the next five years to support our temporary presence. Of this amount $27 million is identified for facility improvements at Palmerola AB, where 800 to 1,600 U.S. personnel have been deployed since 1983. The $4.9 million requested in fiscal year 1987 in support of the Cedar Deck program is the first increment of these funds. The Department is also currently spending $3 million a year for a base operations contract at Palmerola AB, and $950,000 a year to rent vehicles at that location.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

The Committee has established procedures and guidelines for an exercise related construction account which is outlined in the "Minor Construction" section of this report. However, the sanitation, electrical and other health safety problems that are the inevitable result of continuous use of temporary facilities have continued to worsen. This Committee will support construction projects that are necessary to properly house and feed our personnel, as long as their mission and length of presence is clarified. Since the $30 million in facility improvements envisioned for Honduras is clearly not all exercise related, military construction projects should be requested to meet these needs.

Stimulating U.S. Business Overseas

The Congress recommended in fiscal year 1982 that American contractors be given a preference in the award of construction contracts undertaken in the Persian Gulf/Indian Ocean area. This was done to stimulate the use of U.S. firms in the area and to assure the regional availability of companies that are responsive to United States interests and requirements.

Every indication is that this program has been successful. Awards have been made within the amounts appropriated and U.S. contractor presence in the region generally increased. The Committee expects this program to continue in its present form.

Last year the Committee directed that all military construction projects over $5,000,000 be advertised in the Commerce Business Daily. This was done to enable U.S. firms to be informed of construction opportunities overseas in a timely fashion. The Committee has raised this threshold to $15,000,000.

PACIFIC AREA

The Congress, in the fiscal year 1984 supplemental appropriations bill, implemented an American preference policy in the American territories of the Pacific, and on Kwajalein and Johnston Islands. This provision, which was also included in the fiscal year 1985 military construction appropriations bill required that all construction contracts exceeding $5,000,000 in these areas be awarded to U.S. firms, provided that the lowest responsive U.S. bidder was within twenty percent of the low bid. This year the Committee has recommended the continuance of this policy, but has retained the threshold on projects which the policy affects at $1,000,000. The Committee also expects the Department to continue to explore methods of implementing U.S. contractor participation in military construction in Japan and Korea.

ARCHITECT AND ENGINEERING CONTRACTS

In fiscal year 1986, the Committee included a provision which restricted architect and engineering contracts in Japan and NATO countries over $1,000,000 to U.S. firms or U.S. firms in joint venture with host nation firms. In an attempt to widen the effect of this policy the threshold has been lowered from $1,000,000 to $500,000.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

PACKAGING OF CONTRACTS OVERSEAS

Last year the Committee directed the packaging of construction contracts at two overseas installations in order to provide an incentive for U.S. firms to bid on the work. The packaging of all construction work at a given base into one contract increases the potential that U.S. firms can be competitive. The Committee feels this policy should continue and therefore directs that all construction projects in fiscal year 1987, at the specific bases listed below be packaged into one contract. The Department will seek the appropriate clearances from affected host nations. Each service is to select one base from the following list for this initiative and report on all the aspects of implementation by January 31, 1987.

Army

Baumholder, Germany.
Vilseck, Germany.
Camp Humphreys, Korea.

Air Force

Hahn AB, Germany.
Osan AB, Korea.
RAF Alconbury, United Kingdom.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

The Committee applauds the progress made during the past year toward better management of construction funds. The Committee directs that all the new financial management policies adopted last year are to continue in effect in fiscal year 1987. These include:

1. Limitations on cross year split funding authority;
2. Clarifying the use of expired funds;
3. Establishing a threshold of 20 percent or $1,000,000, whichever, is less, for purposes of reprogramming.

PLANNING AND DESIGN

The Department has apparently interpreted the Committee's desire to limit split funding as being applicable to planning and design. The Committee recognizes that planning and design is a level of effort activity that is appropriated in a lump sum. It is not the intention of the Committee that the limitation on split funding apply to planning and design.

USE OF EXPIRED FUNDS

The Committee, last year, attempted to clarify the use of expired funds in order to achieve consistency among the services. In essence the Committee allowed expired funds to be used for such things as claims, foreign currency fluctuations and other valid upward adjustments, but they could not be used for any change that was not in the original scope of the contract. The Committee also emphasizes that the expired funds could also be used for Supervision, Inspection and Overhead (SIOH) associated with completion of the project after five years.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

REPROGRAMMING CRITERIA

For military construction and family housing construction projects the reprogramming criteria are planned expenditures on any project in which the new cost estimate exceeds the old by 20% or $1,000,000, whichever is less. These criteria also apply to minor military construction projects. The Committee as in the past expects that all reprogramming requests will be received from the Comptroller of the Department of Defense and approval in writing from the Committee must be received for each item requested before the request can be considered approved. The Committee also directs that the procedures established in fiscal year 1986 regarding the source of funds for reprogramming, the family housing improvements, operations and maintenance and leasing accounts, funding of new projects via reprogramming, the submission of audit trail documents, and the restrictions on the use of energy conservation projects as a source of funds for reprogramming continue in effect in fiscal year 1987.

RENOVATION OF FACILITIES—MINOR CONSTRUCTION

The Committee is opposed to the requested new authority on renovation of facilities (Sec. 2810) in this year's authorization request. In the Committee's view, it allows too much flexibility in the use of operation and maintenance funds. The Committee also opposes the increase in the limitation on minor construction as outlined in that section of this report. The Committee is also aware of an effort to lump all projects under $2,000,000 into one large account with unlimited flexibility. The basis of these efforts is the contention that it takes too long to obtain construction funding for specific projects. The Committee reminds the Department that Congressional review and action on specific budgets takes nine months in most cases. Any further delay is the result of the internal budget procedures of the Department. If there is a genuine problem, the internal budgetary procedures of the Department should be altered. To seek a lessening of Congressional oversight as a result of an internal Departmental problem is detrimental to the process. The Committee directs that the line item integrity of the fiscal year 1987 program as shown in the State list accompanying this report be maintained for purposes of reprogramming and execution. Also the Committee will not accept huge lump sum requests for minor construction in future years.

FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION FUND

The Committee has approved the request to establish a Foreign Currency Fluctuation Fund. The Department is to submit a biannual report specifying the extent of all transfers to and from this account. This report should clearly specify the extent of increases to existing thresholds and limitations. The Committee expects that every effort will be made to use existing funds for the operation of this account. This authority has been granted on a one year trial basis.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

QUALITY OF LIFE

Improving the quality of living and working conditions in the military is an essential factor in the improvement of overall military readiness. It is important to provide the necessary facilities and amenities for individuals in the Services and their families in order to create an environment that is conducive to professional and productive work.

The Committee has made a determined effort to fund quality of life projects that were requested, authorized and fully justified. It appears that some projects of marginal value have been requested in the name of quality of life. The Department has a responsibility to fully review projects to assure that new facilities are actually improving quality of life in the military.

The testimony presented indicates that military construction programs that address quality of life issues have a tremendous payoff in terms of both retention and improved readiness. The backlog in housing and working facilities is staggering, but the fiscal year 1987 request represents a significant step forward in decreasing quality of life deficiencies.

UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING

The Committee notes that the Defense Department's fiscal year 1987 request of 34,000 spaces for new and upgraded troop housing is estimated to cost about $700 million. This is slightly below last year's level.

The Committee continues to urge the Department to continue programming of new barracks spaces in order to reduce the deficiency which is estimated to be about 250,000 spaces.

CHILD CARE CENTERS

The Department of Defense currently operates 554 child care centers on about 400 installations worldwide. In terms of corporate or institutional child care providers, the Department's program is the largest in the United States.

The Committee has continued its policy of recommending funding of child care centers at military installations. The Committee feels that construction of facilities for child care programs is a positive contribution to the qualify of life in the military and therefore has recommended funding for all authorized centers.

The Committee has continually stressed that the Department is to follow the guidance contained in the Committee's 1982 report which stated that "The Department must determine that charges to users will substantially cover the operation of the facility program." A report submitted this year indicated that user fees constituted about 68 percent of the operating costs. The Committee has no objection to the user fee rate and directs the Department to once again submit a report by January 31, 1987, comparing the operating costs with the user fees.

MEDICAL PROGRAM

In 1986 the Blue Ribbon Panel on sizing DOD Medical Treatment facilities released their report on military health service systems.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

The Committee endorsed the recommendations of the panel and reinforced their implementation in accordance with the timetable prescribed in the report. The Committee has approved most of the authorized funds requested for hospitals and clinics and has accepted the Department's recommendations on their sizing. The Committee expects that all future requests for hospitals will be the result of close scrutiny by the Department with regard to their sizing.

Last year Congress approved $31.5 million for mobilization hospitals overseas on the condition that (1) OSD certify that they were consistent with a coordinated plan for contingency medical facilities and (2) that a worldwide plan for medical mobilization and contingency facilities, which emphasized increased host nation support and the maximum use of existing facilities, was submitted. The Department submitted such a plan for the Pacific theater and the funds for that area were released. The plan for the European theater has not been submitted and funds approved in fiscal year 1986 for facilities in that area are still not to be obligated. The Committee has also not recommended approving contingency medical facilities requested in fiscal year 1987 for the European theater because of the delay in the submission of this plan.

For years the Committee has urged increased cooperation and the obtaining of sharing agreements with the Veterans Administration on use of medical facilities. The Committee is disappointed that in one location funds for a new hospital were requested, and were later found to be unnecessary due to existing unused capacity in a nearby VA hospital. The Committee urges the department to more closely scrutinize its requests for the design of new hospitals and to seek and obtain additional sharing agreements with VA hospitals.

Alternate Construction Methods

For the last several years the Committee has directed the Department to pursue non-traditional construction methods to see if costs could be reduced. The areas explored include (1) turnkey contracting (2) packaging of projects and contracts (3) greater use of standard design (4) performance specifications and (5) use of new materials (modular and stress tension fabric).

In order to ensure that testing continues the Committee directs the following:

Turnkey contracting

Each Service is to perform at least one contract using turnkey procedures and report their intentions to the Committee.

Packaging of contracts

In addition to those projects planned for packaging the Committee directs all projects at the following locations be packaged: Shemya AFB, Alaska and Thule AB, Greenland.

MODULAR CONSTRUCTION

In addition to the use of manufactured/factory built housing overseas, the Services are directed to contract exclusively for man-

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ufactured/factory built family housing at Fort Ord, California; Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland; NS Long Beach, California and LaJunta, Colorado.

The Committee also directs the use of modular construction on the following barracks projects: NUSC Andros Island, Bahamas, civilian quarters; Kwajalein Island, UOPH; Galena Airport, Alaska, UOPH; MARCORP Twentynine Palms, California, BEQ; and NS San Diego, California, BEQ.

Planning and Design

The Committee has been dissatisfied with the Department's inability to adequately budget sufficient funds for planning and design. Each year, for the last several years, the Office of the Secretary of Defense has chosen to reduce the requested funding level of the Services, but has submitted reprogramming requests later in the year, after deficiencies became critical. The Committee has agreed to fund the entire requested amount for planning and design in fiscal year 1987 for each of the major Services, but directs the Services to more intensely manage their programs in order to stay within the appropriated amount. In planning for outyear projects, the Services should recognize the realities of future budget constraints and avoid designing more projects than could ever be funded for construction.

ARCHITECT AND ENGINEER LIABILITY

Several years ago, the Committee directed the Department to more actively pursue instances of Architect and Engineer liability. The Committee's understanding is that the Department has been pursuing instances of liability, however, the settlements in relationship to amounts sought have been small. This can be contrasted with claims by contractors where the government usually pays about 50 percent of the original amount claimed. The Committee continues to urge vigorous pursuit of A&E liability.

VALUE ENGINEERING

The Department of Defense Inspector General's report (report No. 85-055) had stressed that cost savings could be significantly increased if value engineering study programs for construction were fully implemented. The Committee notes that the Department has adopted standard procedures to effect value engineering principles. The Services should continue to take full advantage of the potential cost savings that can be accrued through value engineering.

Energy

The Committee has recommended all the authorized projects for energy conservation at a level of $11.1 million. The House Armed Services Subcommittee on Military Installations and Facilities has not recommended authorization for funding of the Air Force energy conservation projects ($9.5 million) since sufficient savings exist in the energy conservation program. Therefore, the Air Force is directed to fund the following projects from Energy Conservation Program savings:

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

[In thousands of dollars]

State/Installation	Project	Cost
Arizona: Davis Monthan AFB	ECIP-Alter Heat/Ventilation/Air Cond	230
Florida: Tyndall AFB	ECIP-Facility Energy Imp	600
Maryland: Andrews AFB	ECIP-Alter Lighting Systems	430
Michigan: Wurtsmith AFB	ECIP-Boiler Improvements	320
Mississippi: Keesler AFB	ECIP-Facility Energy Imp	1,970
Nebraska: Offutt AFB	ECIP-Facility Energy Imp	2,680
North Dakota: Minot AFB	ECIP-Alter Heating Systems	250
Virginia: Langley AFB	ECIP-Upgrade Heating System	1,640
Washington: Fairchild AFB	ECIP-Weatherization	1,350
Total		9,470

The Committee notes that the fiscal year 1987 requested level of $20.7 million for energy conservation is considerably less than the $57.4 million appropriated in fiscal year 1986. Despite the reduction, the Committee assumes that continued emphasis, by the Department, is being placed on the development of energy conservation projects since it is viewed as a unique opportunity to reduce operating costs.

GEOTHERMAL

Development of available geothermal resources is being conducted through the use of private contractors and third party financing. The Navy, which has been given the lead among the Services to develop geothermal energy sources, has had considerable success in locating usable steam at Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, California.

The Committee understands that the contract to develop usable steam at Naval Air Station Fallon, Nevada has been terminated because of poor contractor performance. The Department is directed to report to the Committee, by January 30, 1987, plans that are being made to resume activities at Fallon, Nevada. The Department is also directed to report the status of additional sites planned or being studied for geothermal development.

SHARED SAVINGS

The Department has testified in support of the Shared Savings Contract concept in which entrepreneurs are paid for their expertise and conservation activities out of actual resulting energy cost savings. The Department is directed to report by January 30, 1987 on what progress is being made with regard to the Shared Savings Concept.

MINOR CONSTRUCTION

A total of $67 million has been requested for unspecified minor construction this year, which is a decrease of almost $50 million from last year's requested level. The Committee reduced last year's request in the belief that $75 million was sufficient to fund legitimately unanticipated needs. The Committee has not reduced the request this year. The Committee expects that the new method of

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

requesting minor construction funds by the Army National Guard initiated in fiscal year 1987 will continue.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES

The Committee revised the notification procedures for this account in fiscal year 1986 to require that Congress be informed of the execution of all minor construction projects over $200,000. These procedures will continue in effect. Specifically, the Department is to notify the Committee of the intended execution of any minor construction project over $200,000. The project may be carried out only after the end of the 21 day period beginning on the date the notification is received by the Committee, unless an objection has been made.

INCREASE IN MINOR CONSTRUCTION LIMITATION

This year the Department has requested an increase in the limitation on unspecified minor construction projects from $1,000,000 to $2,000,000. In conjunction with this initiative, they have also requested a broadening of authority to use O&M funds for the renovation of facilities. The Committee is also aware of an effort to lump all projects under $2,000,000 into one large account with unlimited flexibility. The Committee strongly opposes all of these initiatives. The basis of these requests is to speed up the execution of construction projects and increase flexibility at the installation level. The Congress is not responsible for the fact that it takes three to four years to obtain funds for a construction project. If this delay has caused a problem, the Department should shorten its internal procedures and not seek to reduce Congressional oversight.

The Committee will not approve an increase in the minor construction limitation. In addition the Committee expects that the line item integrity of the fiscal year 1987 program as shown in the State list will be maintained for purposes of reprogramming and execution. The Committee will not accept huge lump sum requests for unspecified minor construction.

RENOVATION OF FACILITIES

As stated earlier, the Committee opposes the new authority on renovation of facilities. If this section is approved the Department could conceivably spend up to $400,000 to construct a new facility with O&M funds. They could then spend up to $2 million in minor construction converting this structure. After the conversion is complete an unlimited amount of O&M funds could be spent to further enhance this new facility. The Committee sees no justification for allowing this type of flexibility.

EXERCISE RELATED CONSTRUCTION

Current law requires that the appropriate Committees of Congress be notified of any exercise related construction exceedin $100,000, thirty days prior to its occurring. As stated earlier in the report the Department has used operations and maintenance funds for all such construction despite the Committee's objection and the GAO decision indicating that some expenditures were improper. In

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

order to ensure that funds spent are derived from the proper account, the Committee has established an exercise related construction fund. The fund is to operate in fiscal year 1987 on the conditions specified below. The Committee expects the fiscal year 1988 budget request to reflect the funding requirements for this account and the necessary legislative changes to make it permanent law. It is the intention of the Committee that this fund be used only for exercise related construction outside CONUS. The upgrading of existing temporary facilities or the provision of "low maintenance usable facilities" for locations where there is a continuous U.S. presence in support of exercises, such as Palmerola AB, Honduras, would not be legitimately funded from this account. Such requirements should be requested as military construction projects.

The Committee directs that $5,000,000 of the $20,000,000 in the Army's unspecified minor construction account be set aside for the exercise-related construction fund. The Committee expects that these funds will be used to pay for all exercise related construction in fiscal year 1987 including those projects costing less than $200,000. This aspect of this initiative will eliminate all questions and concerns about the costing and grouping of projects and ultimately increase the flexibility of the Department.

The Committee is to be notified of the use of this fund in accordance with current law. For the purposes of determining the costs of projects constructed in support of military training exercises, the following shall not be included:

(1) Transportation costs of materials, supplies and Government furnished equipment;

(2) Travel and per diem costs applicable to troop labor; costs of material, supplies and services furnished by sources outside of the Department of Defense on a non-reimbursable basis. These costs shall be reported to the extent that such costs exceed $50,000 per project. The costs of supplies or services furnished on a non-reimbursable basis should be estimated on a fair market value basis.

For the purposes of determining costs attributable to construction projects from this fund the following costs shall be included:

(1) Costs of all materials, supplies and services applicable to the project, including those furnished on a non-reimbursable basis by other military departments, Defense agencies, or (where authorized by existing law) by sources outside of the Department of Defense;

(2) Labor costs, except for U.S. military labor;

(3) Overhead or support costs which can be identified as representing additional costs which would not have been incurred were it not for the project, except for planning and design costs;

(4) Costs applicable to the operation of Government-furnished equipment, including fuel and maintenance costs.

Army Light Infantry Divisions

The Army has determined, as a part of its overall program to modernize its forces, that five light infantry divisions will be formed which will be highly trained, quickly deployable, elite

61-291 O - 86 - 2

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

forces with a capability to deploy quickly to low and medium intensity situations. The five divisions will be formed by converting two active component divisions at Ford Ord, California and Schofield Barracks, Hawaii; forming two new active component divisions at Fort Drum, New York and Alaska and forming one new Army National Guard division at Fort Belvoir, Virginia. The converting divisions will involve minimal construction requirements. However, the divisions at Fort Drum and in Alaska will require significant construction investments.

FORT DRUM

The 10th Infantry Division, which will be formed at Fort Drum, New York, has the most extensive facility requirement of all the proposed Light Divisions. To date, $278 million has been appropriated for military construction and family housing. This year, the Committee is recommending $175,000,000 in fiscal year 1987 appropriations together with advance appropriations of $221,000,000 and $214,000,000 for fiscal year 1988 and 1989, respectively. The Army has testified that by providing advance funding, the government will be able to engage into one large construction contract for the entire base and thereby greatly reduce costs. The Army estimates that this approach will save over $100 million.

ALASKA

The total estimated cost for construction at Forts Wainwright and Richardson is estimated at $350 million. This year, the Department has requested $171 million for military construction and family housing. The Committee has recommended deferral of such funds in accordance with action taken by the House Armed Services Subcommittee on Military Installations and Facilities.

Testimony that has been received indicates that the proposed new division in Alaska is justified almost entirely on the basis of defending Alaska. The Committee has serious reservations about constructing a new base mainly for the defense of Alaska, when other options are available in the current force structure. Therefore, the Committee is requesting that GAO study the need for a light infantry division in Alaska in terms of its mission requirements and viable alternatives.

In addition, the Committee is concerned that the light division initiative is moving through its design and implementation phases, but the Army has not yet fully tested the adequacy of design. While the Army's Training and Doctrine Command has developed a plan for evaluating and certifying the adequacy of the light infantry's division's organizational structure, deployability, and warfighting capabilities, the Army only began implementing the plan in the summer of 1985 at the 7th Division and does not expect to finish its evaluation until late 1986.

Navy Strategic Homeporting

Last year, the Armed Services Committees of the House and Senate authorized projects to initiate construction of two strategic homeporting sites at Staten Island, New York and Everett, Wash-

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ington. The Committees on Appropriations recommended funding of the authorized amounts and, in addition, recommended additional funds for Staten Island so that the Navy could meet its initial operating capability date. Following the Committee action, the Navy agreed to defer obligation of all funds for the homeporting projects based on objections by representatives of the Armed Services Committees.

The Committee believes that this has established a bad precedent—one that allows individual Members of Congress to delay projects for various reasons without the effect of law. The Committee considers this precedent damaging to the authorization and appropriations process.

Washington Navy Yard

The Committee continues to support the plan to convert existing buildings to administrative space at the Washington Navy Yard. However, it appears that the Navy is not fully committed to this plan and has delayed its implementation with the hope of negotiating more favorable long term lease rates at Crystal City.

Last year the Committee directed the Navy to investigate the long term lease rates at Crystal City and use this data to evaluate the efficacy of continued conversion at the Washington Navy Yard. The Navy's report concluded that lease rates will continue to rise in the strong commercial submarket of Washington, D.C. but failed to provide the Committee with an updated master plan for the Navy Yard. Therefore, the Committee directs the Navy to re-evaluate alternatives to lease space and report to the Committee by January 30, 1987, a comprehensive Navy plan which addresses the problem of rising lease rates in the National Capital region.

Strategic Defense Initiative Construction

This year for the first time construction funds have been requested in support of the activities of the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI). In general the Committee supports the fiscal year 1987 request, but has not recommended funding due to the lack of authorization.

The Committee is concerned that existing laws regarding the funding of construction projects be followed. Under certain conditions, which are outlined in 10 USC 2353, the funding of construction projects with Research and Development funds is permitted. However, a recent GAO study found that (1) contrary to law, SDIO did not always notify Congress in advance of the expenditure of funds for construction projects that were not previously authorized and (2) agencies involved in SDI research used RDT&E funds for projects when Military Construction funds should have been used. Because of the vastly increasing resources being devoted to these programs, it is imperative that the SDIO strictly adhere to existing laws and guidelines. The Committee directs the SDIO to submit a report by January 31, 1987 which details all construction projects funded to date and the source of that funding. The Committee further directs that it be notified 30 days prior to the execution of any construction contract for the SDIO regardless of the source of funding.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Program, Project and Activity

For purposes of Sec. 252(a)(6)(D)(i)(II) of Public Law 99-177, the term Program, Project and Activity is defined as the projects and line items listed in the table by State and Installation accompanying this report.

Honduras—Land Claim

The Committee is deeply concerned about the failure of the Departments of State and Defense to achieve a resolution in a matter involving the claim of Temistocles Ramirez de Arellano, a United States citizen, arising in connection with the establishment of the Regional Military Training Center on Mr. Ramirez's property in Honduras. In the 1985 Continuing Resolution and in report language to the Department of Defense Authorization Act of 1985, the Congress directed the Department of State to work with the Government of Honduras to bring about a prompt, just and effective settlement of this claim. The Secretaries of State and Defense reported to the Congress on several occassions that progress toward such a settlement was being made. Now, however, three years after the claim arose, no settlement has as yet been achieved. The Committee continues to feel strongly that every effort must be made to quickly resolve this matter and directs the Secretaries of State and Defense to take steps to do so. If this matter is not resolved by Sept. 30, 1986 the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, is directed to submit a report to the Congress not later than Dec. 31, 1986 in which it shall be set forth with specificity:

(1) The obstacles to a prompt, just and effective settlement in this matter;

(2) The time frame in which the Secretary believes that such a settlement can be achieved;

(3) Any reasons why the Congress should not withhold assistance to the Government of Honduras until this matter is resolved.

Military Construction, Army

Fiscal year 1986 appropriation	$1,524,436,000
Fiscal year 1987 estimate	1,695,200,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,200,070,000
Reduction	495,130,000

The Committee has approved a total of $1,200,070,000 for fiscal year 1987. This is $495,130,000 below the request for 1987 and $324,366,000 below the amount provided in fiscal year 1986. The Committee action on each of the Army projects is reflected in the State list at the end of this report.

Program Changes

AUTHORIZATION DELETIONS AND REDUCTIONS

The Committee does not recommend funding, as follows, for projects proposed by the Department but deleted or reduced in the authorization bill:

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Alabama—Anniston Army Depot: Security upgrade	$1,950,000
Alabama—Redstone Arsenal: Microwave/millimeter wave simulator	19,500,000
Alaska—Fort Richardson: Barracks modernization	11,200,000
Alaska—Fort J.M. Wainwright:	
Barracks modernization	21,000,000
Child development center	11,000,000
Dining facilities	16,500,000
Tactical equipment shop	30,000,000
Tactical equipment shop	7,300,000
Tactical equipment shop	9,900,000
Utilities expansion	31,000,000
Arizona—Navajo Army Depot: Security upgrade	3,900,000
California—Fort Irwin: General purpose warehouse	2,050,000
California—Presidio of San Francisco: Barracks	5,100,000
California—Sierra Army Depot: Security upgrade	2,450,000
Colorado—Pueblo Depot Activity: Security upgrade	600,000
Hawaii—Hawaii various: Operations support facility	12,000,000
Kentucky—Fort Campbell:	
Aircraft maintenance hangar	16,500,000
Fuel pumping station	240,000
Kentucky—Lexington—Bluegrass Dep Act: Security upgrade	540,000
Nevada—Hawthorne AAP: Ammunition surveillance facility	7,000,000
New Mexico—Fort Wingate: Security upgrade	350,000
New York—Fort Drum: 10th mountain division facilities—phase I	52,000,000
New York—Seneca Army Depot: Security lighting	1,100,000
New York—U.S. Military Academy:	
Academic facility modernization	1,000,000
Academic facility modernization phase II	3,000,000
Oklahoma—Fort Sill: Vehicle wash facility	3,050,000
Oregon—Umatilla Army Depot: Security upgrade	1,050,000
Pennsylvania—Letterkenny Army Depot: Security upgrade	1,900,000
Texas—Corpus Christi Army Depot: Aircraft maintenance shop addition	2,150,000
Texas—Red River Army Depot: Security upgrade	1,350,000
Utah—Dugway Proving Ground: Community center	3,200,000
Utah—Tooele Army Depot: Security upgrade	1,850,000
Virginia—Fort Belvoir: Child development/religious education facility	5,600,000
Classified Location: Classified project	5,000,000
Germany—Baumholder: Facility modernization phase IV	12,400,000
Germany—Einsiedlerhof: Training exercise facility	4,750,000
Germany—Frankfurt: Crime laboratory modernization/addition	3,500,000
Germany—Giessen General Depot: Facility modernization	16,000,000
Germany—Rheinberg:	
Administrative space alternation	7,200,000
Automated data processing facility	680,000
Barracks with dining	14,800,000
Child development center	930,000
Communications center conversion	400,000
Logistical supply facility	6,700,000
Physical fitness training center	4,900,000
Germany—Schweinfurt: Facility modernization	16,000,000
Various Sites—Wartime host nation support	17,500,000
Germany—Vilseck:	
Chapel/religious education facility	3,450,000
Commissary sales	7,300,000
Exchange main retail store	8,000,000
Post office	1,300,000
Germany—Wildflecken: Vehicle wash facility	3,800,000
Greece—Operations building modernization	730,000
Honduras—Contingency facility	4,900,000
Korea—Camp Greaves: Tactical equipment shop	340,000
Korea—Camp Humphreys: Tactical equipment shop	700,000
Panama—Fort Kobbe: Aircraft parking apron extension	420,000

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Overseas Various: Pre-positioned war material facilities	4,900,000
Total reduction	433,930,000

Low Priority Projects

The Committee has denied a number of projects of low operational utility because of budget constraints. These projects are:

Georgia—Fort Benning: Chapel	$1,700,000
New York—U.S. Military Academy: Academy: Facility Modernization	14,500,000
Virginia—Fort Myer: Physical Fitness Training Center	3,800,000
Germany—Giessen General Depot: Fuel Station	3,500,000
Korea—Camp Casey: Tactical equipment shop mod/addn	3,400,000
Korea—Camp Libby: Tactical equipment shop	1,100,000
Korea—Second Infantry: Organizational maintenance shop	2,350,000
Korea—Yongson: Upgrade tractical equipment shop	1,000,000

Various Projects—Reductions to High Cost Projects

The Committee recommends moderate reductions to various high cost projects for the purposes of budget constraints. The Army should attempt to achieve the reductions through either reduced scope, value engineering, or the bid additive concept. If cost variation problems beyond thresholds develop, reprogramming requests will be considered providing the Army demonstrates that attempts were made to reduce the project cost. Listed below are the projects affected by the Committee reductions.

[In thousands of dollars]

State/Installation/Project	Requested amount	Recommended amount
Alabama—Fort Rucker: Stagefields	27,000	26,000
Louisiana—Fort Polk: Multipurpose training range	27,000	26,000
Maryland—Aberdeen Proving Ground:		
Applied instruction building	23,000	22,000
Barracks complex	19,000	18,000
Missouri—Fort Leonard Wood: Engineer school/post headquarters building	14,000	13,000
Kwajalein—Unaccompanied officers housing	11,000	9,000

ALABAMA—FORT RUCKER: ARMY AVIATION MUSEUM

The Committee directs the Department to submit a reprogramming request for the Army Aviation Museum at Fort Rucker, Alabama.

NEW YORK—FORT DRUM: 10TH MOUNTAIN DIVISION FACILITIES, $615,000,000

The Committee recommends funding a total of $610,000,000 instead of the requested $615,000,000. The recommended funding includes funds for fiscal year 1987 as well as advance appropriations for fiscal years 1988 and 1989. Below is a comparison of the Committee's recommendation as compared with the budget request and the House Armed Services subcommittee recommendation.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

[In thousands of dollars]

Fiscal year	Budget request	House Armed Services Subcommittee	House Appropriations Committee
1987	232	180	175
1988	221	221	221
1989	162	214	214
Total	615	615	610

The Committee supports the Army's concept for constructing the base under a single contract because of the savings that should occur from economies of scale and from committed advance appropriations. The Army however, is urged to stay within the recommended funds.

The Department is directed to report to the Committee the results of the competitively negotiated bidding process prior to award of the contract. The report should include the results of any scope reductions. The Committee has also provided $200,000 for community impact planning as authorized.

NEW YORK—U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY—GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING, $7,300,000

The Committee recommends funding of $7,300,000 instead of the requested $7,400,000 for modification to the existing Washington Hall. The reduction is made to conform to the FY 1986 authorization level.

PENNSYLVANIA—NEW CUMBERLAND AD—EASTERN DISTRIBUTION CENTER, PHASE II

The Committee recommends funding $41,000,000 instead of the $51,000,000 requested for Phase II of the eastern Distribution Center at New Cumberland. Initial construction funds of $15,000,000 were provided in fiscal year 1986 and reduced by sequestration to about $14 million. However, it is expected that award of the contract will not take place until at least September 1986. This means that a total of $55 million will be available for construction during fiscal year 1987 which is a sufficient amount for obligation in one year.

TEXAS—FORT BLISS: ALTER APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDINGS

The Committee directs the Army to construct the project for alteration of the Applied Instruction Buildings at Fort Bliss, Texas using unspecified minor construction.

TEXAS—CORPUS CHRISTI ARMY DEPOT: POWER TRAIN FACILITY

The Committee recommends funding $2,250,000 for the power train facility at Corpus Christi Army Depot as it is considered a high priority project.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

VIRGINIA—FORT MYER: PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER, $3,800,000

The Committee recommended deferring funds for the Physical Fitness Training Center at Fort Myer, Virginia, for reasons of budget constraints. The Committee has, however, recommended funding of $3,020,000 for a physical fitness at the Marine Corps Headquarters in Arlington, which is in proximity to Ft. Myer, to allow for shared use of the facility. The Committee has recommended funding the facility at the Marine Corps Headquarters with the assumption that a joint use arrangement will be worked out.

KWAJALEIN—UNACCOMPANIED OFFICERS HOUSING, $11,000,000

The Committee recommends funding $9,000,000 instead of the requested $11,000,000 for unaccompanied officers housing at Kwajalein as the unit cost of $110,000 per officer is considered too high. The Department is also directed to use modular construction in the construction of such units.

WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED—GENERAL REDUCTION PRODUCTS IN GERMANY—$10,000,000

As discussed earlier in this report, the United States Command in Germany is experiencing more difficulty each year in executing planned projects in Germany and especially in the State of Hessen. This general reduction is made based on the assumption that some projects programmed for this fiscal year will either be delayed or eventually dropped. The Committee has chosen to make the general reduction rather than select certain products in the State of Hessen in order to provide the Army with flexibility in executing their program.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

Fiscal year 1986 appropriation	$1,621,807,000
Fiscal year 1987 estimate	1,814,100,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,364,090,000
Reduction	450,010,000

The Committee has approved a total of $1,364,090,000 for fiscal year 1987. This is $450,010,000 below the request for 1987 and $257,717,000 below the amount provided in fiscal year 1986. The Committee action on each of the Navy projects is reflected in the State list at the end of this report.

PROGRAM CHANGES

AUTHORIZATION DELETIONS AND REDUCTIONS

The Committee does not recommend funding, as follows, for projects proposed by the Department but deleted or reduced in the authorization bill:

Alaska—Naval Air Station Adak: Construction management facility improvements	$500,000
Radar support facilities	24,400,000

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

California—Marcorp Air-Grnd Comb Ctr Twentynine Palms: Bachelor enlisted quarters	5,000,000
California—Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton: Battalion operations center	1,080,000
California—Naval Air Station Miramar: Bachelor enlisted quarters	4,000,000
California—Naval Communication Station Stockton: Fire protection pipeline	2,750,000
California—Naval Construction Trng Ctr Port Hueneme: Automotive vehicle maintenance facility	1,470,000
California—Naval Security Group Detachment San Diego: Classified materials issue office addition	1,570,000
California—Naval Station Long Beach: Bachelor officer quarters	3,730,000
California—Naval Station Mare Island: Religious education building alteration	470,000
California—Naval Submarine Base San Diego: Bachelor enlisted quarters	2,900,000
California—Naval Supply Center San Diego: Personal property office	1,370,000
California—Naval Training Center San Diego: Bridge	2,950,000
California—Naval Weapons Center China Lake: Guided missile laboratory	10,300,000
Mess hall	2,450,000
California—Naval Weapons Station Seal Beach: Quality evaluation laboratory	6,100,000
Connecticut—Naval Submarine Base New London:	
Bachelor officer quarters	9,300,000
Weapons storage improvements	2,950,000
District of Columbia-Commandant Naval District Washington: White House support complex	24,000,000
District of Columbia—Naval Research Laboratory Washington: Physics laboratory improvements	8,730,000
Florida—Naval Air Station Whiting Field: Runway improvement	2,120,000
Florida—Naval Training Center Orlando: Public works shop	2,550,000
Georgia—Naval Submarine Base Kings Bay:	
Commander submarine group office	1,550,000
Dredging	4,540,000
Drydock	4,545,000
Off-crew operations building	740,000
Provision warehouse	2,160,000
Ready stores facility	1,270,000
Refit wharf	2,350,000
Strategic weapons magazines	1,670,000
Hawaii—Camp HM Smith-Oahu: Security headquarters	500,000
Hawaii—Marine Corps Air Station Kaneohe Bay: Aircraft rinse facility	1,280,000
Corrosion control hangar	2,260,000
Hawaii—Naval Air Station Barbers Point: TACAMO support complex	25,300,000
Hawaii—Naval Com Area Master Sta Eastpac Honolulu: Antenna safety improvements	1,080,000
Hawaii—Navy Public Works Center Pearl Harbor: Electrical distribution sys improvements phase I	18,200,000
Maine—Naval Air Station Brunswick: Ground support equipment facility	2,160,000
Maine—Naval Shipyard Portsmouth Kittery: Engineering management building	10,900,000
Maryland—Naval Ordnance Station Indian Head: Propellant facilities upgrade	2,730,000
Mississippi—Naval Air Station Meridian: Physical security improvements	250,000
Mississippi—Naval Construction Battalion Center Gulfport: Seabee battalion operations facility	1,670,000
Nevada—Naval Air Station Fallon: Electronic warfare range improvements	4,000,000
New Jersey—Naval Weapons Station Earle: Ammunition pier and trestle	20,000,000

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

New York—Naval Station New York—Battleship Support Complex:	
Supply warehouses	6,800,000
Bachelor officer quarters	2,450,000
North Carolina—Marine Corps Air Station Cherry Point: Refueling vehicle maintenance shops	2,060,000
North Carolina—Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune:	
Bachelor enlisted quarters	3,000,000
Mess Hall	4,810,000
South Carolina—Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort: Aircraft rinse facility	740,000
South Carolina—Marine Corps Recruit Depot Parris Island: Recruit battalion operations facility	1,870,000
Tennessee—Naval Air Station Memphis:	
Aviation ordnance training building	12,800,000
Entrance roads improvement	1,570,000
Virginia—Fleet Combat Train Ctr Atlantic Dam Neck: Electrical distribution system	820,000
Virginia—Fleet Intel Ctr Europe & Atlantic Norfolk: Intelligence building addition	1,880,000
Washington—Naval Submarine Base Bangor:	
Bachelor enlisted quarters	4,900,000
Hazardous waste facility	1,280,000
Security building	2,750,000
Sewerage system	400,000
Australia—Naval Communication Station Harold E. Holt: Public works shop	1,870,000
Iceland—Naval Air Station Keflavik: Fuel facilities	13,300,000
Italy—Naval Air Station Sigonella: Chapel facilities	1,370,000
Italy—Naval Support Activity Naples:	
Air cargo terminal	5,500,000
Cmd control comms & intelligence complex	18,000,000
Puerto Rico—Land Fleet Wpns Training Fac Roosevelt Roads: Land acquisition	195,000
United Kingdom—Naval Activities London: Consolidated personnel support facilities	1,118,000
Worldwide Various—Host Nation Infrastructure Support	300,000

Low Priority Projects

The Committee has denied a number of projects of low operational utility because of budget constraints. These projects are:

Alaska—Naval Security Group Activity Adak: Ocean Surveillance Building	13,400,000
California—Naval Air Station Lemoor: Industrial Waste Treatment Facility	980,000
California—Naval Supply Center San Diego: Railroad Track Relocation	2,450,000
California—Marine Corps Air Station Camp Pendleton: Aircraft maintenance training buildings	1,080,000
California—Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton: Communications support storehouse	2,650,000
California—Naval Amphibious Base Coronado: Operational storage warehouse	3,720,000
California—Naval Construction Battalion Center Port Hueneme:	
Bachelor officer quarters	3,040,000
Engineering support facility	3,140,000
Connecticut—Naval Submarine School New London: Submarine Fire Fighting Trainer Facility	3,340,000
Florida—Naval Air Station Jacksonville: Helicopter training facility	4,220,000
Georgia—Naval Submarine Base Kings Bay:	
Diesel Fuel Facilities	1,960,000
Utilities and Site Improvements	4,900,000
Bachelor Officers Quarters	3,440,000

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Maine—Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, Kittery: Hazardous material storage and handling fac	5,100,000
Maryland—Naval Ordnance Station Indian Head Propellant Facilities Upgrade	950,000
Mississippi—Naval Construction Battalion Center Gulfport: Data processing center	690,000
Mississippi—Naval Construction Training Center Gulfport: Seabee instruction building	1,180,000
Pennsylvania—Navy Ships Parts Control Ctr Mechanicsburg: Facility Energy Improvements	1,670,000
Rhode Island—Surface Warfare Officers Sch Cmd Newport: Surface Warfare Officer Training Facility	8,840,000
South Carolina—Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort: Automotive vehicle maintenance shop	640,000
South Carolina—Marine Corps Recruit Depot Parris Island: Recruit battalion operations facility	1,870,000
South Carolina—Naval Weapons Station Charleston: Pier improvements	6,130,000
Texas—Naval Air Station Kingsville: Jet Engine Test Cell Facility	3,140,000
Virginia—Naval Guided Missiles School Dam Neck: Submarine Training Building Addition	3,140,000
Virginia—Fleet Training Center Norfolk: Electrical trades training building addition	5,400,000
Virginia—Naval Legal Service Office Norfolk: Naval legal service building addition	1,080,000
Virginia—Naval Surface Weapons Center Dahlgren: Aegis education center addition	2,950,000
Virginia—Navy Public Works Center Norfolk: Steam plant improvements	5,600,000
Guam—Navy Public Works Center Guam: Power plant modifications	1,080,000
Japan—Marine Corps Air Station Futema Okinawa: Engine maintenance shop	3,440,000

SAN DIEGO—NAVAL OCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER/SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENTS TO PHYSICS LABORATORY (NAVOSH)

The Committee directs the Navy to immediately initiate design and expedite construction funding for safety improvements to the physics laboratory at the Naval Ocean Systems Center, San Diego. The Committee is concerned with current potential safety hazards at this location and directs that this project be included in the fiscal year 1988 budget request.

FLORIDA—NAVAL TECHNICIAN TRAINING CENTER PENSACOLA: INSTRUMENTATION TRAINING FACILITY, $4,610,000

The Committee recommends funding of $1,310,000 instead of the requested $4,610,000. The Committee directs the Navy to rescope the project to eliminate the mess hall.

HAWAII—PEARL HARBOR NAVAL SHIPYARD: ENGINEERING FACILITIES

The engineering and management operations at Pearl Harbor Naval Shipyard are housed in semi-permanent buildings constructed during World War II that have advanced stages of termite damage. A 1984 study determined that deficiencies in the existing buildings include overcrowded and delapidated facilities, fragmented activity locations, inefficient space planning, and poor ventilation. Furthermore, in 1977 this facility was identified as a "severe life safety hazard" as a consequence of its serious fire hazard conditions. The Committee directs that the Navy immediately initiate

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

design of the PHNS engineering facilities (P-215 and P-237) and that this project be included in the fiscal year 1988 budget request.

HAWAII—NAVAL AIR STATION BARBERS POINT: TACAMO SUPPORT COMPLEX, $25,300,000

The Committee has deferred all funding for the Tacamo Support Complex at Barbers Point, Hawaii pending a study of co-locating its E6A aircraft at existing Air Force bases. The Committee directs the Department of the Navy to conduct a study of the feasibility of locating its fleet of E6A aircraft at Tinker and Blytheville Air Force Bases. The Secretary shall report his findings and conclusions to the Committee no later than September 30, 1986.

NEW JERSEY—NAVAL WEAPONS STATION EARLE: AMMUNITION PIER AND TRESTLE, $52,500,000

The Committee recommends funding $25,000,000 instead of the $52,500,000 requested for an ammunition pier and trestle at Earle, New Jersey. The House Armed Services Subcommittee on Military Installations and Facilities has recommended that the project be phased funded and has recommended authorization of $32,500,000 for fiscal year 1987. The Committee, however, believes that $25,000,000 is sufficent in terms of how much can be obligated in fiscal year 1987.

VIRGINIA—HEADQUARTERS MARINE CORPS ARLINGTON: PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER, $3,020,000

The Committee recommends funding of $3,020,000 as requested for the physical fitness center at the Marine Corps Headquarters in Arlington. The project, as recommended, is contingent on the use of the facility being shared with military personnel stationed at Fort Myer, Virginia. The Committee directs that the Marine Corps report on how the facilities will be shared prior to award of the contract.

WASHINGTON: NAVAL STATION EVERETT—CARRIER SUPPORT COMPLEX, $95,400,000

The Committee has recommended a total of $85,400,000 instead of the requested $95,400,000 for the Carrier Support Complex at Everett. The Committee has decided not to make specific reductions to each project but allow the flexibility to the Navy to make reductions to the appropriate projects in order to remain within the $85,400,000. The projects as approved are as follows:

Carrier pier	$38,000,000
Dredging and breakwater	13,820,000
Shoreline improvement and seawall	15,700,000
Utilities and site improvement	19,070,000
Land acquisition	8,810,000
General reduction	−10,000,000
Net total	85,400,000

The Committee has concern about the overall cost of strategic homeporting and notes that the Secretary of the Navy has stated that he intends to live strictly within a capped level for the four

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

major homeporting initiatives, which totals $799 million in military construction nationwide, $38.4 million in family housing and $8.5 million in non-appropriated funds as well as approximately $16 million for defense access roads at Everett.

The Department is also required to report to the Committee by January 30, 1987 the status of the following issues as they relate to the Everett project:

(1) Disposal plan for toxic waste dredged material;
(2) Cost sharing commitments;
(3) Access road plan.

The Committee is concerned about the plan for dredging and disposal of 800,000 cubic yards of toxic material as a part of the homeporting projects at Everett, Washington. The present plan using confined aquatic disposal is still in the model testing stage and concurrence of EPA and the Corps is necessary prior to issuance of a dredging permit. The Committee therefore restricts the obligation of the recommended funds subject to the granting of a dredging permit and subject to the adoption of a disposal plan that has acceptable cost implications to the Committee.

GUAM—MOBILE CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CAMP COVINGTON BACHELOR HOUSING, $15,500,000

The Committee has recommended funding $11,400,000 instead of the requested $15,500,000 for bachelor housing in Guam. The Department is directed to rescope the project, which involves 3 separate buildings. This is consistent with authorization reductions made at other locations for bachelor housing.

ITALY—NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY NAPLES: VARIOUS PROJECTS

The Committee has denied funding of $18,000,000 for the Navy and $48,890,000 under defense agencies requested for relocation of the naval support activity facilities from the Agnano area of Naples, Italy. The recommendation is in accordance with the authorization action. The Committee, however, directs the Navy to perform a feasibility study on upgrading the existing facilities for seismic conditions and possible expansion of facilities into adjacent land. The study should also include the feasibility of long term consolidated lease arrangements and should be submitted by January 30, 1987.

JAPAN—MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP BUTLER OKINAWA: ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATIONS MAINTENANCE SHOP, $2,750,000

The Committee has denied this project as it should have been requested for funding through the Japanese facilities improvement program.

PHILIPPINES—VARIOUS PROJECTS, $11,640,000

The Committee recommends funding $11,640,000 for six requested Navy projects in the Philippines subject to authorization.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 1986 appropriation	$1,581,727,000
Fiscal year 1987 estimate	1,773,200,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,228,630,000
Reduction	554,570,000

The Committee has approved $1,228,630,000 for fiscal year 1987 for military construction, Air Force. This is $544,570,000 below the budget estimate and $353,097,000 below the amount provided in fiscal year 1986. The Committee action on each of the Air force projects is reflected in the State list at the end of this report.

PROGRAM CHANGES

AUTHORIZATION DELETIONS AND REDUCTIONS

The Committee does not recommend funding, as follows, for projects proposed by the Department but deleted or reduced in the authorization bill:

Alaska—Eielson AFB:	
Dining hall	$5,700,000
Library	2,500,000
Arizona—Davis-Monthan AFB:	
Aircraft processing apron (MASDC)	3,400,000
ECIP/alter heat ventilation/air cond	230,000
Unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing	5,300,000
Arizona—Williams AFB: Consolidated support facility	3,800,000
Arkansas—Blytheville AFB: Petroleum operations facility	540,000
California—Edwards AFB:	
Add to propellant laboratory complex	5,500,000
Alter avionics shop	2,300,000
California—Fort MacArthur: Alter personnel support facility	3,000,000
California—George AFB: Visiting personnel quarters	5,000,000
Colorado—Peterson AFB:	
Base supply warehouse phase I	4,000,000
Troop subsistence storage facility	990,000
Colorado—U.S. Air Force Academy:	
Add/alter cadet gymnasium	7,400,000
Add/alter security police facility	600,000
Florida—Tyndall AFB: F15—unaccomp enlisted personnel housing	600,000
Hawaii—Hickham AFB: Vehicle operations-maintenance facility	1,150,000
Maine—Bangor: Physical fitness facility	1,250,000
Maine—Loring AFB: Aircraft Apron-refueling system-phase I	12,200,000
Maryland—Andrews AFB:	
AF one maint and support complex	45,000,000
ECIP/alter lighting systems	430,000
Michigan—Wurtsmith AFB: ECIP—boiler improvements	320,000
Mississippi—Keesler AFB: ECIP—facility energy improvements	1,970,000
Nebraska—Offutt AFB: ECIP—facility energy improvements	2,680,000
New Mexico—Kirtland AFB: Optics and beam control laboratory	8,700,000
North Dakota—Minot AFB: ECIP—alter heating systems	250,000
South Carolina—Myrtle Beach AFB: Aircraft corrosion control facility	3,000,000
Texas—Bergstrom AFB: Tactical air control center fac	3,390,000
Texas—Kelly AFB: Weapons systems suport center	39,000,000
Texas—Lackland AFB: Academic facility-security police	5,500,000
Virginia—Langley AFB:	
ASAT—Administrative building	500,000
ASAT—Control center	420,000
ASAT—Hazardous materials storage	300,000
ASAT—Integrated maintenance facilities	9,300,000
ASAT—Missile and motor storage	1,900,000
ASAT—Security control	280,000
ASAT—Utilities and site work	2,900,000

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ASAT—Upgrade heating system	1,640,000
Washington—Fairchild AFB: ECIP—weatherization	1,350,000
Washington—McChord AFB: Squadrons operations facility	4,900,000
Conus Various—West Conus: OTH-B radar trans standby power line	10,500,000
Belgium—Florennes:	
GLCM—automotive hobby shop	520,000
GLCM—commissary	3,500,000
GLCM—exchange complex	2,850,000
GLCM—recreation library	460,000
GLCM—youth center	750,000
Diego Garcia:	
Global positioning sys internet facilities	3,900,000
Vehicle maintenance/storage facility	800,000
Germany—Hahn AB:	
Add to vehicle maintenance facility	1,900,000
Alternate launch/recovery surface (ALRS)	2,100,000
Post office	980,000
Germany—Hessisch: Add/alter composite recreation center	2,000,000
Germany—Pruem AS: Composite recreation center	1,150,000
Germany—Ramstein AB:	
Add to noncommissioned officer academy	1,850,000
Add/alter vehicle maintenance shops	6,000,000
Alter unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing	2,900,000
Protected combat targeting center	36,000,000
Visiting officer quarters	3,000,000
Weapons storage and security system	800,000
Germany—Spangdahlam AB: Post office	1,050,000
Germany—Zweibrucken AB: Alternate launch/recovery surface (ALRS)	3,550,000
Italy—Aviano AB: Unaccomplished enlisted personnel housing	4,200,000
Italy—Cosmiso AB:	
GLCM—arts and crafts shop	370,000
GLCM—automotive hobby shop	410,000
GLCM—military clothing sales	300,000
GLCM—recreation library	230,000
Italy—San Vito AS: Visiting officer quarters	2,450,000
Korea—Kunsan AB:	
Add to aircraft apron	1,050,000
Aircraft maintenance unit facility	1,800,000
Korea—Osan AB:	
Aircraft maintenance facilities	3,000,000
Alter airmen dining hall	1,150,000
Chapel center	2,300,000
Morocco—Various locations: Petroleum resupply system	25,400,000
Netherlands—Camp New Amsterdam:	
Add to base supply complex	2,450,000
Add/alter chapel	1,300,000
Germany—Woensdrecht AB:	
GLCM—dining hall	1,600,000
GLCM—unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing	1,920,000
Philippines—Clark AFB:	
Parallel runway	32,500,000
Portugal—Lajes Field: Hydrant refueling system	8,300,000
Spain—Torrejon AB: Satellite communications ground terminal	1,450,000
Spain—Zaragoza AB: 2nd Echelon medical logistics storage fac	540,000
Turkey—Ankara: Chapel center	1,550,000
Turkey—Incirlik AB:	
Post office	510,000
Visiting officer quarters	3,400,000
United Kingdom—RAF Alconbury:	
GLCM—add/alter physical training facility	2,100,000
GLCM—base theatre	1,300,000
TR1—library	1,050,000
TR1-visiting airmen quarters	1,300,000
United Kingdom—RAF Bentwaters:	
Alter unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing	3,700,000

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Central post office	650,000
Recreation center	2,250,000
United Kingdom—RAF Greenham Common: GLCM-temporary living facility	2,100,000
United Kingdom—RAF Lakenheath: Post office	1,200,000
United Kingdom—RAF Upper Heyford:	
Add/alter vehicle maintenance shop	2,300,000
Unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing	6,000,000
United Kingdom—RAF Woodbridge:	
Corrosion control facility	3,400,000
Supply warehouse	1,650,000
Total	412,930,000

Low Priority Projects

The Committee has denied a number of projects of low operational utility because of budget constraints. These projects are:

Alabama—Maxwell AFB: Add/alter academic facility (ACSC)	$1,700,000
Chapel annex	510,000
Colorado—Peterson AFB: Add/alter security police facility	590,000
Colorado—USAF Academy: Base civil engineering facs	990,000
Illinois—Chanute AFB: Weather training facility	8,700,000
Nebraska—Offutt AFB: Add/alter strategic comm division headquarters facility	2,470,000
Nevada—Nellis AFB: Base civil engineering complex phase I	7,700,000
New Hampshire—Pease AFB:	
Add/alter avionics maintenance shop	1,150,000
Add/alter combat arms training/maintenance fac	1,050,000
New York—Plattsburgh AFB: Add/alter vehicle maintenance shop	3,200,000
South Carolina—Charleston AFB: Add/alter recreation center	560,000
South Carolina—Shaw AFB:	
Add/alter 9th Air Force headquarters	3,700,000
Add/alter weapons system maint mgmt fac	1,150,000
South Dakota—Ellsworth AFB, Life support facility	950,000
Utah—Hill AFB: Logistics systems operations center	11,500,000
Germany—Einsiedlerhof: Visiting officer quarters	2,600,000
Italy—Aviano AB: Visiting officers quarters	3,000,000

High Unit Costs

The Committee has reduced the amounts requested for a number of projects due to their high unit costs. The Air Force is to do everything possible to execute these projects within the reduced amounts. The approved levels for these projects are as follows:

Alaska—Eielson AFB: Loop access road	$2,200,000
Alaska—Elmendorf AFB: Security police facility	4,000,000
Massachusetts—Hanscom AFB: UOPH	1,000,000
Texas—Kelly AFB: Fuel systems accessories test fac	9,400,000
Texas—Sheppard AFB: Medical training facility	12,000,000
Germany—Ramstein AB: Outdoor processing-pallet storage area	750,000
Korea—Kwang-Ju AB: UOPH	550,000
Korea—Osan AB: Munitions maintenance-storage facilities-phase II	5,000,000
Korea—Taegu AB: UOPH	1,300,000
Portugal—Lajes AB: UOPH	2,000,000
Turkey—Pirinclik: UEPH	1,500,000

ALASKA—GALENA AIRPORT, UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING, $7,500,000

The Committee has reduced this project to $5,600,000 in the belief that $110,000 per man is too high. This project is to be built using modular construction as specified earlier in this report.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ALASKA—KING SALMON AFB: UOPH, $4,050,000

The Committee has reduced this project to $2,800,000 in the belief that the $110,000 per man cost is too high. This project is to be built using modular construction as specified earlier in the report.

ALASKA—SHEMYA AFB: VARIOUS PROJECTS, $22,300,000

The Committee has reduced the request for these projects by $4,000,000 and directs that the construction contract be packaged in order to achieve these savings.

ARKANSAS—BLYTHEVILLE AFB: VARIOUS PROJECTS

The Committee has provided $2,750,000 for a gymnasium at this location subject to authorization. The Committee also directs the Air Force to construct the Combat Arms Training Center estimated to cost $290,000 using unspecified minor construction funds.

CALIFORNIA—VANDENBERG AFB: ADD/ALTER OAK MOUNTAIN POWER PLANT, $2,700,000

The Committee has not recommended funding for this project due to the current uncertainty in the future of the Space Shuttle program and the use of this launch complex.

COLORADO—FALCON AFB: LAND ACQUISITION, $6,400,000

The Committee has provided $5,400,000 for this project as a direct incentive to achieve a reduced price for this land. The requirement for this land has been known by the Air Force for three years and the delay in the funding request has resulted in the government having to pay a higher price for this land. There is no excuse for this delay.

FLORIDA—MACDILL AFB: ALTER USREDCOM COMMAND CENTER, $1,600,000

The Committee has denied funding for this project because of assurances that the $1,500,000 approved last year was sufficient to complete the renovation of this facility.

ILLINOIS—SCOTT AFB: ADD/ALTER CONSOLIDATED COMPUTER FACILITY, $8,900,000

The Committee has not approved this request because the recently funded new headquarters building will provide sufficient additional administrative space at this installation to meet this function.

NEBRASKA—OFFUTT AFB: STRATEGIC ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS CENTER, $12,350,000

The Committee has approved $10,850,000 for this project in the belief that the amount of tempest shielding proposed for the structure was exorbitant. The Air Force is to reduce the amount of this shielding to a more practical level.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

61-291 O - 86 - 3

NEW MEXICO—HOLLOMAN AFB: RANGE RESTRICTION

No funds shall be obligated for any FY 1987 military construction projects at Holloman Air Force Base unless the Air Force reports to the Committee within three months of enactment of this bill alternative flight plans for medium altitude supersonic flight operations in the Valentine, Texas Military Operations area by the 49th Tactical Fighter Wing based at Holloman Air Force Base. The alternative flight plans should not include any supersonic flights over either the Valentine, Texas Military Operations Area or the Reserve, New Mexico Military Operations Area.

TEXAS—GOODFELLOW AFB: UOPH, $10,000,000

The Committee has approved $8,500,000 and directs that the administrative facility be removed from the project and that unit costs be reduced.

TEXAS—RANDOLPH AFB: AIR FORCE MANAGEMENT ENGINEERING AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY, $2,250,000

The Committee has denied funds for the administrative/academic facility due to budget constraints.

WASHINGTON—FAIRCHILD AFB: SURVIVAL TRAINING SCHOOL

The Air Force is currently considering the movement of the survival training school from Fairchild AFB to Keesler AFB, Mississippi. The Committee is opposed to this move and disputes the Air Force claim that it would save money.

The terrain and weather conditions in northeastern Washington state are similar to conditions flight crews would encounter in Europe. As such, the location of the training facility at Fairchild enhances the school's ability to develop in Air Force personnel the survival skills flight crews would require in fulfilling our NATO commitments. Southern topography makes the kind of mountain training offered at Fairchild impossible to duplicate at Keesler. Crews from the school are able to train in the Coleville National Forest without cost and without restrictions from hunting or other activities in the forest.

The Air Force is claiming that this consolidation will result in a cost avoidance of approximately $41 million in new military construction expenditures. These cost avoidances, however, are predicated upon the erroneous assumption that the survival school at Fairchild must move from its present location. The Air Force maintains the survival school must move because it is within the explosive clear zone of several ALCM storage igloos. The clear zone, however, covers only one-third (or six buildings) of the existing survival school complex. Moreover, the "problem" of having a few buildings within an explosive clear zone is by no means unique in the Air Force. If the Air Force mandated that all buildings within an explosive clear zone be moved, the military construction costs could amount to hundreds of millions of dollars. In addition the Committee disputes the claimed savings in manpower and travel costs the Air Force claims would occur as a result of this move. The Committee has objected to the design of facilities at Keesler

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

AFB for this school and will not approve construction funding for projects associated with it.

WYOMING—F.E. WARREN AFB: PEACEKEEPER FACILITIES, $19,900,000

The Committee has approved $18,300,000 for this request. The reduction in funding is possible due to good bids and will not disrupt the program. With the provision of these funds, all elements of construction funding for fifty MX missiles has been approved. Prior year funding of construction associated with the deployment of MX missiles had been approved on the condition that sufficient testing of silo modifications had taken place to assure that construction was not preceding complete and successful testing. These assurances were made in January of 1985 and the Committee fully expects that the December 1986 IOC date will be met.

GERMANY—LINDSEY AS: DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY, $1,200,000

The Committee has deferred this project until the Air Force studies whether it should be relocated to another active U.S. installation in the area. Lindsey AS is a low priority installation as explained earlier in this report.

GERMANY—RAMSTEIN AFB: WEAPONS STORAGE AND SECURITY SYSTEM, $800,000

The Committee has not provided funds for this project due to lack of authorization. However, the Committee directs that, of the total projected costs of $220 million for this program, $69 million or 31% will be requested through the military construction account. This portion of these facilities are clearly a construction, not a procurement item. The Committee intends to closely monitor this policy. The Committee also urges the Department to vigorously pursue NATO eligibility for this program.

GERMANY—SEMBACH AB: C–130 BEDDOWN FACILITIES, $5,520,000

The Committee has denied funds for these projects and further directs that funds previously appropriated for the beddown of C–130's at Sembach AB not be obligated. The Committee believes this mission can be met through a "rotational" arrangement for the aircraft. Use of this concept would negate the need for the stationing of approximately 400 personnel at this location. It would not only save over $20 million in construction costs, but significant operations and maintenance costs. The Air Force is to report on its progress towards putting this concept into effect as soon as possible.

GERMANY—ZWEIBRUCKEN AB: EDS-FORWARD STORAGE WAREHOUSE, $1,100,000

The Committee has denied training for this project because adequate facilities exist in the area. The Air Force should consider leasing available warehouse space.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

GREECE—IRAKLION AS: 2ND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE FACILITY, $320,000

The Committee has denied funds for this project due to the uncertainty of the future of the base rights agreement.

GREENLAND—THULE AB: VARIOUS FACILITIES, $9,790,000

The Committee has reduced these projects by $1,000,000 and directs that these projects be packaged into one construction contract.

ICELAND—KEFLAVIK: ACCOUNTING AND FINANCE FACILITY, $1,600,000

The Committee has provided $1,200,000 for this facility in the belief that its cost can be reduced.

ITALY—SAN VITO AS: 2ND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE FACILITY, $490,000

The Committee has deferred this project until a medical contingency plan for the European theater is submitted as outlined earlier in the report.

JAPAN—YOKOTA AB: JET FUEL STORAGE, $4,900,000

The Committee has denied this project and directs that the Air Force seek Japanese funding through the facilities improvement program.

KOREA—VARIOUS LOCATIONS

The Committee has denied $12,150,000 in facilities (Camp Humphreys—operations, maintenance, storage facilities $8,300,000, Suwon AB—munitions, maintenance and storage facilities $3,250,000, Portomod warehouse $600,000) and directs that the Air Force seek Korean funding for these projects through the CDIP program.

KOREA—KIMHAE AB, VARIOUS PROJECTS, $3,620,000

The Committee has denied funds for all projects requested at this base. The Air Force is to submit a long term plan which clearly spells out future missions, manning levels, and construction requirements.

OMAN—VARIOUS LOCATIONS, CARETAKER CONTRACTOR SUPPORT FACILITIES, $6,900,000

The Committee has denied funds for these facilities in the belief that the contractor should supply the required support facilities.

NETHERLANDS—WOENSDRECHT AB: VARIOUS GLCM FACILITIES, $34,300,000

The Committee has approved $30,780,000 for the second increment of construction for this base as authorized. Funds for the initial increment of construction were approved in fiscal year 1986 on the condition that the Dutch government accept deployment of the

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ground launched cruise missiles. These funds were released and construction is underway. The Committee expects that the Air Force will program the community support facilities through the non-appropriated fund accounts in order to minimize delays in their construction.

PHILIPPINES—CLARK AFB, VARIOUS PROJECTS

The Committee has approved $28,770,000 of the $61,270,000 requested for various projects at Clark AB, Philippines subject to authorization.

TURKEY—INCIRLIK AB: MEDICAL CONTINGENCY FACILITY, $820,000

The Committee has deferred funding of all medical contingency facilities in the European theater until a complete plan on the program has been submitted.

UNITED KINGDOM—RAF BENTWATERS: 2ND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE FACILITY, $1,250,000

The Committee has deferred funding of all medical contingency facilities in the European theater until a complete plan on the program has been submitted.

UNITED KINGDOM—RAF FAIRFORD: VARIOUS PROJECTS, $4,450,000

The Committee has denied all projects requested for this installation and suggests that its viability as a base be studied as outlined earlier in the report.

UNITED KINGDOM—RAF WETHERSFIELD: 2ND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS FACILITY, $650,000

The Committee has deferred this project in conjunction with similar action on all medical readiness projects in Europe. However, the Committee also questions the wisdom of continuing to keep this base open as outlined earlier in the report.

VARIOUS LOCATIONS—PLANNING AND DESIGN, $127,260,000

The Committee has approved the full request for planning and design on the condition that no reprogrammings seeking additional funds for this purpose are submitted in fiscal year 1987.

Military Construction, Defense Agencies

Fiscal year 1986 appropriation	$172,488,000
Fiscal year 1987 estimates	762,100,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	541,563,000
Reduction	220,537,000

The Committee has approved a total of $541,563,000 for Military Construction Defense Agencies for fiscal year 1987. The amount recommended is $220,537,000 below the President's request and $369,075,000 above the fiscal year 1986 appropriation.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Program Changes

AUTHORIZATION DELETIONS AND REDUCTIONS

The Committee does not recommend funding, as follows, for projects proposed by the Department but deleted or reduced in the authorization bill.

Alaska—(OSD) Fort Wainwright: Troop medical and dental clinic	$8,800,000
California—(SDIO) Edwards AFB: Rocket Propulsion brilion facility	4,140,000
District of Columbia—(OSD) Naval Research Lab: Pulse power research facility	3,200,000
Hawaii—(SDIO) Pacific Missile Range: Intermediate ballistic missile launch complex	2,890,000
Maryland—(NSA) Fort Meade:	
R&D facility	15,700,000
Road improvements	4,370,000
New Mexico—(OSD) Kirtland AFB: Add/alter composite medical facility	25,550,000
New Mexico—(SDIO) White Sands Missile Range: High endo defense interceptor launch complex	1,930,000
Pennsylvania—(DLA) Def Reutilization & Marketing Ofc Mechanbg: Scrapyard improvements	1,290,000
Texas—(OSD) Brooks AFB: Add/alter drug abuse detection lab	1,850,000
Utah—(DLA) Defense Depot Ogden:	
Administrative facilities	9,780,000
Transient and visitor housing	620,000
Virginia—(OSD) Pentagon:	
Demolition of parking lot	2,500,000
JDSSC Pentagon alteration/expansion	2,300,000
Washington—(DLA) Def Fuel Support Point Mukilteo: Fuel pier	6,360,000
Conus Classified—(NSA) Classified project	3,000,000
Germany—(DLA) Def Reutilization & Marketing Ofc Bitburg: Covered storage	650,000
Italy—(DODDS) Naples:	
Elementary and high school	19,590,000
Replacement hospital	29,300,000
Kwajalein—(SDIO) Missile Range: Target launch complex	1,340,000
Worldwide unspecified—Planning and design	22,500,000
Worldwide Various—(DLA) Conforming storage facilities	18,137,000
Total reduction	195,687,000

Low Priority Projects

The Committee has denied a number of projects of low operational utility because of budget constraints. These projects are:

California—March AFB: Add/alter medical logistic fac	$2,300,000
Maryland—Bethesda Naval Hospital: Research support building	790,000
South Carolina—Def Fuel Support Point NSC Charleston: Fuel tankage	5,130,000

High Unit Costs

The Committee has reduced the amounts requested for a number of projects due to their high unit costs. The approved levels for these projects are as follows:

California-DLI Monterey, Barracks	$4,400,000
California-Edwards AFB: Dental clinic	3,000,000
Idaho-Mountain Home AFB: Composite medical facility	28,000,000
Illinois-Scott AFB: Decco computer center/admin fac	6,600,000
Missouri-DMA Areospace center	14,700,000
Texas-Randolph AFB: Clinic/dental clinic	12,200,000
Bermuda-NAS Bermuda: Elementary school	3,280,000
Netherlands-Camp New Amsterdam: Clinic/dental clinic	5,000,000

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ARKANSAS-BLYTHEVILLE AFB: COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY

The Department is directed to carry out the design of the add/alteration of the Composite Medical Facility at Blytheville, AFB, Arkansas.

MARYLAND—ANDREWS AFB: ALTER MEDICAL CENTER CLINIC ANNEX, $2,000,000

The Committee has denied funds for this project pending clarification of the full renovation costs and the submission of an economic analysis.

MISSISSIPPI—KEESLER AFB: ADD/ALTER RADIOLOGY LINER ACCELERATOR, $3,100,000

The Committee has approved the funds for this project contingent upon the submission of a plan from the Department on the proposed additional locations for the construction of Radiology Liner Accelerators.

NEW MEXICO—LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY

The Committee directs that a feasibility study be prepared which addresses the establishment of an arms control center in conjunction with the current initiative establishing a Center for National Security Studies at this location.

VIRGINIA—PENTAGON ANNEX, $25,000,000

The Committee has not provided the funds requested for this project due to lack of authorization. However, the Department is to submit a report, which specifies how and in what locations lease costs would be reduced by the construction of a new $425,000,000 Pentagon Annex. This report should also address how anticipated problems with traffic patterns and parking will be addressed.

TEXAS—BROOKE ARMY MEDICAL CENTER

The Committee notes with approval that the Army is completing concept design of the 450-bed tertiary care and teaching facility at Brooke Army Medical Center at Fort Sam Houston, Texas. The Committee directs the Army and the Department of Defense to complete final design of the Brooke replacement facility using $14 million of the funds available for construction design, as the Committee finds the current facility is totally inadequate to meet potential wartime requirements or to serve the existing military population.

The Subcommittee further directs the Army and the Department of Defense to proceed with site preparation construction work on the 450-bed Brooke replacement facility concurrent with final design work in fiscal year 1987, so that construction will begin as expeditiously as possible. Funds in the amount of $10,000,000 have been specifically earmarked to be derived from the medical planning and design funds for this purpose.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

TEXAS—WILLIAM BEAUMONT ARMY MEDICAL CENTER

The Committee notes that the Joint Commission of Accreditation of Hospitals (JCAH) recommended correction of deficiencies identified as William Beaumont Army Medical Center and associated clinics in August of 1985. The JCAH corrections are to be completed by 1988 for WBAMC to retain its accreditation. Therefore, the Committee recommends that the Department of the Army schedule Project Request WB0119-OP in the Fiscal Year 1988 budget, if not earlier.

The Committee notes that the U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency Medical Systems Safety and Health Medical Center conducted surveys during May 1978, January 1981, and August 1985 at William Beaumont Army Medical Center and identified deficiencies in need of correction. The Department of the Army has neglected to include funding for the necessary corrections in each budget year. Therefore, the Committee directs the Department of the Army to schedule Project Requests WB02741-OP, WB02766-2P, and FE02654-1P in the Fiscal Year 1988 budget, if not earlier.

TEXAS—WBAMC-VETERANS' ADMINISTRATION JOINT VENTURE

The Committee notes with approval that the Department of the Army and the Veterans' Administration have begun preliminary discussions regarding the expansion of the William Beaumont Army Medical Center to increase capacity in conjunction with the economic analysis of the health care requirements of veterans in the El Paso, Texas Primary Service Area. The Committee directs the Department of the Army to develop a proposal for a joint venture between William Beaumont Army Medical Center and the Veterans' Administration for increased health care facilities at WBAMC for both military personnel, dependents, retirees, and survivors and veterans in the El Paso, Texas area. Furthermore, the Committee directs the Department of the Army to report to the Committee on the proposal within six months of enactment.

JAPAN—DFSP TSURUMI: FUEL TANKAGE, $3,520,000

The Committee has denied these funds and directs the Department to seek funding in the Japanese Facilities Improvement Program.

WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED—PLANNING AND DESIGN, $110,700,000

The Committee has provided a total of $78,200,000 for this account. Funds in the amount of $22,500,000, requested for the design of the Pentagon Annex have been denied. The Committee has reduced the $20,000,000 requested for the Strategic Defense Initiative by $10,000,000 due to the lack of justification. The Committee has specifically earmarked $10,000,000 for the $40,000,000 requested for the planning and design of medical projects for site preparation of the replacement hospital at Brooke Army Medical Center, Texas as stated earlier in the report.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

WORLDWIDE VARIOUS—CONFORMING STORAGE FACILITIES, $21,400,000

The budget request sought $21,400,000 for the construction of conforming storage facilities at various worldwide locations. These facilities would be buildings for the storage of hazardous waste materials. The Defenses Logistics Agency, as the single manager for the disposal for all hazardous waste materials for the services, is required to comply with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and all other Federal and state requirements while processing hazardous materials for resale or disposal. Since 1980, all hazardous waste facilities in the United States must obtain an EPA permit for operation prior to construction. With enactment of the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984, EPA's authority on the management of hazardous waste facilities was broadly expanded which increased the permit requirements in terms of required documentation and the length of time needed to obtain the permit.

In addition to the request for funds for the construction of conforming storage facilities, the Administration also has requested that the provisions of RCRA, which require the issuance of a permit prior to construction, be removed. This would allow the department to construct the facilities while the permit application is under consideration; however, the operation of the completed facility would not commence until the final permit is issued. The committee, in considering this request, feels strongly that the provisions of RCRA were instituted for the maximum protection of the environment and the public and should therefore not be changed.

The budget request for $21,400,000 is intended to construct conforming storage facilities as the required permits become available. The Defense Logistics Agency provided the Committee with a list of 69 separate projects that could possibly be constructed in FY-1987, and a list of 21 separate projects that are programmed for FY-1988 that could be accelerated into FY-1987. The start of all of these projects would be contingent on the issuance of a permit. The committee notes that of the 90 total separate projects, only 10 of these projects have requested a permit and are at the 35% design level. Of these 10 projects, 4 are estimated at less than $200,000. The committee also notes that 9 of the 90 projects listed are in foreign countries where RCRA permit requirements do not apply.

The Committee, therefore, has recommended that the 6 projects on the list that are at the 35% design level and have already requested a permit be specifically funded by line item in Section 401. The committee also recommends that the other 4 projects that are less than $200,000 be accomplished through the unspecified minor construction account. The committee does not question the need for these hazardous waste storage facilities; however, it is doubtful that the projects that have not reached the 35% design level and have not yet requested a permit could be started in FY-1987. The committee further recommends that when the permits for the remaining needed facilities are issued, the Department resubmit these as individual constructions projects.

The Committee has been informed that the Defense Department intends this year to issue new policy directives concerning the storage and disposal of hazardous waste by the services. The committee

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

further understands that these new directives will place more emphasis on the individual installations, who are the generators of hazardous waste, to reduce the amount of wastes and treat or dispose of wastes at the local installation level. The committee supports this concept and would hope that this initiative would reduce the number of required conforming storage facilities.

Therefore, as a result of this new DOD policy, the Committee reqests that the Department provide the Committee within four months of the enactment of this Act or by April 1, 1987, whichever is earlier, a cost benefit analysis and any other justification for the construction of Conforming Storage Facilities versus a concerted program by the individual services and installations to reduce hazardous waste through increased use of industrial waste treatment plants, recycling, reuse, substitution, rapid disposal, and greater reliance on the use of interim storage facilities, which can safely store hazardous materials and waste for up to 90 days.

The Committee further requests that the Department address the following questions in its analysis:

(1) When it is necessary to use disposal contractors, what analysis and/or justifications are used in making the decision to have DLA contract for disposal with a longer storage time, each time needed, versus having the installations do their own hazardous waste management using regional on-call contractors?

(2) If the installation commanders are responsible and are held accountable for any violations of environmental laws, as provided under current DOD policy, does the benefit of having DLA responsible for construction of conforming storage facilities and contracting for disposal outweigh the possible problems that could be caused by delays that have or may result from DLA's involvement?

(3) Because DLA does not have legal authority to contract for architect/engineers or construction contractors, what role does DLA serve and what are the benefits derived from having DLA oversee the Corps of Engineers and the Naval Facilities Engineering Command as they perform these functions?

The Committee requests that DLA provide the above analysis within four months of the enactment of this Act or by April 1, 1987, whichever is earlier.

North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure

Fiscal year 1986 appropriation	$9,510,000
Fiscal year 1987 estimate	247,000,000
Committee recommendation in bill	247,000,000
Reduction	0

The Committee has approved the full request of $247,000,000 for this account. Further direction on the operation of the program is outlined earlier in the report.

Military Construction, Reserve Components

Fiscal year 1986 appropriation	$370,540,000
Fiscal year 1987 estimate	451,200,000
Committee recommendation in bill	435,541,000
Reduction	15,659,000

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

The Committee recommends approval of military construction for the various reserve components as follows:

Component	Requested	Recommended
Army National Guard	$121,100,000	$115,724,000
Air National Guard	140,000,000	140,000,000
Army Reserve	86,700,000	76,417,000
Naval Reserve	44,500,000	44,500,000
Air Force Reserve	58,900,000	58,900,000
Total	451,200,000	435,541,000

The Committee points out that although Guard and Reserve projects are authorized on a lump-sum basis, appropriations are based on project by project approval. These programs are to be implemented according to the specific project appropriation.

Program Changes

ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

UTAH—DRAPER, MILITARY COMPLEX ACQUISITION $5,376,000

The Committee has deferred funding for this project as it is a low priority administrative facility.

ARMORY PROJECTS—VARIOUS LOCATIONS

The Army National Guard received an additional $30,000,000 in FY 1986 for unit storage buildings at unspecified locations. This year $25,533,000 has been requested for unit storage buildings at specific locations. The Committee directs that the $30,000,000 provided in FY 1986 be used to fund the unit storage buildings requested in FY 1987. The Committee further directs that the following projects be accomplished in FY 1987.

Project	*Amount*
Daleville, Alabama—Armory	$965,000
Fayette, Alabama—Armory	470,000
Millport, Alabama—Armory	708,000
Pelham, Alabama—Armory	686,000
Tucson, Arizona—Armory	1,576,000
Fairfield, California—Armory	1,784,000
Camp McCain, Mississippi:	
Armory	1,607,000
Barracks and Dining Hall	722,000
Continued Support Maint. Shop	1,493,000
Wadesboro, North Carolina, Armory	681,000
Santa Fe, New Mexico—Armory	3,410,000
Greensburg, Ohio—Armory	3,254,000
Dyersburg, Tennessee—Armory	984,000
Camp Murray, Washington—Land acquisition	2,170,000
Chippewa Falls Wisconsin—Armory Addition	1,438,000
Bay City, Michigan—Armory Sewerage Connection	411,000

NEW MEXICO—ADJUNCT MILITARY SUPPORT COMPLEX

The Committee directs the Army National Guard to investigate the feasibility of establishing a computer training center to service the training needs of the guard nationwide in the area of Mora,

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

San Miquel, and Colfax counties in New Mexico. The results of this study should be submitted by January 31, 1987.

ARMY RESERVE

SOUTH CAROLINA—FLORENCE, LAND ACQUISITION, $200,000

The Committee has not provided funds for this acquisition in the belief that $100,000 per acre is too high.

VIRGINIA—FORT LEE, ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER, $2,299,000

The Committee has deferred this project due to budget constraints.

PUERTO RICO—FORT ALLEN ARMY RESERVE CENTER MAINT/STORAGE, $7,784,000

The Committee has deferred this project in the belief that adequate space exists at this location.

FAMILY HOUSING, OVERVIEW

NEW CONSTRUCTION

This year the Department has requested a total of $506 million to build 5,524 new units of family housing. This is an increase of 9% in the number of units over the requested FY 1986 level, however, it reflects a significant increase over the number of new units requested in FY 1985 and prior years. The Committee has approved all authorized requests for new housing, but has made reductions of approximately 5% to each project.

For the past several years reductions of about 10% have been made to the amounts requested for new family housing with very little detrimental effect of the quality of housing. This year the reduction is less severe due to recent bid trends. Use of the turnkey method of contracting has resulted in a design cost to build environment. Thus the amount provided represents a level of funding where adequate quality and reasonable price are achieved.

The Navy has begun to use a modified approach to the turnkey method, which the Committee feels holds some promise to reduce costs while maintaining the construction of quality housing. The Department is directed to analyze the results of this new approach and report to the Committee on January 31, 1987.

This year an average of $77,000 per unit has been provided. The reductions are based on the following changes to the Department's cost formula: (1) maintaining uniform square foot costs of $48 per square foot as requested (2) recomputation of project factors, unit size factors and economies of scale and (3) adjusting support costs as appropriate.

The Committee made larger reductions to projects requested for remote areas. Future budget requests for these projects should be specifically based on the costs to purchase manufactured/factory built units plus shipping costs.

Despite the fact that some flexibility to reduce the number of units in approved projects was granted in FY 1986, it is the inten-

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

tion of the Committee that none of the approved projects be reduced in scope unless specified. The Committee expects that future budget requests for new family housing will be consistent among the services.

MANUFACTURED/FACTORY BUILT HOUSING

For the past four years the Department has been under specific instruction to remove all biases from its domestic bid evaluation and award process against manufactured/factory built housing. Despite this directive, few awards have been made for this type of housing. Because of the increasing requests for new family housing and the impetus to provide family housing for lower ranking personnel, and the fact that little has been done to take advantage of alternate methods of housing construction, the Committee directs that the following projects be designated to be manufactured/factory built: Fort Ord, California—385 units, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland—140 units, NS Long Beach, California—300 Units, and La Junta, Colorado—40 units. The Committee is to be informed of the execution plan for these projects prior to obligation of funds.

Since 1984, ninety percent of all new military family housing overseas has been U.S. manufactured/factory built. This policy has been successful by providing a lower cost alternative overseas and in returning to the U.S. dollars that would otherwise be spent overseas. In several instances the host countries have either refused to accept or have accepted only a limited number of U.S. manufactured/factory built units. In the United Kingdom, additional elements were required on these units by the local governments making their costs prohibitive. The Commmittee expects that the Departments of Defense and State will obtain the necessary agreements from affected host countries and strive to maintain reasonable costs. The Committee also expects that in the future the decision to solicit build to lease agreements will not be based on a host country's insistence on accepting only a limited number of U.S. manufactured/factory built units.

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

A total of $256 million has been requested for post acquisition construction in fiscal year 1987 for all services. The Committee has recommended $219 million of this request. The amount provided reflects the level of project funding that can be executed in an orderly fashion based on historical trends in actual obligation of funds. Although substantial improvement in obligation rates has occurred in the last three years, the Committee feels it is unwise to provide large increases in this program.

The approval of one lump sum for construction improvements for each service is based specifically on the list of projects submitted as part of the justification material. The Committee does not consider this listing of projects to be exemplary of the kinds of work to be accomplished. Any reduction or delection made by the Committee is to be taken as a disapproval of that project. Current policy requires the services to report any additions or deletions to the list of projects submitted on a semi-annual basis. The services should in-

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

clude a plan for implementing these reductions in their next semi-annual report.

For the past three years the Congress has restricted the amount invested in improving foreign source housing units to $30,000. This year several specific projects exceeding that limitation have been identified. Unless mentioned specifically in the following service sections, the Committee has no objection to the execution of these projects. However, they are considered as exceptions and the Department is directed to continue the policy established in fiscal year 1985.

This policy is that, if the services intend to program improvements to specific units of more than $30,000 over a period of three years, those funds should all be requested in one year. All efforts should be made to meet the $30,000 limitation on all improvements projects. The justification forms should identify all improvements and major maintenance work done on specific units in the past three years, and all improvements and major maintenance planned in the following three years. The Army has not met this requirement and the Committee has made some reductions based on this failure.

The Committee has become aware of improvement funds, being spent on so-called minor improvements, which have been mostly unreported. The services are directed to include amounts in their budget request for "minor improvements", if there is a valid requirement for these funds rather than reporting them after the fact.

The Committee has maintained the threshold on improvements projects to which criteria for reprogramming apply at $1,000,000, as outlined earlier in this report.

Operations

The Committee established specific reprogramming criteria in fiscal year 1986 for family housing operations, utilities, maintenance and leasing accounts which shall continue in effect. The transfer of any amount in excess of 25% or $3,000,000, whichever is less among or between the subaccounts of the operations account, is to be reported to the Committee 30 days prior to such action.

Maintenance

A total of $1.16 billion has been requested for family housing maintenance for all services in fiscal year 1987. This is approximately equivalent to last year's request, however it reflects an increase of 50% in the level of funds requested for this purpose in 1982. The Committee has recommended a total of $1.1 billion for all services, which is equivalent to the FY 1986 funded level and maintains a strong commitment to improving the quality of life in the military.

Expenditures from this account for general and flag officer quarters are to be reported in accordance with the guidelines outlined in the following section of this report. The Committee also directs that the details of all other expenditures from this account which exceed $15,000 per unit per year for major maintenance and repair be included as part of the justification material. The general provi-

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

sion limiting obligations from this account to no more than 20% of the total in the last two months of the fiscal year, which was inserted last year, has also been included in this year's bill.

General and Flag Officer Quarters

Between 1980 and 1983 the amount spent on maintaining general and flag officer housing increased by over 50% from $8.6 million to $13.2 million. In fiscal year 1984 the Committee instituted reporting requirements for expenditure on these quarters and the amounts spent since have stabilized.

The Committee was forced to establish a stringent reporting system because instances of unreported projects in the hundreds of thousands of dollars were discovered. In order to preserve a consensus on providing increases in spending for military family housing, the Department must on its own initiative control expenditures on its high cost quarters. The reporting requirements for general and flag officer housing are as follows. The Committee directs that the total of all operations and maintenance obligations on each general or flag officer quarters is limited to $25,000 per year unless specifically reported to the Congress. Congress, of course, has the prerogative to reduce or deny any requested projects. This continues the policy of reporting costs for general and flag officer quarters initiated in fiscal year 1984 and enables the necessary level of program review. An updated list detailing general and flag officer quarters where total operations and maintenance obligations are anticipated in fiscal year 1986 will be included in the semi-annual report. For subsequent years, this information will be included as part of the budget justification material and updated during the year as necessary.

The detailed justification material will include all costs of operation, maintenance and improvement to the dwelling unit, appurtenant structures and all other related areas and facilities intended for the exclusive use of the general or flag officer. Recognizing the uncertainties involved in accurately forecasting the need for change of occupancy work, the Committee requires prior notification when the operations and maintenance cost for a unit will exceed 125%, or $5000, whichever is less, of the cost submitted in the budget justification, and when the operations and maintenance cost will exceed $25,000 for a unit not previously reported. The Committee reserves the right to express objections to any such proposed obligation. These costs should be reported in a manner consistent among the services. These reports should be coordinated through the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

Last year the Committee directed that no major repairs occur on the Jamestown Exposition houses in Norfolk, Virginia, until a plan for their retention, disposal or replacement was submitted. These 14 units will cost an average of $33,000 per unit to operate and maintain in fiscal year 1987. Despite the fact that the study shows that in eleven of twenty structures evaluated it would be cheaper to replace than to continue to occupy them, the Navy has decided to retain and occupy all the existing quarters. In addition, the conclusions of the study are based on flawed assumptions and, incomplete historical costs. It was also prepared hastily after the creation

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

of self-imposed time constraints. The Committee therefore directs that no funds be expended in excess of $15,000 per unit on those eleven structures identified in the report as costing more to retain than replace, until a satisfactory plan for their replacement is submitted.

LEASING

THE CASE AGAINST LEASING

In fiscal year 1984 the Department spent $175 million on its foreign domestic family housing leasing program. In fiscal year 1987 they have requested a total of $276 million. This equates to a 57% increase in three years in the costs of the leasing program. If the Committee were to approve all the additional lease agreements proposed for fiscal year 1987, expenditures for these accounts would more than double within a few years. The Committee shares the Department's priority of providing the necessary family housing for our military personnel. However, in our view, this priority should be reflected in increased requests for building, not leasing, new family housing.

Prior to 1984 the overall policy of the Department on new family housing was, on the domestic side to rely on the private sector to provide housing wherever possible; and on the foreign side to build new and lease. Since then the general direction has been to rely increasingly upon leasing as the source of new housing. If permanent unrestricted authority for the Section 801 family housing program is granted, the services intend to immediately pursue lease agreements for over 5000 additional units in the United States. Overseas the Department had requested an increase of 2500 leases for Europe alone. Plans for the outyears call for similar annual increases in overseas leasing authority.

The Committee acknowledges the wisdom of meeting housing requirements through a combination of government owned and leased housing. However, in our view the services have placed too much reliance upon the leasing alternative, rather than requesting the necessary increases in construction funds. While the requests for new leasing authority have increased vastly over the last three years, requests for new construction have been at relatively the same level. The law requires that leasing cannot be used as an option, if construction is more cost effective. However, because of flaws in the methodology and assumptions in the economic analyses used to justify these projects, the cost effectiveness of leasing is in doubt. For these reasons the Committee directs a moratorium on new leasing authority in fiscal year 1987. The details of this policy are outlined in the following sections.

DOMESTIC LEASING

To date Congress has approved the leasing of 2,863 housing units through the Section 801 leasing program. Over the next twenty years these units will cost the government an amazing $670 million to lease. This equates to paying $234,000 per unit after which the government has nothing. Even after considering operations and

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

maintenance costs, the government is paying twice what it would cost to construct new homes to lease these units.

The Committee views the Section 801 family housing program as still in its test stages primarily because of its high costs. In addition, however, (1) there are no established criteria for leasing versus construction decisions (2) actual construction and financing of approved projects has just begun in most cases (3) no response has been obtained on the fiscal year 1986 projects which have reduced cost ceilings (4) other options for providing new housing such as installment purchase and private sector financing have not been fully explored (5) the program is not currently structured to take full advantage of private sector competition and (6) the information currently provided by developers on financing and property tax arrangements is inadequate.

The Committee last year in its report suggested that the Department seek the authority to test the installment purchase option for new family housing. This option is essentially the same as leasing, except that the government owns the housing after the extended payment period. The Department, however, declined to request the necessary authority because it "violates (their . . .) firm policy of requesting full funding for construction projects". We would point out that pursuing additional leasing authority while stating that long term purchase of housing violates current policies, is contradictory and somewhat ridiculous.

The GAO's initial findings on the Section 801 program have shown that it is not currently structured to take full advantage of private sector competition or to obtain the housing at less cost than building new. Rather it is structured to get the highest quality house at the highest allowable cost. Most awards to date on Section 801 projects have been to either the highest or second highest bidders, and generally at the maximum allowable cost.

The lease ceilings in the proposed fiscal year 1986 projects are substantially less than the ceilings on earlier projects. However, this reduction is primarily due to the drop in the discount rate used from 12% to 9.6%. The rate of 9.6% was specified for use because it was the value of 20 year treasury bonds at the time of the analysis. Obviously this rate will fluctuate every year leaving no guarantees for future years on the lower costs we are experiencing now.

As stated earlier the Committee is committed to providing the necessary family housing for military personnel, but it must be accomplished in the most cost effective manner. By requesting permanent unrestricted authority for the Section 801 leasing program, the Department has clearly signaled its intention to rely upon the leasing alternative for new domestic family housing rather than request the necessary construction funds.

The premise that leasing allows for the provision of more housing at a faster pace is contingent upon the Department's own artificial constraint on the amount of new construction funds requested. In our view, if the new housing is required, the Department should request the necessary construction funds, and re-arrange its budget priorities accordingly. The Committee will not approve requests for new leasing authority under the Section 801 program in fiscal year 1987. A moratorium will allow time for necessary changes and ad-

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

justments in the program. More importantly, however, it will allow the Department to fully reassess the overall direction of their housing program. The Committee also directs that the services report on the feasibility of requesting construction funds at those locations specified for Section 801 projects.

The Department has made use of 10 U.S.C. Sec. 2667 to allow private financing for new housing at several locations. The Committee feels this alternative has promise, however, the Department is to inform the Committee of all agreements using this authority prior to their consummation.

Foreign Leasing

The Department also plans to request large annual increases in leasing authority overseas in the next few years. With the exception of the Army, the services in the last two years have requested few new construction projects overseas. In addition leasing seems to have become more desirable in those countries where agreements on U.S. manufactured/factory built housing have been difficult or impossible to obtain. As with the domestic program there are no specific criteria on when to lease and when to construct new units. Also, a recent GAO study found numerous instances of flaws in the economic analysis used to justify the cost effectiveness of leasing versus construction. In all cases the flaws caused leasing to be more attractive than was warranted. The Committee will not approve any new foreign lease agreements until the Department submits a long term plan on leasing overseas. This plan should (1) specify the planned increases in leasing authority for the next five years (2) clearly define the criteria used in leasing versus construction decisions and (3) address the flaws identified by the recent GAO study in the methodology used in preparing economic analyses.

The Committee directs that the procedures established last year on the submission of leasing requests are to remain in effect.

Reprogramming Criteria

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects continue to apply to new housing construction projects and improvements projects over $1 million. The criteria applicable to the operation, maintenance, utilities and leasing accounts are detailed in an earlier section of the report.

Family Housing, Army

Fiscal year 1986 appropriation	$1,375,089,000
Fiscal year 1987 estimate	1,740,646,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,573,991,000
Reduction	166,655,000

The Committee recommends $1,573,991,000 for family housing, Army in fiscal year 1987, which is $166,655,000 below the budget estimate and $198,902,000 above the amount approved in fiscal year 1986.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has approved $367,680,000 for construction instead of the $463,380,000 requested, as shown below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
New construction:		
Alaska-Fort Wainwright: 150 units	$33,000,000	0
California-Fort Irwin: 38 sites	730,000	$730,000
California-Fort Ord: 385 units	34,000,000	30,500,000
Louisiana-Fort Polk: 583 units	37,000,000	33,900,000
Maryland-Aberdeen Proving Ground:		
140 units	9,700,000	9,100,000
70 sites	1,100,000	1,100,000
New York-Fort Drum: 1200 units	91,000,000	86,500,000
New York-Seneca Army Depot: 30 units	2,900,000	2,650,000
Germany-Crailsheim: 40 units	4,100,000	3,200,000
Germany-Darmstadt: 40 units	3,150,000	2,500,000
Germany-Erlangen: 106 units	9,400,000	7,750,000
Germany-Herzo Base: 34 units	3,300,000	2,600,000
Germany-Mainz: 20 units	1,850,000	0
Germany-Schweinfurt: 90 units	8,400,000	6,800,000
Germany-Vilseck: 224 units	21,000,000	17,800,000
Germany-Wildflecken: 24 units	2,050,000	1,550,000
Italy-Livorno: 90 units	7,700,000	0
Kwajalein-Kwajalein: 136 units	23,000,000	16,000,000
Construction improvements	146,000,000	125,000,000
Planning and design	24,000,000	20,000,000
Total, construction	463,380,000	367,680,000

The reduction to the new family housing construction projects reflects the formula outlined earlier in the report. The full scope of these projects is to be maintained.

IMPROVEMENTS

The Committee has approved $125,000,000 of the $146,000,000 requested, which is a 25% increase over the level of funding provided last year. The Committee directs that the renovation project for general officer quarters at Walter Reed Army Medical Center be limited to $75,000. The Army is to report its execution plan for the funds approved in their semi annual report.

PLANNING AND DESIGN

The $20,000,000 provided in this account is a 33% increase over the amount provided in fiscal year 1986 and will allow the design of a reasonable out year construction program.

OPERATIONS

The Committee has funded $224,369,000 for various operations accounts which reflects reductions of $3,686,000 from management, and $10,815,000 from furnishings. The amounts provide for small increases over last year's bill.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Utilities

The utilities accounts remain as a separate account for the purposes of reprogramming. A reduction of $9,266,000 has been made due to the decrease in fuel prices.

Maintenance

The Committee has provided $570,000,000 for this account, which is a slight increase over the fiscal year 1986 level.

Leasing

The Committee has provided $144,549,000 for this account to reflect reductions of $5,336,000 in the domestic leasing program and $24,000,000 in the foreign leasing program. These reductions reflect the most recent actual occupancy and payment schedules and the denial of the 2580 unit increase in leasing authority requested for Germany.

Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps

Fiscal year 1986 appropriation	$648,788,000
Fiscal year 1987 estimate	792,913,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	712,494,000
Reduction	80,419,000

The Committee recommends $712,494,000 for family housing, Navy and Marine Corps, which is $80,419,000 below the budget estimate and $63,706,000 above the fiscal year 1986 appropriation.

Construction

The Committee has approved $171,392,000 for new construction instead of the $230,332,000 requested, as shown below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
New construction:		
California-Marcor Air-Grand Comb Ctr:		
Twentynine Palms: 392 units	$33,900,000	$31,400,000
Twentynine Palms: 75 sites	1,400,000	1,400,000
California-Marine Corps Air Station El Toro: units	0	0
California-Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton: 100 sites	1,800,000	1,800,000
California-NAS Moffett Field: 126 units	11,600,000	10,400,000
California-Naval Station Long Beach: 300 units	22,900,000	21,000,000
California-Public Works Center San Francisco: 300 units	26,450,000	24,450,000
New York-Naval Station New York: 300 unit	28,330,000	0
North Carolina-Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune: 75 sites	930,000	930,000
Virginia-Marcor Dev Edu Com Quantico: 50 sites	790,000	790,000
Iceland-Naval Station Keflavik: 250 units	48,642,000	28,642,000
Construction improvements	51,580,000	48,580,000
Planning and design	2,000,000	2,000,000
Total, construction	230,332,000	171,392,000

The reductions to all new family housing projects reflect the formula outlined earlier in the report. The Committee expects the full scope of all approved projects to be maintained.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

CALIFORNIA: MCAS EL TORO, FAMILY HOUSING UNITS

The Committee did not provide funds for this project, but directs that a reprogramming for it be submitted.

ICELAND: NS KEFLAVIK: 250 UNITS

The Committee has reduced the request of $48,642,000 by $20,000,000 to reflect the authorization. The Committee expects that $115,000 per unit will be sufficient to provide for 250 new units at this location and expects the Navy to strive very hard to reach this price in their negotiations with the Iceland Prime Contractor.

IMPROVEMENTS

The Committee has approved $48,580,000 of the $51,580,000 to reflect a $3,000,000 reduction to the improvements projects at the naval installations on Guam. The reductions are to be $1,500,000 each from the major upgrade projects requested at the Navy Public Works Center, and NAS Agana. This level provides $80,000 per unit.

OPERATIONS

The Committee has provided a total of $85,101,000 for various operations accounts which reflects reductions of $2,000,000 from management, $1,706,000 from services and $2,000,000 from furnishings and $37,000,000 from miscellaneous. These levels provide for the fiscal year 1986 level of funding.

UTILITIES

The utilities account is to remain a separate account for the purposes of reprogramming. The Committee has provided $168,071,000 of the $172,071,000 requested to reflect reduced fuel prices.

MAINTENANCE

The Committee has provided $260,000,000 of the $268,146,000 requested, which provides for a slight increase over last year's level. The Committee has specifically denied operations and maintenance funds in excess of $15,000 per unit for eleven units at the Jamestown Exposition at NS Norfolk as outlined earlier in the report. In addition the Committee directs that none of the funds requested for Quarters AA, NETC Newport, Rhode Island, and Quarters 6, Marine Corps Barracks, Washington, D.C. be obligated until the long term plan for their upgrade is submitted to the Committee.

LEASING

The Committee has provided $18,859,000 of the $22,459,000 requested to reflect more recent occupancy and payment schedules.

FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 1986 appropriation	$806,926,000
Fiscal year 1987 estimate	860,409,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	807,420,000
Reduction	52,989,000

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

The Committee recommends $807,420,000 for family housing, Air Force in fiscal year 1987, which is $52,753,000 below the budget estimate and $494,000 above the amount provided in the fiscal year 1986 appropriation.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has approved $96,840,000 for construction instead of the $109,000,000 requested, as shown below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
New construction:		
Arizona-Davis-Monthan AFB	$300,000	$300,000
California-Beale AFB	180,000	180,000
California-Edwards AFB: 24 sites	376,000	376,000
Colorado-La Junta: 40 units	4,000,000	3,400,000
New Jersey-McGuire AFB	325,000	325,000
North Carolina-Pope AFB	300,000	300,000
Germany-Bitburg AB: 332 units	26,415,000	24,015,000
Germany-Hahn AB: 150 units	11,300,000	10,300,000
Korea-Osan AB: 1 unit	160,000	0
Construction improvements	58,644,000	50,644,000
Planning and design	7,000,000	7,000,000
Total, construction	109,000,000	96,840,000

The reductions to all new family housing projects reflect the formula outlined earlier in the report. The Committee expects the full scope of all approved projects to be maintained.

WHITE POINT HOUSING

In fiscal year 1985 funds were appropriated for new family housing to be sited at White Point Park near Fort MacArthur, California on the condition that the Air Force notify the Committee prior to obligation of funds of the final agreement of the use of the site. The Committee reiterates that requirement, and directs the Air Force to submit a report that (1) identifies all available federal land in the Los Angeles metropolitan area suitable for government housing (2) spells out the housing needs of each service in this area and (3) reports on the ultimate work site for each of the aforementioned military employees. This report is also to be submitted prior to the obligation of funds for this project.

IMPROVEMENTS

The Committee has approved $50,644,000 of the $58,644,000 requested for improvements, which reflects an 8% increase over last year's level. The Committee has no objection to the use of an alternate list of improvements projects, provided that final annual execution plan is reflected clearly in the semi-annual report for this account.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Operations

The Committee has provided $97,895,000 of the $109,295,000 requested for this account. Reductions of $2,000,000 in the management account, $400,000 in the services account, $7,000,000 in the furnishings account, and $2,000,000 in the miscellaneous account have been made. The decrease in the furnishing account is not to be taken against the $2,000,000 requested for furnishings for Marine Corps personnel on Okinawa, Japan.

Utilities

The Committee has provided $235,320,000 for this account. The reduction is due to the drop in oil prices.

Maintenance

The Committee has provided $300,000,000 of the $307,684,000 requested for this account. The Committee directs that the Quarters 6776, USAF Academy, Colorado, and the Quarters 418, Langley AFB, Virginia projects be limited to $90,000 each. This limitation applies to funds from both the maintenance and improvements account.

Leasing

The Committee has provided $70,000,000 of the $79,776,000 requested for this account to reflect the most recent occupancy schedules and no increases to overseas leasing.

Family Housing, Defense Agencies

Fiscal year 1986 appropriation	$15,755,000
Fiscal year 1987 estimate	17,600,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	16,470,000
Reduction	1,130,000

The Committee recommends $16,470,000 for family housing, Defense Agencies in fiscal year 1987, which is $1,130,000 below the budget estimate and $715,000 above the fiscal year 1986 appropriation.

New Construction

The Committee has approved $240,000 of the $270,000 requested for new NSA housing at a classified location.

Operations

The Committee has provided $2,194,000 of the $2,794,000 requested for the operations account to reflect a $600,000 reduction from the amount requested for furnishings by the DIA.

Leasing

The Committee has provided $13,527,000 of the $14,027,000 requested for leasing. This reduction is to be taken solely from DIA's leasing account and is a direct incentive to reduce high leasing costs.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Homeowner's Assistance Funds

The Committee has not approved any of the $6,000,000 requested for the Homeowner's Assistance Fund. The area where the Department has proposed buying homes is due for a 1200 person increase in personnel levels.

Changes in Application of Existing Law

Pursuant to clause 3, Rule XXI of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill which directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

1. Section 116 of the General Provisions lowers the threshold to $500,000 relating to Architect and Engineer Services in Japan and NATO.

2. Section 122 of the General Provisions establishes a foreign currency fluctuation fund which allows for tranfers to be made to and from the account as currency exchange rates fluctuate.

3. Section 123 of the General Provisions directs the Secretary of the Navy to enter into negotiations with two shipyards to determine if any liability by the United States exists.

Transfer of Funds

Pursuant to clause 1(b), rule X of the House of Representatives, a statement is required describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill. There are no provisions providing for the transfer of funds in this bill. Sections 119 and 121 of the General Provisions provide certain transfer authority.

Inflationary Impact Statement

Clause 2(1)(4) of rule XI of the House of Representatives requires that each Committee report on a bill or resolution shall contain a statement whether enactment of such bill or resolution may have an inflationary impact on prices and costs in the operation of the national economy.

Reductions in new budget authority and an estimated reduction in outlays from the budget will result in less deficit spending. In general, projects approved will have little inflationary effect due to high construction unemployment, except where large projects in a region may have local impact.

Comparison With Budget Resolution

Section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344) requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302 of the Act for the more recently agreed to current resolution on the budget for the fiscal year. As of the date when this bill was reported final Congressional action on the First Budget Resolution for fiscal year 1987 has not completed, and it is therefore impossible to comply with this requirement. The amounts recommended in the accompanying bill are within the

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

amounts assumed in the House passed Budget Resolution pursuant to section 302 of the Budget Act.

Five-Year Projection of Outlays

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended the following table contains 5-year projections of the outlays associated with the FY 1987 budget authority provided in the accompanying bill:

Budget Authority, FY 1987	$8,104,101,000
Outlays:	
1987	2,167,116,000
1988	2,882,770,000
1989	1,626,124,000
1990	755,789,000
1991	672,302,000

(Balance of information not available at time of reporting.)

Assistance to State and Local Governments

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(D) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, the new budget authority and outlays provided in the accompanying bill for financial assistance to State and local governments are as follows:

New budget authority	$54,390,000
Fiscal year 1986 outlays resulting therefrom	3,144,000

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
ALABAMA				
ARMY				
ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT				
SECURITY UPGRADE	1,950	-1,950	---	-1,950
FORT MCCLELLAN				
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	540	---	540	---
FORT RUCKER				
AERIAL GUNNERY RANGE	17,500	---	17,500	---
STAGEFIELDS	27,000	---	26,000	-1,000
ARMY AVIATION MUSEUM	---	*	---	---
REDSTONE ARSENAL				
MICROWAVE/MILLIMETER WAVE SIMULATOR	19,500	-19,500	---	-19,500
AIR FORCE				
GUNTER AFB				
ALTER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	2,900	---	2,900	---
MAXWELL AFB				
ADD-ALTER ACADEMIC FACILITY (ACSC)	1,700	---	---	-1,700
CHAPEL ANNEX	510	---	---	-510
SQ OFFICERS SCHOOL-LEADERSHIP DEV COMPLEX	1,800	*	1,800	---
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	3,100	---	3,100	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
FORT MCCLELLAN				
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	2,830	---	2,830	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
DALEVILLE				
FAYETTE				
FORT MC CLELLAN				
STATE MILITARY ACADEMY/GENERAL INSTR BLDG	825	---	825	* ---
MILLPORT				
MONTGOMERY				
U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE ADDITION	360	---	360	---
PELHAM				
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	375	---	375	---
NAVY RESERVE				
NMCRC MOBILE				
VEHICLE MAINT FACILITY	250	---	250	---
RMMCB-24 REDSTONE ARSENAL				
OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RMMCB-24	175	---	175	---
TOTAL, ALABAMA	81,315	-21,450	56,655	-24,660
ALASKA				
ARMY				
FORT RICHARDSON				
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	11,200	-11,200	---	-11,200
FT J M WAINWRIGHT				
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	21,000	-21,000	---	-21,000
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	11,000	-11,000	---	-11,000
DINING FACILITIES	16,500	-16,500	---	-16,500
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	30,000	-30,000	---	-30,000
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	9,900	-9,900	---	-9,900
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	7,300	-7,300	---	-7,300
UTILITIES EXPANSION	31,000	-31,000	---	-31,000
NAVY				
NAVAL AIR STATION ADAK				
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT FACILITY IMPROVES	500	-500	---	-500
RADAR SUPPORT FACILITIES	24,400	-24,400	---	-24,400
NAVAL FACILITY ADAK				
TERMINAL BUILDING ADDITION	5,700	---	5,700	---
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY ADAK				
OCEAN SURVEILLANCE BUILDING	13,400	---	---	-13,400
WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	1,200	---	1,200	---
AIR FORCE				
EIELSON AFB				
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,800	---	2,800	---
DINING HALL	5,700	-5,700	---	-5,700
FIRE PROTECTION-FLIGHTLINE	2,150	---	2,150	---
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STORAGE	3,450	---	3,450	---
LIBRARY	2,500	-2,500	---	-2,500
LOOP ACCESS ROAD	3,200	---	2,200	-1,000
SECURITY FENCE	990	---	990	---
ELMENDORF AFB				
BATTERY SHOP	1,500	---	1,500	---
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM ALTERATION	1,670	---	1,670	---
SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	5,000	---	4,000	-1,000
GALENA AIRPORT				
ADD TO-ALTER POWER PLANT	4,000	---	4,000	* ---
UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING	7,600	---	5,600	-2,000
KING SALMON AFB				
UNACCOMP OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,050	---	2,800	-1,250
SHEMYA AFB				
ADD-ALTER WATER SYSTEM-PHASE I	4,200	---	3,200	-1,000
DIESEL STORAGE TANKS	15,500	---	12,900	-2,600
SOLID STATE UNINTERRUPT POWER SUPPLY SPT	2,600	---	2,200	-400
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
FORT WAINWRIGHT				
TROOP MEDICAL AND DENTAL CLINIC	8,800	-8,800	---	-8,800
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
ANCHORAGE				
CSMS SEWER LINE EXTENSION	204	---	204	---
U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE IMPROVEMENTS	144	---	144	---
ANGOON				
SCOUT ARMORY	184	---	184	---
SAVOOGNA				
SCOUT ARMORY EXPANSION	193	---	193	---
TOTAL, ALASKA	259,535	-179,800	57,085	-202,450

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
ARIZONA				
ARMY				
FORT HUACHUCA				
COMMUNICATION TEST FACILITY	5.600	---	5.600	---
ELECTRONIC TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER	3.400	---	3.400	---
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	8.200	---	8.200	---
NAVAJO ARMY DEPOT				
SECURITY UPGRADE	3.900	-3.900	---	-3.900
TUMA PROVING GROUND				
CHILD DEVELOPMENT/RELIGIOUS ED FACILITY	820	---	820	---
NAVY				
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION TUMA				
ARMORY	640	---	640	---
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS	2.050	*	2.050	---
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT FACILITY	450	---	450	---
ENGINE MAINTENANCE FACILITY MODIFICATIONS	300	---	300	---
MAINTENANCE HANGARS ADDITIONS	2.650	---	2.650	---
MARINE AIR CONTROL SQUADRON FACILITY	2.950	---	2.950	---
TACTICAL SUPPORT VAN PADS	1.870	---	1.870	---
AIR FORCE				
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB				
AIRCRAFT PROCESSING APRON (MASDC)	3.400	-3.400	---	-3.400
BASE CIVIL ENGINEER ADMINISTRATION FAC	2.600	---	2.600	---
CONTROL TOWER	2.400	---	2.400	---
ECIP-ALTER HEAT/VENTILATION/AIR COND	230	-230	---	-230
GLCM-CONSOLIDATED STORAGE	1.900	---	1.900	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5.300	-5.300	---	-5.300
HOLBROOK				
STR-PURCHASE UEPH/LAND ACQUISITION	630	---	630	---
LUKE AFB				
F15E-ADD/ALTER FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	7.200	---	7.200	---
F15E-ALTER FAC FOR F-15 FLIGHT ACADEMY FAC	1.200	---	1.200	---
F15E-FIELD TRAINING DETACHMENT FACILITY	2.800	---	2.800	---
F15E-FUEL TANK STORAGE FACILITY	650	---	650	---
F15E-SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	3.100	---	3.100	---
F-16 WING HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	1.350	*	1.350	---
JP-4 VAPOR INCINERATION SYSTEM	1.350	---	1.350	---
MEDICAL WAR RESERVE MATERIAL FACILITY	720	---	720	---
NONDESTRUCT INSPECTION FACILITY	740	---	740	---
SMALL AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCKS	6.380	---	6.380	---
WILLIAMS AFB				
CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT FACILITY	3.800	-3.800	---	-3.800
FLIGHT SIMULATOR RESEARCH FACILITY	4.900	---	4.900	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
FORT HUACHUCA				
COMMUNICATIONS TEST FACILITY	9.890	---	9.890	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
PHOENIX				
RANGES, RIFLE BAFFLED	210	---	210	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	390	---	390	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
TUCSON IAP				
COMPOSITE ACFT MAINT FACS/PARKING APRON	12.440	---	12.440	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
LUKE AFB				
FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE HANGAR	2.100	---	2.100	---
TOTAL, ARIZONA	108.510	-16.630	91.880	-16.630
ARKANSAS				
AIR FORCE				
BLYTHEVILLE AFB				
ADD-ALTER TARGET INTELLIGENCE FACILITY	820	---	820	---
ALTER TAXIWAYS	2.000	---	2.000	---
GYMNASIUM	---	---	2.750	+2.750
PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY	540	-540	---	-540
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1.050	---	1.050	---
LITTLE ROCK AFB				
ADD/ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINT DOCKS	2.750	---	2.750	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
ARKADELPHIA				
ARMORY ADD/ALT	584	---	584	---
CAMP ROBINSON				
DINING FACILITY, MILITARY ACADEMY	221	---	221	---
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	297	---	297	---
RANGE, 25M BASIC/NIGHT FIRE	166	---	166	---
RANGE, 50 CALIBER SCALED	107	---	107	---
RANGE, RECORD FIRE	305	---	305	---
WASTEWATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT	711	---	711	---
WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT	454	---	454	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	250	---	250	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
FT SMITH MAP				
ADD-ALTER JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	570	---	570	---
ARMY RESERVE				
CAMP ROBINSON				
WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT	150	---	150	---
FORT CHAFFEE				
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	2.600	---	2.600	---
NORTH LITTLE ROCK				
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FAC	7.486	---	7.486	---
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	21.041	-540	23.271	+2.210
CALIFORNIA				
ARMY				
FORT ORD				
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	2.100	---	2.100	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

[AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS]

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	4.450	---	4.450	---
FT IRWIN				
GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE	2.050	-2.050	---	-2.050
TROOP STAGING AREA	820	---	820	---
PRESIDIO OF SAN FRANCISCO				
BARRACKS	5.100	-5.100	---	-5.100
SIERRA ARMY DEPOT				
SECURITY UPGRADE	2.450	-2.450	---	-2.450
NAVY				
COMBAT SYS TECH SCHOOLS CMD MARE ISLAND				
COMBAT SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE TRAINING FAC	5.200	---	5.200	---
FLEET INTELLIGENCE TRNG CTR PAC SAN DIEGO				
INTELLIGENCE TRAINING BUILDING	4.220	---	4.220	---
FLEET TRAINING CENTER SAN DIEGO				
WEAPONS TRAINING BUILDING	3.930	---	3.930	---
MARCORP AIR-GRND COMB CTR TWENTYNINE PALMS				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	22.600	-5.000	17.600	-5.000
COMBINED ARMS TRAINER FACILITY	1.280	---	1.280	---
ORGANIZATIONAL AUTOMOTIVE MAINT SHOP	9.820	---	9.820	---
REGIMENTAL BATTALION OPERATIONS CENTER	3.930	---	3.930	---
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION CAMP PENDLETON				
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE TRAINING BUILDINGS	1.080	---	---	-1.080
AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS BLDG AND CONTROL TOWER	2.160	---	2.160	---
ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATIONS MAINT SHOP	350	---	350	---
ENGINE TEST CELL	3.240	---	3.240	---
OIL SPILL PREVENTION	540	---	540	---
ORDNANCE HANDLING PAD	3.040	---	3.040	---
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION EL TORO				
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION	1.570	---	1.570	---
MAINTENANCE HANGAR MODERNIZATION	11.880	---	11.880	---
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION TUSTIN				
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SQUADRON FACILITY	2.450	---	2.450	---
AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	870	---	870	---
ARMORY	790	---	790	---
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	10.800	---	10.800	---
MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP PENDLETON				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	12.300	---	12.300	---
BATTALION OPERATIONS CENTER	1.080	-1.080	---	-1.080
COMBAT VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	4.320	---	4.320	---
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT STOREHOUSE	2.650	---	---	-2.650
MESS HALL ADDITIONS AND MODERNIZATIONS	5.100	---	5.100	---
OIL SPILL PREVENTION	1.180	---	1.180	---
PARACHUTE AND SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	2.160	---	2.160	---
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	2.850	---	2.850	---
TRAINING SUPPORT CENTER	5.500	---	5.500	---
MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT SAN DIEGO				
SUPPLY COMPLEX	8.440	---	8.440	---
NAVAL AIR STATION ALAMEDA				
BERTHING PIER AND UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS	17.675	---	17.675	---
WATERFRONT SUPPORT FACILITY	950	---	950	---
NAVAL AIR STATION LEMOORE				
INDUSTRIAL WASTE TREATMENT FACILITY	980	---	---	-980
NAVAL AIR STATION MIRAMAR				
AVIONICS SHOP ADDITION	3.240	---	3.240	---
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	13.200	-4.000	9.200	-4.000
CONSOLIDATED BRIG	22.500	---	22.500	---
HANGER UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS	350	---	350	---
MAINTENANCE HANGAR ADDITION	5.110	---	5.110	---
NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE CORONADO				
BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	9.800	---	9.800	---
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVES	5.100	---	5.100	---
OPERATIONAL STORAGE WAREHOUSE	3.720	---	---	-3.720
SEALIFT SUPPORT PIER	2.550	---	2.550	---
NAVAL COMMUNICATION STATION STOCKTON				
FIRE PROTECTION PIPELINE	2.750	-2.750	---	-2.750
NAVAL CONSTRUCT BATTALION CTR PORT HUENEME				
ABRASIVE BLAST FACILITY	300	---	300	---
BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	3.040	---	---	-3.040
CHAPEL	2.040	*	2.040	---
ENGINEERING SUPPORT FACILITY	3.140	---	---	-3.140
HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY	640	---	640	---
NAVAL CONSTRUCTION TRNG CTR PORT HUENEME				*
AUTOMOTIVE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1.470	-1.470	---	-1.470
SEABEE FLEET SUPPORT TRAINING FACILITIES	3.240	---	3.240	---
NAVAL FACILITY CENTERVILLE BEACH				
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1.370	---	1.370	---
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP DETACHMENT SAN DIEGO				
CLASSIFIED MATERIALS ISSUE OFFICE ADDITION	1.570	-1.570	---	-1.570
NAVAL SHIPYARD LONG BEACH				
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS EVALUATION FACILITY	1.180	---	1.180	---
HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY	2.450	---	2.450	---
NAVAL STATION LONG BEACH				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	7.050	---	7.050	---
BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	3.730	-3.730	---	-3.730
LIGHTING SYSTEMS	210	---	210	---
NAVAL STATION MARE ISLAND				
RELIGIOUS EDUCATION BUILDING ALTERATION	470	-470	---	-470
NAVAL STATION SAN DIEGO				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	18.200	---	18.200	---
DREDGING	1.260	---	1.260	---
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE SAN DIEGO				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	9.100	-2.900	6.200	-2.900
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER OAKLAND				
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	540	---	540	---
GENERAL WAREHOUSE ADDITION & MODERNIZATION	2.950	---	2.950	---
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER SAN DIEGO				
PERSONAL PROPERTY OFFICE	1.370	-1.370	---	-1.370
RAILROAD TRACK RELOCATION	2.450	---	---	-2.450
NAVAL TECHNICAL TRNG CTR SAN FRANCISCO				
FIRE FIGHTING TRAINER FACILITY	9.820	---	9.820	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER SAN DIEGO				
BARRACKS	4.220	---	4.220	---
BRIDGE	2.950	-2.950	---	-2.950
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVES	8.250	---	8.250	---
MACHINERY REPAIRMAN TRAINING BUILDING	5.700	---	5.700	---
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER CHINA LAKE				
EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE BREAKDOWN FACILITY	1.370	---	1.370	---
GUIDED MISSILE LABORATORY	10.300	-10.300	---	-10.300
MESS HALL	2.450	-2.450	---	-2.450
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION CONCORD				
MISSILE TEST CELLS	790	---	790	---
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION SEAL BEACH				
QUALITY EVALUATION LABORATORY	6.100	-6.100	---	-6.100
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER SAN FRANCISCO				
NOISE ATTENUATION	450	---	450	---
NAVY SUBMARINE SUPPORT FACILITY SAN DIEGO				
SEWERAGE SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	300	---	300	---
NAVY TACT INTEROP SUPPORT ACT LONG BEACH				
TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM SUPPORT FACILITY	510	---	510	---
PACIFIC MISSILE TEST CENTER POINT MUGU				
TELEMETRY FACILITIES	590	---	590	---
AIR FORCE				
BEALE AFB				
KC135-COCKPIT PROCEDURES TRAINER SIM FAC	890	---	890	---
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	400	---	400	---
T9-NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	710	---	710	---
TR1-AIRCRAFT SHELTER/APRON	4.000	---	4.000	---
U2R-APRON AND SHELTERS	2.549	---	2.549	---
U2R-SHELTERS AND APRON	967	---	967	---
CASTLE AFB				
SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1.800	---	1.800	---
T9-NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	830	---	830	---
EDWARDS AFB				
ADD TO PROPELLANT LABORATORY COMPLEX	5.500	-5.500	---	-5.500
ADD-ALTER AVIONICS RESEARCH LAB	7.200	---	7.200	---
ADD-ALTER TEST MANAGEMENT AND SECURITY FAC	1.400	---	1.400	---
ALTER AVIONICS SHOP	2.300	-2.300	---	-2.300
FT MACARTHUR				
ALTER PERSONNEL SUPPORT FACILITY	3.000	-3.000	---	-3.000
GEORGE AFB				
ADD-ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	1.900	---	1.900	---
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT FACILITY	7.650	---	7.650	---
VISITING PERSONNEL QUARTERS	5.000	-5.000	---	-5.000
MARCH AFB				
AIR DIVISION HEADQUARTERS	2.200	*	2.200	---
KC10-HYDRANT FUELING-CENTRAL ACFT SPT SYS	13.600	---	13.600	---
T9-NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	840	---	840	---
MATHER AFB				
ACCOUNTING AND FINANCE FACILITY	1.500	*	1.500	---
T9-NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	740	---	740	---
MCCLELLAN AFB				
ADD TO-ALTER DEPOT WAREHOUSE	9.400	---	9.400	---
AMMUNITION STORAGE COMPLEX/LAND ACQ	4.300	---	4.300	---
DEPOT FLIGHT INSTRUMENT OVERHAUL FACILITY	9.400	---	9.400	---
REGIONAL WASTEWATER CONNECTION	5.800	---	5.800	---
NORTON AFB				
ADD-ALTER APPROACH LIGHTS	1.450	---	1.450	---
SUNNYVALE AFS				
ALTER AUTOMATED REMOTE TRACKING STATION	600	---	600	---
ALTER MISSION CONTROL CENTERS	2.000	---	2.000	---
TRAVIS AFB				
ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	1.600	---	1.600	---
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	4.700	---	4.700	---
SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL	1.900	---	1.900	---
WEAPONS SYS MAINT MGT SUPPORT FACILITY	6.500	*	6.500	---
VANDENBURG AFB				
ADD/ALTER OAK MOUNTAIN POWER PLANT	2.700	---	---	-2.700
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT ESTERO BAY				
FIRE PROTECTION	680	---	680	---
DEFENSE LANGUAGE INSTITUTE - MONTEREY				
BARRACKS	5.400	---	4.400	-1.000
EDWARDS AFB				
DENTAL CLINIC	3.950	---	3.000	-950
ROCKET PROPULSION BRILION FACILITY	4.140	-4.140	---	-4.140
FORT ORD				
HOSPITAL ADDITION	530	---	530	---
MARCH AFB				
ADD/ALTER MEDICAL LOGISTIC FACILITY	2.350	---	---	-2.350
TRAVIS AFB				
COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY PHASE IV	87.500	*	87.500	---
VANDENBERG AFB				
ADD/ALTER MEDICAL CENTER CLINIC ANNEX	1.980	---	1.980	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CAMP SAN LUIS OBISPO				
CLOSE COMBAT COURSE	184	---	184	---
LONG BEACH				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP MODIFICAT	191	---	191	---
SANTA BARBARA				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP MODIFICAT	125	---	125	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	500	---	500	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
MOFFETT NAS				
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON SHOULDER	160	---	160	---
POINT MUGU NAS				
ANG OPERATIONS AND TRAINING COMPLEX-PH I	19.700	---	19.700	---
ARMY RESERVE				
OAKLAND				
ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FACILITY	2.986	---	2.986	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
SANTA ANA				
ADD/ALTER ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	1.158	---	1.158	---
VAN NUYS				
MAINTENANCE SHOP/KITCHEN	300	---	300	---
WEST LOS ANGELES				
ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER	3.648	---	3.648	---
NAVY RESERVE				
NMCRC LONG BEACH				
PARACHUTE LOFT	190	---	190	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
MARCH AFB				
AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	1.980	---	1.980	---
COMPOSITE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2.300	---	2.300	---
NORTON AFB				
ALTER 445 MAW HEADQUARTERS	180	---	180	---
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	617.173	-75.680	517.433	-99.740
COLORADO				
ARMY				
FORT CARSON				
RANGE ROADS -PHASE III	3.500	---	3.500	---
VEHICLE WASHRACK	7.800	---	7.800	---
PUEBLO DEPOT ACTIVITY				
SECURITY UPGRADE	600	-600	---	-600
AIR FORCE				
FALCON AFS				
LAND ACQUISITION (EASEMENT)	6.400	---	5.400	-1.000
LA JUNTA				
STR-COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITIES	2.150	---	2.150	---
STR-LAND ACQUISITION	260	---	260	---
STR-TECHNICAL OPERATIONS FACILITY	1.300	---	1.300	---
STR-UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1.750	---	1.750	---
PETERSON AFB				
ADD-ALTER SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	590	---	---	-590
BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE-PHASE I	4.000	-4.000	---	-4.000
TROOP SUBSISTENCE STORAGE FACILITY	990	-990	---	-990
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3.050	---	3.050	---
US AIR FORCE ACADEMY				
ADD-ALTER BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING FACS	990	---	---	-990
ADD-ALTER CADET GYMNASIUM	7.400	-7.400	---	-7.400
ADD-ALTER SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	600	-600	---	-600
ALTER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	4.000	---	4.000	---
LAND ACQUISITION	230	---	230	---
NAVY RESERVE				
NRC FORT CARSON				
PERMANENT DRILL SITE NMCFSU-2	390	---	390	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
PETERSON AFB				
ADD-ALTER SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	200	---	200	---
COMPOSITE TRAINING FACILITY	1.060	---	1.060	---
TOTAL, COLORADO	47.260	-13.590	31.090	-16.170
CONNECTICUT				
NAVY				
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE NEW LONDON				
BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	9.300	-9.100	---	-9.300
FUEL STORAGE TANKS	1.470	---	1.470	---
QUAYWALL	3.160	---	3.160	---
WEAPONS STORAGE IMPROVEMENTS	2.950	-2.950	---	-2.950
NAVAL SUBMARINE SCHOOL NEW LONDON				
ADVANCED ENGINEERING TRAINING FACILITY	6.200	---	6.200	---
SUBMARINE FIRE FIGHTING TRAINER FACILITY	3.340	---	3.340	---
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT	26.420	-12.250	14.170	-12.250
DELAWARE				
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
DAGSBORO				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	396	---	396	---
TOTAL, DELAWARE	396	---	396	---
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA				
NAVY				
COMMANDANT NAVAL DISTRICT WASHINGTON				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	2.000	---	2.000	---
WHITE HOUSE SUPPORT COMPLEX	24.000	-24.000	---	-24.000
NAVAL OBSERVATORY WASHINGTON				
EMERGENCY POWER BUILDING	980	---	980	---
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY WASHINGTON				
PHYSICS LABORATORY IMPROVEMENTS	8.730	-8.730	---	-8.730
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
NAVAL REARSCH LAB				
PULSE POWER RESEARCH FACILITY	3.200	-3.200	---	-3.200
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	38.910	-35.930	2.980	-35.930
FLORIDA				
NAVY				
NAVAL AIR REWORK FACILITY PENSACOLA				
AIRCRAFT STRUCTURES REPAIR FACILITY	8.050	---	8.050	---
NAVAL AIR STATION CECIL FIELD				
AIRCRAFT ACOUSTICAL ENCLOSURE	4.910	---	4.910	---
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	3.730	---	3.730	---
NAVAL AIR STATION JACKSONVILLE				
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	2.160	---	2.160	---
BRIG	2.650	---	2.650	---
HELICOPTER TRAINING FACILITY	4.220	---	---	-4.220

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
NAVAL AIR STATION KEY WEST				
AIR COMBAT TRAINING DEBRIEFING FACILITY	930	---	930	---
HYDROFOIL BERTHING WHARF	8.490	---	8.490	---
MUNICIPAL SEWER CONNECTION IMPROVEMENTS	720	---	720	---
NAVAL AIR STATION WHITING FIELD				
RUNWAY IMPROVEMENT	2.120	-2.120	---	-2.120
NAVAL COASTAL SYSTEMS CENTER PANAMA CITY				
UNDERWATER EQUIPMENT LABORATORY	880	---	880	---
NAVAL DIV & SALVAGE TRNG CTR PANAMA CITY				
DIVER TRAINING BUILDING ADDITION	2.850	---	2.850	---
NAVAL HOSPITAL PENSACOLA				
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	690	---	690	---
NAVAL STATION MAYPORT				
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER ADDITION	880	---	880	---
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER DETACHMENT MAYPORT				
FLEET SUPPORT WAREHOUSE ADDITION	3.730	---	3.730	---
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER JACKSONVILLE				
OIL SPILL PREVENTION	830	---	830	---
NAVAL TECHNICAL TRAINING CENTER PENSACOLA				
BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS	1.180	---	1.180	---
CONTROLLED HUMIDITY STORAGE FACILITY	1.570	---	1.570	---
INSTRUMENTATION TRAINING FACILITY	4.610	---	1.310	-3.300
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER ORLANDO				
ADVANCED UNDERWATER WEAPONS TRAINING FAC	2.950	---	2.950	---
BARRACKS	8.440	---	8.440	---
FIRE STATION	1.230	---	1.230	---
PUBLIC WORKS SHOP	2.550	-2.550	---	-2.550
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER PENSACOLA				
ELECTRICAL SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	1.470	---	1.470	---
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STORAGE & HANDLING FAC	400	---	400	---
OIL SPILL PREVENTION	250	---	250	---
AIR FORCE				
AVON PARK				
EXTEND RUNWAY	4.100	---	4.100	---
EGLIN AFB				
FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1.650	*	1.650	---
NON-DESTRUCT INSPECTION FACILITY	720	---	720	---
HOMESTEAD AFB				
DINING HALL AND TROOP ISSUE FACILITY	3.400	---	3.400	---
F16-ECM POD SHOP AND STORAGE	1.650	---	1.650	---
MEDICAL WAR RESERVE MATERIAL FACILITY	770	---	770	---
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	730	---	730	---
MACDILL AFB				
ALTER USREDCOM COMMAND CENTER	1.600	---	---	-1.600
BASE CONTRACTING FACILITY	1.350	---	1.350	---
MEDICAL WAR RESERVE MATERIAL FACILITY	780	---	780	---
PATRICK AFB				
AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITIES	2.600	---	2.600	---
TYNDALL AFB				
CONSOLIDATED TRAINING FACILITY	1.500	*	1.500	---
ECIP-FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	600	-600	---	-600
F15-ADD/ALTER SQUAD + OPERATIONS FAC	760	---	760	---
F15-ADDITION TO AIRCRAFT APRON	9.300	---	9.300	---
F15-UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4.650	---	4.650	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
AVON PARK				
RANGE, 25 METER ZERO	109	---	109	---
RANGE, COMBAT PISTOL	165	---	165	---
CAMP BLANDING				
MOBILIZATION & TRAINING EQUIP SITE ADD/ALT	1.043	---	1.043	---
CRYSTAL RIVER				
200 PERSON ARMORY	1.017	---	1.017	---
DAYTONA BEACH				
ARMORY MODIFICATION	389	---	389	---
LAKELAND				
TRAINING SET FIRE OBSERVATION BUILDING	116	---	116	---
PANAMA CITY				
ARMORY ADD/ALT	809	---	809	---
PENSACOLA				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	307	---	307	---
SARASOTA				
ARMORY ADD/ALT	617	---	617	---
TAVARES				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	487	---	487	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	315	---	315	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
JACKSONVILLE IAP				
ADD-ALTER AIRCRAFT ENGINE SHOP	360	---	360	---
FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM	750	---	750	---
SPECIAL FUELS FACILITY	240	---	240	---
MACDILL AFB				
COMMUNICATIONS-ELECTRONICS TRNG COMPLEX	4.700	---	4.700	---
ARMY RESERVE				
PERRINE				
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/MEDICAL WING/MAINT	6.700	---	6.700	---
TOTAL, FLORIDA	126.774	-5.270	112.384	-14.390
GEORGIA				
ARMY				
FORT BENNING				
CHAPEL	1.700	---	---	-1.700
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER ADDITION	280	---	280	---
FIRE STATION	1.100	---	1.100	---
VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	4.000	---	4.000	---
VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	9.100	---	9.100	---
FORT MCPHERSON				
OPERATIONS FACILITY	2.900	---	2.900	---
FT STEWART/HUNTER AAF				

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	1.550	---	1.550	---
NAVY				
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE KINGS BAY				
BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	3.440	---	---	-3.440
COMMANDER SUBMARINE GROUP OFFICE	1.550	-1.550	---	-1.550
COMMUNITY IMPACT ASSISTANCE	5.100	---	5.100	---
DEFENSIVE ORDNANCE SUPPORT FACILITIES	3.440	---	3.440	---
DIESEL FUEL FACILITIES	1.960	---	---	-1.960
DOCKSIDE HANDLING BUILDING	3.340	---	3.340	---
DREDGING	50.400	-4.540	45.860	-4.540
DRYDOCK	45.450	-4.545	40.905	-4.545
FIRE STATION	350	---	350	---
OFF-CREW OPERATIONS BUILDING	7.400	-7.400	---	-7.400
PROVISION WAREHOUSE	2.160	-2.160	---	-2.160
READY STORES FACILITY	1.270	-1.270	---	-1.270
REFIT SUPPORT FACILITY	2.950	---	2.950	---
REFIT WHARF	23.500	-2.350	21.150	-2.350
STRATEGIC WEAPONS MAGAZINES	16.700	-1.670	15.030	-1.670
STRATEGIC WPNS SYS SECOND LEVEL MAIN SHOPS	3.930	---	3.930	---
UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	4.900	---	---	-4.900
AIR FORCE				
MOODY AFB				
AIR FORCE COMM COMMAND MAINT FAC	900	---	900	---
ROBINS AFB				
ADD TO-ALTER AVIONICS REPAIR FACILITY	6.455	---	6.455	---
AIRCRAFT UTILITY OUTLETS	1.700	---	1.700	---
DANGEROUS CARGO PAD	2.700	---	2.700	---
HIGH DENSITY STORAGE FACILITIES	3.800	---	3.800	---
KC135-COCKPIT PROCEDURES TRAINER SIM FAC	660	---	660	---
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	740	---	740	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
FORT BENNING				
WHITE ELEM SCHOOL MODERNIZATION/ADDITION	1.080	---	1.080	---
FORT STEWART				
CONFORMING STORAGE FACILITIES	730	---	730	---
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE				
ROBINS AND LINWOOD ELEM SCHOOL ADDITIONS	2.440	---	2.440	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	486	---	486	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
DOBBINS AFB				
ADD-ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE BLDGS-PH I	6.000	---	6.000	---
ST SIMONS ISLAND				
COMMUNICATIONS/ELECTRONICS TRAINING FAC	5.000	---	5.000	---
TOTAL, GEORGIA	231.161	-18.025	193.676	-37.485
HAWAII				
ARMY				
HAWAII VARIOUS				
OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY	12.000	-12.000	---	-12.000
WHEELER ARMY AIR FIELD				
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	2.900	---	2.900	---
NAVY				
CAMP H M SMITH - OAHU				
LAND ACQUISITION	1.570	---	1.570	---
SECURITY HEADQUARTERS	500	-500	---	-500
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION KANEOHE BAY				
AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	1.280	-1.280	---	-1.280
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	8.800	---	8.800	---
COMBAT VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	6.480	---	6.480	---
COMPANY AND BATTERY OPERATIONS CENTER	9.130	---	9.130	---
CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	2.260	-2.260	---	-2.260
FLIGHT LINE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	2.550	---	2.550	---
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY	880	---	880	---
POWER CHECK PAD	500	---	500	---
TACTICAL SUPPORT VAM PADS	8.840	---	8.840	---
NAVAL AIR STATION BARBERS POINT				
TACAMO SUPPORT COMPLEX	25.300	-25.300	---	-25.300
NAVAL COM AREA MASTER STA EASTPAC HONOLULU				
ANTENNA SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS	1.080	-1.080	---	-1.080
NAVAL MAGAZINE LUALUALEI				
MINE MAINTENANCE FACILITY MODIFICATIONS	860	---	860	---
MISSILE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2.850	---	2.850	---
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	640	---	640	---
NAVAL STATION PEARL HARBOR				
SEAL TEAM TRAINING AND SUPPORT BUILDING	3.240	---	3.240	---
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE PEARL HARBOR				
DREDGING	690	---	690	---
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER PEARL HARBOR				
COLD STORAGE FACILITY	11.820	---	11.820	---
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER PEARL HARBOR				
ELECTRICAL DISTR SYS IMPROVEMENTS (PH 1)	18.200	-18.200	---	-18.200
MUNICIPAL SEWER CONNECTION	790	---	790	---
WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	6.700	---	6.700	---
PACIFIC MISSILE RANGE FAC BARKING SANDS				
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR & SUPPORT FACS	5.900	---	5.900	---
RANGE OPERATIONS CENTER ADDITION	2.360	---	2.360	---
AIR FORCE				
HICKAM AFB				
ADD-ALTER COMMAND CENTER	4.700	---	4.700	---
VEHICLE OPERATIONS-MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1.150	-1.150	---	-1.150
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
PACIFIC MISSILE RANGE				
INTERMEDIATE BALLISTIC MISSILE LAUNCH CPLX	2.890	-2.890	---	-2.890
PEARL HARBOR				
OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH CLINIC	9.700	---	9.700	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
BARKING SANDS				

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
ADD-ALTER COMMUNICATIONS-ELEC TRNG COMPLEX	2.000	---	2.000	---
KEAUKAHA MILITARY RESERVATION				
MOBILITY STORAGE WAREHOUSE	180	---	180	---
TOTAL, HAWAII	158.740	-64.660	94.080	-64.660
IDAHO				
AIR FORCE				
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB				
ADD-ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	520	---	520	---
COMBAT SUPPORT CENTER	8.700	---	8.700	---
NAVIGATION AIDS COMMUNICATION MAINT SHOP	840	---	840	---
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	700	---	700	---
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING(OTH-B)	4.000	---	4.000	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB				
COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY	30.500	---	28.000	-2.500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
GOWEN FIELD				
TANK COMMANDERS ACADEMY COMPLEX	2.935	---	2.935	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
BOISE AIRPORT				
AIRMAN DORMITORY	320	---	320	---
BASE SUPPLY/EQUIPMENT WAREHOUSE	2.600	---	2.600	---
DINING HALL	150	---	150	---
TOTAL, IDAHO	51.265	---	48.765	-2.500
ILLINOIS				
ARMY				
FORT SHERIDAN				
BARRACKS	2.050	---	2.050	---
SAVANNA ARMY DEPOT				
SECURITY UPGRADE	320	---	320	---
NAVY				
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER GREAT LAKES				
FIRE FIGHTING TRAINER	5.300	---	5.300	---
AIR FORCE				
CHANUTE AFB				
LIQUID FUELS TRAINING FACILITY	2.600	---	2.600	---
WEATHER TRAINING FACILITY	8.700	---	---	-8.700
SCOTT AFB				
ADD-ALTER CONSOLIDATED COMPUTER FACILITY	8.900	---	---	-8.900
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
SCOTT AFB				
DECCO COMPUTER CENTER/ADMIN FACILITY	7.600	---	6.600	-1.000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CHICAGO	1.573	---	1.573	---
ARMORY ALTERATION	346	---	346	---
OMS MODIFICATIONS				
MARSEILLES	208	---	208	---
FACILITY ENGR. SHOP & STORAGE BUILDING				
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
CAPITAL MAP	120	---	120	---
AIRCRAFT APRON SECURITY LIGHTING				
CHICAGO-OHARE IAP	800	---	800	---
ANG/AFRES MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY				
GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT	4.000	---	4.000	---
SITE PREPARATION-PHASE I				
ARMY RESERVE				
JOLIET	4.765	---	4.765	---
WEEKEND TRAINING SITE/RANGES				
NAVY RESERVE				
NAS GLENVIEW	2.200	---	2.200	---
AVIATION TECHNICAL TRAINING BUILDING	1.900	---	1.900	---
KC-130 PARKING APRON	175	---	175	---
OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY NMCB-25	700	---	700	---
NRF GREAT LAKES				
ASSAULT CRAFT UNIT MAINT. FACILITY	750	---	750	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
CHICAGO-OHARE IAP				
TACTICAL CLINIC (JOINT USE)	53.007	---	34.407	-18.600
TOTAL, ILLINOIS				
INDIANA				
NAVY				
NAVAL WEAPONS SUPPORT CENTER CRANE	6.880	---	6.880	---
FLEET MICROWAVE SUPPORT CENTER				
AIR FORCE				
GRISSOM AFB	850	---	850	---
COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS	3.000	---	3.000	---
MISSION OPERATIONS FACILITY				
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CAMP ATTERBURY	334	---	334	---
RANGE, RECORD FIRE M-16	318	---	318	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	3.511	---	3.511	---
ARMY RESERVE				
SOUTH BEND	1.800	---	1.800	---
ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FACILITIES				
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
GRISSOM AFB	16.693	---	16.693	---
AVIONICS/DCM FACILITY (A-10)				
TOTAL, INDIANA				

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
IOWA				
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CAMP DODGE				
RANGE, FIELD FIRE	257	---	257	---
REGIONAL MAINTENANCE TNG SITE	839	---	839	---
SEWAGE SYSTEM MODIFICATION	806	---	806	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	280	---	280	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
DES MOINES MAP				
LANA POD MAINTENANCE SHOP	360	---	360	---
ARMY RESERVE				
OTTUMWA				
LAND ACQUISITION	60	---	60	---
TOTAL, IOWA	2,602	---	2,602	---
KANSAS				
ARMY				
FORT LEAVENWORTH				
WATER STORAGE TANK	1,100	---	1,100	---
FORT RILEY				
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	6,100	---	6,100	---
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,250	---	4,250	---
PRIMARY WATER SUPPLY CONNECTION	2,150	---	2,150	---
AIR FORCE				
MCCONNELL AFB				
B1B-ADD/ALTER ACFT MAINTENANCE MGMT FAC	1,900	---	1,900	---
B1B-ADD/ALTER ACFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS	2,740	---	2,740	---
B1B-ADD/ALTER OPERATIONS MAINTENANCE FACS	2,000	---	2,000	---
B1B-ADD/ALTER PRECISION MEASUREMENT EQ LAB	500	---	500	---
B1B-ADD/ALTER SUPPORT EQUIP/STORAGE FAC	1,250	---	1,250	---
B1B-AIRFIELD PAVEMENT	2,740	---	2,740	---
B1B-ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINT HANGARS	11,970	---	11,970	---
B1B-BASE ENGINEER MAINT MANAGEMENT FAC	240	---	240	---
B1B-BLAST DEFLECTORS	960	---	960	---
B1B-CASS EQUIPMENT AND INSTALLATION	1,200	---	1,200	---
B1B-FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRNG FACILITY	3,660	---	3,660	---
B1B-HEAVY EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,050	---	1,050	---
B1B-MOBILITY CENTER	1,950	---	1,950	---
KC135-HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	2,600	---	2,600	---
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	980	---	980	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
JUNCTION CITY				
ARMORY MODIFICATION	353	---	353	---
NICKELL BARRACKS				
RANGE, RECORD FIRE M-16	291	---	291	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	335	---	335	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
FORBES FIELD				
ALTER OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	172	---	172	---
MCCONNELL AFB				
ADD-ALTER BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	1,500	---	1,500	---
ADDITION TO ENGINE SHOP	500	---	500	---
ARMY RESERVE				
KANSAS CITY				
ADD/ALTER ARMY RESERVE CENTER	1,548	---	1,548	---
MANHATTAN				
ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FACILITY	1,522	---	1,522	---
PARSONS				
ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FACILITY	1,534	---	1,534	---
TOTAL, KANSAS	57,095	---	57,095	---
KENTUCKY				
ARMY				
FORT CAMPBELL				
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	16,500	-16,500	---	-16,500
FUEL PUMPING STATION	240	-240	---	-240
VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	4,500	---	4,500	---
FORT KNOX				
APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	3,200	---	3,200	---
LEXINGTON-BLUEGRASS DEP ACT				
SECURITY UPGRADE	540	-540	---	-540
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
FORT CAMPBELL				
MIDDLE SCHOOL ADDITION	1,340	---	1,340	---
FORT KNOX				
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL GYMNASIUMS-SIX	4,350	---	4,350	---
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	30,670	-17,280	13,390	-17,280
LOUISIANA				
ARMY				
FORT POLK				
MULTI-PURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	27,000	---	26,000	-1,000
AIR FORCE				
ENGLAND AFB				
BASE CIVIL ENGINEER ADMINISTRATIVE FAC	2,300	---	2,300	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
FORT POLK				
TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC	2,650	---	2,650	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
DELHI				
60 PERSON ARMORY	859	---	859	---
FARMERVILLE				
60 PERSON ARMORY	835	---	835	---
MONROE				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP MODIFICAT	268	---	268	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	300	---	300	---
VILLE PLATTE				

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
60 PERSON ARMORY	690	---	690	---
NAVY RESERVE				
NAVAL AIR STATION NEW ORLEANS				
APPROACH LIGHTING SYSTEM RUNWAYS 4 AND 22	2,200	---	2,200	---
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY NEW ORLEANS				
ADMIN SPACE, BLDG 603-2-C	260	---	260	---
ISMO/AUDIO VISION	275	---	275	---
NMCNC NEW ORLEANS				
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING ALTERATION	700	---	700	---
RMMCB-28 BARKSDALE AFB				
OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RMMCB-28	175	---	175	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
BARKSDALE AFB				
ALTER AVIONICS/SQUADRON OPERATIONS	1,000	---	1,000	---
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	39,512	---	38,512	-1,000
MAINE				
NAVY				
NAVAL AIR STATION BRUNSWICK				
GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FACILITY	2,160	-2,160	---	-2,160
OIL SPILL PREVENTION	450	---	450	---
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY ADDITION	710	---	710	---
NAVAL SHIPYARD PORTSMOUTH KITTERY				
ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT BUILDING	10,900	-10,900	---	-10,900
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE & HANDLING FAC	5,100	---	---	-5,100
LOGISTICS SUPPORT FACILITY ALTERATIONS	980	---	980	---
REFUELING CRANE	6,190	---	6,190	---
AIR FORCE				
BANGOR				
PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY	1,250	-1,250	---	-1,250
LORING AFB				
AIRCRAFT APRON-REFUELING SYSTEM-PH I	12,200	-12,200	---	-12,200
ALTER WEAPONS STORAGE AREA	1,300	---	1,300	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
NAS BRUNSWICK				
CONFORMING STORAGE FACILITIES	510	---	510	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	503	---	503	---
ARMY RESERVE				
SACO				
ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FACILITY	1,129	---	1,129	---
NAVY RESERVE				
RMMCB-27 NAVAL AIR STATION BRUNSWICK				
OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RMMCB-27	175	---	175	---
TOTAL, MAINE	43,557	-26,510	11,947	-31,610
MARYLAND				
ARMY				
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND				
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	23,000	---	22,000	-1,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX	19,000	---	18,000	-1,000
CONDENSATE RETURN	750	---	750	---
HARRY DIAMOND LAB				
COMPUTER LABORATORY ADDITION	680	---	680	---
NAVY				
NAVAL ACADEMY ANNAPOLIS				
EDUCATIONAL COMPUTER CENTER	2,260	---	2,260	---
FIRE STATION	400	---	400	---
NAVAL AIR TEST CENTER PATUXENT RIVER				
AIRCRAFT TEST AND EVALUATION FACILITY	13,300	---	13,300	---
LANDING SYSTEM TEST FACILITY	4,030	---	4,030	---
MUNICIPAL SEWER CONNECTION	1,360	---	1,360	---
STEAM AND CONDENSATE SYSTEMS	2,450	---	2,450	---
NAVAL ORDNANCE STATION INDIAN HEAD				
OIL SPILL PREVENTION	500	---	500	---
PROPELLANT FACILITIES UPGRADE	3,680	-2,730	---	-3,680
AIR FORCE				
ANDREWS AFB				
AF ONE MAINT AND SUPPORT COMPLEX	45,000	-45,000	---	-45,000
ECIP-ALTER LIGHTING SYSTEMS	430	-430	---	-430
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
ANDREWS AFB				
ALTER MEDICAL CENTER CLINIC ANNEX	2,000	---	---	-2,000
BETHESDA NAVAL HOSPITAL				
RESEARCH SUPPORT BUILDING	790	---	---	-790
FORT MEADE				
CONNECTOR ROAD	5,200	---	5,200	---
DED FACILITY	53,700	-15,700	38,000	-15,700
ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	4,370	-4,370	---	-4,370
USUHS BETHESDA				
MEDICAL STORAGE FACILITY	900	---	900	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
EDGEWOOD				
400 PERSON ARMORY	5,723	---	5,723	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
ANDREWS AFB				
ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOP	300	---	300	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
ANDREWS AFB				
ALTER BLDG FOR MOBILE AERIAL PORT SQUADRON	110	---	110	---
ALTER FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM HANGAR 7EH	300	---	300	---
ALTER FUEL SYSTEM DOCK	160	---	160	---
TOTAL, MARYLAND	190,393	-68,230	116,423	-73,970

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
MASSACHUSETTS				
ARMY				
FORT DEVENS				
ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION FACILITY	6.800	---	6.800	---
ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION FACILITY	9.500	---	9.500	---
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	4.500	---	4.500	---
AIR FORCE				
CAPE COD				
ALTER POWER PLANT	4.300	---	4.300	---
HANSCOM AFB				
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2.700	---	2.700	---
ALTER UNACCOMP OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	1.300	---	1.000	-300
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CAMP CURTIS GUILD (READING)				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	543	---	543	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
OTIS ANGB				
ALTER AIRCRAFT ENGINE SHOP	210	---	210	---
ALTER AVIONICS/PMEL FACILITY	3.000	---	3.000	---
ARMY RESERVE				
DEVENS				
EQUIPMENT CONCENTRATION SITE	360	---	360	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
WESTOVER AFB				
ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS	1.250	---	1.250	---
APRON, TAXIWAY/HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	13.730	---	13.730	---
CONSTRUCT FIRE STATION	1.800	---	1.800	---
UPGRADE HANGAR	6.505	---	6.505	---
UPGRADE HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM	1.000	---	1.000	---
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	57.498	---	57.198	-300
MICHIGAN				
ARMY				
DETROIT ARSENAL				
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1.350	---	1.350	---
AIR FORCE				
KI SAWYER AFB				
ADD/ALTER COMBAT ARMS TRNG/MAINT FACS	740	---	740	---
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	990	---	990	---
WURTSMITH AFB				
B52-ADD TO AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	4.450	---	4.450	---
B52-ADD TO HYDRANT REFUELING WITH CASS	1.500	---	1.500	---
B52-ADD/ALTER ALERT ACFT PARKING AREA	2.700	---	2.700	---
B52-ADD/ALTER ALERT CREW FACILITY	1.900	---	1.900	---
ECIP-BOILER IMPROVEMENTS	320	-320	---	-320
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	870	---	870	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
AUGUSTA				
RANGE, M60 TRANSITION	180	---	180	---
CAMP GRAYLING				
AUTOMATED FIELD FIRE RANGE	553	---	553	---
AUTO QUAL RECORD FIRE RANGE	665	---	665	---
DEARBORN				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	297	---	297	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	245	---	245	---
ARMY RESERVE				
KALAMAZOO				
LAND ACQUISITION	110	---	110	---
SAGINAW				
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	3.070	---	3.070	---
NAVY RESERVE				
AFRC SAGINAW				
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	3.600	---	3.600	---
RMMCB-26 DETROIT				
OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RMMCB-26	175	---	175	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
SELFRIDGE ANGB				
SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	800	---	800	---
TOTAL, MICHIGAN	24.515	-320	24.195	-320
MINNESOTA				
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
ALBERT LEA				
100 PERSON ARMORY	843	---	843	---
CAMP RIPLEY				
TNG FACIL - A/A TRACKING & LIVE FIRE RANGE	592	---	592	---
TROOP ISSUE SUBSISTANCE ACTIVITY	3.031	---	3.031	---
DETROIT LAKES				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP ADD/ALT	374	---	374	---
MOORENEAD				
200 PERSON ARMORY	1.331	---	1.331	---
WILLMAR				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP MODIFICAT	226	---	226	---
ARMY RESERVE				
ST CLOUD				
LAND ACQUISITION	255	---	255	---
NAVY RESERVE				
RMCFSU-4 ST PAUL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT				
PERMANENT DRILL SITE RMCFSU-4	390	---	390	---
TOTAL, MINNESOTA	7.042	---	7.042	---
MISSISSIPPI				
NAVY				
NAVAL AIR STATION MERIDIAN				
AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS	3.170	---	3.170	---
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	650	---	650	---
GAS GENERATING PLANT	590	---	590	---
PHYSICAL SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	250	-250	---	-250

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CTR GULFPORT				
CONTROLLED HUMIDITY STORAGE FACILITIES	14.200	---	14.200	---
DATA PROCESSING CENTER	690	---	---	-690
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	400	---	400	---
SEABEE BATTALION OPERATIONS FACILITY	1.670	-1.670	---	-1.670
SECURITY BUILDING	690	---	690	---
NAVAL CONSTRUCTION TRAINING CTR GULFPORT				
SEABEES INSTRUCTION BUILDING	1.180	---	---	-1.180
SUPERVISOR OF SHIP BUILDING PASCAGOULA				
LAND ACQUISITION & BUILDING MODERNIZATION	4.120	---	4.120	---
AIR FORCE				
KEESLER AFB				
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD	3.200	---	3.200	---
ECIP-FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	1.970	-1.970	---	-1.970
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
KEESLER AFB				
ADD/ALTER RADIOLOGY LINER ACCELERATOR	3.100	---	3.100	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CAMP SHELBY				
REGIONAL MAINTENANCE TNG SITE	1.214	---	1.214	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
ALLEN C THOMPSON FIELD				
ADD-ALTER ENGINE/AVIONICS SHOPS	1.300	---	1.300	---
GULFPORT				
JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	4.250	---	4.250	---
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI	42.644	-3.890	36.884	-5.760
MISSOURI				
ARMY				
FORT LEONARD WOOD				
APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	16.000	---	16.000	---
ENGINEER SCHOOL/POST HEADQUARTERS BUILDING	14.000	---	13.000	-1.000
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICERS HOUSING	13.200	---	13.200	---
AIR FORCE				
WHITEMAN AFB				
ALTER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	9.500	---	9.500	---
COLD STORAGE FACILITY	1.200	---	1.200	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
DMA AEROSPACE CENTER				
DIGITAL PRODUCTION FACILITIES	16.700	---	14.700	-2.000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CAMP CLARK				
RANGE, COMBAT PISTOL	105	---	105	---
FORT CROWDER				
CLASSROOMS, MILITARY	123	---	123	---
RANGE COMBAT PISTOL	105	---	105	---
RANGE, RECORD FIRE	231	---	231	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	343	---	343	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
ROSECRANS MEMORIAL AIRPORT				
ADD TO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	180	---	180	---
ADDITION TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS	1.200	---	1.200	---
ST LOUIS-LAMBERT FIELD				
MOBILITY STORAGE WAREHOUSE	350	---	350	---
NAVY RESERVE				
RMMCB-15 RICHARDS GEBAUR AFB				
OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RMMCB-15	175	---	175	---
TOTAL, MISSOURI	73.412	---	70.412	-3.000
MONTANA				
AIR FORCE				
MALMSTROM AFB				
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3.800	---	3.800	---
KC135R-ADD TO AND ALTER FIRE STATION	570	---	570	---
KC135R-ADD/ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	800	---	800	---
KC135R-ALTER AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS	6.600	---	6.600	---
KC135R-ALTER AVIONICS MAINTENANCE SHOP	210	---	210	---
KC135R-ALTER FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE DOCK	1.400	---	1.400	---
KC135R-ALTER HEADQUARTERS OPERATIONS FAC	7.700	---	7.700	---
KC135R-ALTER HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM	8.500	---	8.500	---
KC135R-ALTER SUPPORT EQUIP/STORAGE FAC	280	---	280	---
KC135R-APRON LIGHTING	390	---	390	---
KC135R-CASS PROVISIONS-PHASE I	1.800	---	1.800	---
KC135R-INSTRUMENT LANDING SYSTEM	920	---	920	---
KC135R-RUNWAY APPROACH LIGHTING	800	---	800	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
BILLINGS				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	410	---	410	---
RANGE, MULTI-PURPOSE INDOOR	315	---	315	---
GLENDIVE				
RANGE, MULTI-PURPOSE INDOOR	315	---	315	---
LIMESTONE HILLS				
RANGE SUPPORT FACILITY	192	---	192	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
GREAT FALLS IAP				
SPECIAL FUELS FACILITY	190	---	190	---
TOTAL, MONTANA	35.192	---	35.192	---
NEBRASKA				
AIR FORCE				
OFFUTT AFB				
ADD TO COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS	520	---	520	---
ADD-ALTER STRATEGIC COMM DIVISION HQ FAC	2.470	---	---	-2.470
ECIP-FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	2.680	-2.680	---	-2.680
ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION	4.450	---	4.450	---
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	2.500	---	2.500	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
STRATEGIC ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS CTR	12.350	---	10.850	-1.500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
GERLING				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	135	---	135	---
HASTINGS				
RANGE, FIELD FIRE	127	---	127	---
RANGE, TANK TABLE VIIC	129	---	129	---
UNIT TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE	581	---	581	---
LINCOLN				
U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE ADDITION	242	---	242	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	283	---	283	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
LINCOLN MAP				
ADD-ALTER FLIGHTLINE MAINTENANCE SHOP	160	---	160	---
TOTAL, NEBRASKA	26.627	-2.680	19.977	-6.650
NEVADA				
ARMY				
HAWTHORNE AAP				
AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY	7.000	-7.000	---	-7.000
NAVY				
NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON				
ELECTRONIC WARFARE RANGE IMPROVEMENTS	11.800	-4.000	7.800	-4.000
LAND ACQUISITION	6.400	---	6.400	---
PARALLEL RUNWAY	17.000	---	17.000	---
AIR FORCE				
INDIAN SPRINGS				
CONTROL TOWER	1.700	---	1.700	---
NELLIS AFB				
BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING COMPLEX-PHASE I	7.700	---	---	-7.700
COMBAT SUPPORT CENTER	6.200	---	6.200	---
DINING HALL	2.600	---	2.600	---
PARALLEL TAXIWAY/LAND ACQUISITION	7.300	---	7.300	---
NAVY RESERVE				
AFRC LAS VEGAS				
RESERVE CENTER ADDITION	1.000	---	1.000	---
TOTAL, NEVADA	68.700	-11.000	50.000	-18.700
NEW HAMPSHIRE				
AIR FORCE				
PEASE AFB				
ADD-ALTER AVIONICS MAINTENANCE SHOP	1.150	---	---	-1.150
ADD-ALTER COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FAC	1.050	---	---	-1.050
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	460	---	460	---
TOTAL, NEW HAMPSHIRE	2.660	---	460	-2.200
NEW JERSEY				
ARMY				
FORT MONMOUTH				
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	4.900	---	4.900	---
NAVY				
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION EARLE				
AMMUNITION PIER AND TRESTLE	52.500	-20.000	25.000	-27.500
FLEET SUPPORT FACILITIES	1.960	---	1.960	---
SECURITY TOWER	300	---	300	---
AIR FORCE				
MCGUIRE AFB				
ADD-ALTER BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING COMPLEX	5.700	---	5.700	---
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	7.000	---	7.000	---
SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL	895	---	895	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
MCGUIRE AFB				
DENTAL CLINIC	3.800	---	3.800	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
RED BANK				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP MODIFICAT	211	---	211	---
SEA GIRT				
BAFFLED RANGES	567	---	567	---
VINELAND				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP MODIFICAT	183	---	183	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
ATLANTIC CITY				
ADD-ALTER FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE HANGAR	350	---	350	---
AVIONICS SHOP	2.060	---	2.060	---
COMPOSITE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	3.600	---	3.600	---
MCGUIRE AFB				
BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	3.000	---	3.000	---
MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE FAC	1.200	---	1.200	---
NAVY RESERVE				
NMCB-21 LAKEHURST				
OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY NMCB-21	175	---	175	---
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	88.401	-20.000	60.901	-27.500
NEW MEXICO				
ARMY				
FORT WINGATE				
SECURITY UPGRADE	350	-350	---	-350
AIR FORCE				
HOLLOMAN AFB				
LAND ACQUISITION	2.150	---	2.150	---
RATSCAT MODERNIZATION-PHASE II	4.160	---	4.160	---
SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS SECURITY	600	---	600	---
TACTICAL OPERATIONS CENTER	3.350	---	3.350	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4.500	---	4.500	---
KIRTLAND AFB				
ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR	1.300	---	1.300	---
COMMUNICATIONS DUCT SYSTEM	1.800	---	1.800	---
OPTICS AND BEAM CONTROL LABORATORY	8.700	-8.700	---	-8.700
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
KIRKLAND AFB				
POWER UPGRADE BUILDING NBR 20676	900	---	900	---
KIRTLAND AFB				
ADD/ALTER COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY	25.550	-25.550	---	-25.550
CONFORMING STORAGE FACILITIES	620	---	620	---
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE				
HIGH ENDO DEFENSE INTERCEPTOR LAUNCH CMPLX	1.930	-1.930	---	-1.930
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	209	---	209	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
KIRTLAND AFB				
LANA POD MAINTENANCE SHOP	360	---	360	---
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	56.479	-36.530	19.949	-36.530
NEW YORK				
ARMY				
FORT DRUM				
10TH MOUNTAIN DIVISION FACILITIES - PH I	232.000	-52.000	175.000	-57.000
COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSISTANCE	---	+200	200	+200
SENECA ARMY DEPOT				
SECURITY LIGHTING	1.100	-1.100	---	-1.100
U S MILITARY ACADEMY				
ACADEMIC FACILITY MODERNIZATION	15.500	-1.000	---	-15.500
ACADEMIC FACILITY MODERNIZATION PHASE II	13.000	-3.000	10.000	-3.000
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	7.400	*	7.300	-100
NAVY				
NAVAL STATION NEW YORK - BATTLESHIP SUPPORT COMPLEX				
SHORE INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY	22.400	---	22.400	---
SUPPLY WAREHOUSES	6.800	-6.800	---	-6.800
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS AND MESS HALL	8.150	---	8.150	---
BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	2.450	-2.450	---	-2.450
UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	22.400	---	22.400	---
AIR FORCE				
GRIFFISS AFB				
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1.150	---	1.150	---
TF-NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	440	---	440	---
PLATTSBURGH AFB				
ADD-ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	3.200	---	---	-3.200
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3.000	---	3.000	---
COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS	1.250	---	1.250	---
KC135-COCKPIT PROCEDURES TRAINER SIM FAC	760	---	760	---
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1.400	---	1.400	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
GOVERNORS ISLAND				
ELEM/JR HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION/ROOF REPAIR	2.600	---	2.600	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
DRYDEN				
200 PERSON ARMORY	1.670	---	1.670	---
GUILDERLAND				
TRAINING FACILITIES, PHASE II	305	---	305	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	775	---	775	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
HANCOCK FIELD				
MOBILITY STORAGE WAREHOUSE	170	---	170	---
STEWART AIRPORT				
COMPOSITE MAINT FAC/SUPPLY COMPLEX	10.150	---	10.150	---
ARMY RESERVE				
NEWBURGH				
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FACILITIES	5.485	---	5.485	---
SCHENECTADY				
MAINT ACTIVITY/KITCHEN ADDITION	165	---	165	---
NAVY RESERVE				
RMCFSU-1 SYRACUSE				
PERMANENT DRILL SITE RMCFSU-1	390	---	390	---
RMMCB-13 PEEKSKILL				
OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RMMCB-13	175	---	175	---
STEWART AIR FORCE BASE				
TOTAL, NEW YORK	364.285	-66.150	275.335	-88.950
NORTH CAROLINA				
ARMY				
FORT BRAGG				
AERIAL GUNNERY RANGE	2.350	---	2.350	---
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	1.300	---	1.300	---
OPERATIONS COMPLEX PHASE II	5.200	---	5.200	---
RANGE COMPLEX	1.050	---	1.050	---
SPECIAL FORCES MISSION SUPPORT FACILITY	6.500	---	6.500	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	8.200	---	8.200	---
SUNNY POINT ARMY TERM				
PROVOST MARSHAL FACILITY	650	---	650	---
NAVY				
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION CHERRY POINT				
AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	500	---	500	---
LAND ACQUISITION	1.370	---	1.370	---
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY ADDITION	980	---	980	---
REFUELING VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	2.060	-2.060	---	-2.060
TACTICAL AIR COMBAT TRAINING FACILITY	3.730	---	3.730	---
TROOP AND CARGO STAGING FACILITY	6.870	---	6.870	---
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION NEW RIVER				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	4.900	---	4.900	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
GROUP OPERATIONS FACILITY	980	---	980	---
MAINTENANCE HANGAR MODERNIZATIONS	15,120	---	15,120	---
ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	710	---	710	---
MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP LEJUENE				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	17,700	-3,000	14,700	-3,000
BATTALION OPERATIONS FACILITY	3,250	---	3,250	---
COMBAT VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,850	---	2,850	---
ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATIONS MAINT SHOP	4,610	---	4,610	---
FIELD MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	5,900	---	5,900	---
MESS HALL	4,810	-4,810	---	-4,810
NAVAL AIR REWORK FACILITY CHERRY POINT				
AIRCRAFT ENGINE BLADE REWORK FACILITY	15,600	---	15,600	---
AIRCRAFT REWORK FACILITY	21,600	---	21,600	---
NAVAL HOSPITAL CAMP LEJUENE				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	1,670	---	1,670	---
AIR FORCE				
POPE AFB				
MUNITIONS STORAGE COMPLEX	1,100	---	1,100	---
UPGRADE CENTRAL HEATING PLANTS	2,300	---	2,300	---
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB				
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	2,100	---	2,100	---
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	660	---	660	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
CAMP LEJEUNE				
MEDICAL AND DENTAL CLINIC	3,900	---	3,900	---
TARAWA TERR/STONE ST/DELALIP ELEM ADDITION	1,190	---	1,190	---
FORT BRAGG				
CONFORMING STORAGE FACILITIES	825	---	825	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
FORT BRAGG				
REGIONAL MAINTENANCE TNG SITE	1,211	---	1,211	---
MORRISVILLE				
400 PERSON ARMORY	2,061	---	2,061	---
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	3,698	---	3,698	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	475	---	475	---
ARMY RESERVE				
DURHAM				
LAND ACQUISITION	90	---	90	---
FORT BRAGG				
EQUIPMENT CONCENTRATION SITE/MAINT FAC	2,946	---	2,946	---
STATESVILLE				
LAND ACQUISITION	35	---	35	---
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	163,051	-9,870	153,181	-9,870
NORTH DAKOTA				
AIR FORCE				
CAVALIER				
ADD-ALTER COOLING SYSTEM	1,120	---	1,120	---
ALTER SATCOM GROUND TERMINAL	1,700	---	1,700	---
GRAND FORKS AFB				
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,100	---	4,100	---
B1B-ADD/ALTER VARIOUS MAINTENANCE FACS	1,700	---	1,700	---
B1B-ALTER FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRAINING FAC	1,100	---	1,100	---
B1B-ALTER INTEGRATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY	550	---	550	---
B1B-BLAST DEFLECTORS	1,750	---	1,750	---
B1B-CASS EQUIPMENT AND INSTALLATION	3,000	---	3,000	---
B1B-CROSSOVER TAXIWAY	2,400	---	2,400	---
B1B-JET ENGINE STORAGE FACILITY	430	---	430	---
B1B-SECONDARY FIRE STATION	330	---	330	---
B1B-SUPPORT EQUIP AND STORAGE FACILITY	3,150	---	3,150	---
B1B-TRACTOR/TRAILER STORAGE FACILITIES	930	---	930	---
COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS	1,500	---	1,500	---
ELEVATED WATER STORAGE TANK	680	---	680	---
KC135-COCKPIT PROCEDURES TRAINER SIM FAC	780	---	780	---
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	730	---	730	---
MINOT AFB				
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,650	---	4,650	---
CM-ADD/ALTER MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,700	---	1,700	---
CM-MUNITIONS UPLOAD TRAINING FACILITY	8,300	---	8,300	---
CM-UNARMED WEAPONS STORAGE FACILITY	1,100	---	1,100	---
CM-UPGRADE WEAPONS STORAGE AREA	2,100	---	2,100	---
CM-WEAPONS CONVOY ROADS	710	---	710	---
CM-WEAPONS STORAGE IGLOOS	11,100	---	11,100	---
COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS	1,250	---	1,250	---
EC1P-ALTER HEATING SYSTEMS	250	-250	---	-250
KC135-COCKPIT PROCEDURES TRAINER SIM FAC	890	---	890	---
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1,050	---	1,050	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
BISMARCK				
400 PERSON ARMORY	5,295	---	5,295	---
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA	64,345	-250	64,095	-250
OHIO				
AIR FORCE				
NEWARK AFS				
RADIAC LABORATORY	3,000	---	3,000	---
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB				
ACCESS ROAD	15,000	---	15,000	---
ADD-ALTER ACFT FIRE PROT/EXPL RESEARCH LAB	2,850	---	2,850	---
ADD-ALTER BIOCOMMUNICATIONS LABORATORY	7,400	---	7,400	---
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FACILITY	3,300	---	3,300	---
HANGAR FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	2,350	---	2,350	---
ROAD	3,600	---	3,600	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
DEFENSE CONSTRUCTION SUPPLY CENTER				
IMPROVE LIGHTING	580	---	580	---
IMPROVE WAREHOUSE FACILITIES	280	---	280	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
LIMA				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	324	---	324	---
PIQUA				
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	312	--	312	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	500	---	500	--
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
RICKENBACKER ANGB				
ALTER AGE MAINTENANCE SHOP	160	---	160	---
SPRINGFIELD MAP				
WATER AND SEWER LINES	1,100	---	1,100	---
TOLEDO EXPRESS AIRPORT				
ADD TO FIRE STATION	250	---	250	---
NAVY RESERVE				
NMCRC YOUNGSTOWN				
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	3,600	---	3,600	---
RMMCB-20 COLUMBUS				
OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RMMCB-20	175	---	175	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB				
ALTER 401ST CLSS FACILITY	200	---	200	---
YOUNGSTOWN MAP				
ADD-ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS	340	---	340	---
TOTAL, OHIO	45,321	---	45,321	---
OKLAHOMA				
ARMY				
FORT SILL				
VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	3,050	-3,050	---	-3,050
AIR FORCE				
ALTUS AFB				
LAND ACQUISITION	310	---	310	---
TINKER AFB				
ADD-ALTER ENGINE TUBING/ACCESSORY SHOP	1,650	---	1,650	---
ADD-ALTER HAZARDOUS STORAGE FACILITY	1,800	---	1,800	---
ADD-ALTER HEAT TREATMENT FACILITY	2,300	---	2,300	---
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	15,000	---	15,000	---
ALTER F-107 ENGINE FACILITY	1,350	---	1,350	---
WAREHOUSE	10,800	---	10,800	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CAMP GRUBER				
RANGE, LT ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS	147	---	147	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	410	---	410	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
TULSA IAP				
ADDITION FOR ECM POD MAINTENANCE SHOP	220	---	220	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
TINKER AFB				
ADD TO TERMINAL FOR AERIAL PORT	830	---	830	---
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	37,867	-3,050	34,817	-3,050
OREGON				
ARMY				
UMATILLA ARMY DEPOT				
SECURITY UPGRADE	1,050	-1,050	---	-1,050
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
ASHLAND				
100 PERSON ARMORY	1,048	---	1,048	---
CAMP ADAIR				
TARGET STORAGE/LATRINE/SAFETY BERM/ROAD	304	---	304	---
CAMP WITHYCOMBE				
COMM-ELEC, CANVAS & CARPENTRY SHOP	663	---	663	---
EUGENE				
ARMORORY ADD/ALT	503	---	503	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	277	---	277	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
KINGSLEY FIELD				
ALTER PARACHUTE AND DINGHY SHOP	250	---	250	---
PORTLAND IAP				
BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	4,600	---	4,600	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
PORTLAND IAP				
ADD TO BASE WAREHOUSE	400	---	400	---
ADD-ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	380	---	380	---
MAINTENANCE HANGAR	3,900	---	3,900	---
TOTAL, OREGON	13,375	-1,050	12,325	-1,050
PENNSYLVANIA				
ARMY				
CARLISLE BARRACKS				
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	800	---	800	---
LETTERKENNY ARMY DEPOT				
SECURITY UPGRADE	1,900	-1,900	---	-1,900
NEW CUMBERLAND AD				
EASTERN DISTRIBUTION CENTER-PHASE II	51,000	---	41,000	-10,000
NAVY				
NAVAL SH,P SYSTEM ENGR STA PHILADELPHIA				
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVES	400	---	400	---
NAVY SHIPS PARTS CONTROL CTR MECHANICSBURG				
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	1,670	---	---	-1,670
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
DEF REUTILIZATION & MARKETIN' OFC MECHANBG				
SCRAPYARD IMPROVEMENTS	1,290	-1,290	---	-1,290
NSPCC MECHNICSBURG				

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
CONFORMING STORAGE FACILITIES	318	---	318	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
INDIANA				
RANGE, 25 METER BAFFLED	125	---	125	---
RIDGEWAY				
RANGE, 25 METER BAFFLED	125	---	125	---
WILLIAMSTOWN				
ARMORY ADD/ALT	533	---	533	---
ARMY RESERVE				
CLINTON				
LOCAL TRAINING AREA	476	---	476	---
LEWISBURG				
ARMY RESERVE CENTER MAINT FACILITY	1,296	---	1,296	---
SCHUYLKILL HAVEN				
ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FACILITY	2,089	---	2,089	---
NAVY RESERVE				
MCRC WYOMING				
COMBAT VEHICLE MAINT FACILITY	190	---	190	---
NAS WILLOW GROVE				
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	8,000	---	8,000	---
AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	150	---	150	---
RNCFSU-1 BEAVER COUNTY				
PERMANENT DRILL SITE RNCFSU-1	390	---	390	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
GREATER PITTSBURGH IAP				
ADD-ALTER AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	620	---	620	---
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	71,372	-3,190	56,512	-14,860
RHODE ISLAND				
NAVY				
NAVAL EDUCATION & TRAINING CENTER NEWPORT				
BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	8,800	---	8,800	---
UTILITY SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENTS	2,900	---	2,900	---
SURFACE WARFARE OFFICERS SCH CMD NEWPORT				
SURFACE WARFARE OFFICER TRAINING FACILITY	8,840	---	---	-8,840
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CAMP FOGARTY				
RANGE, RIFLE 175 METER BAFFLED	288	---	288	---
WARWICK				
ARMORY ADD/ALT	2,880	---	2,880	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
NORTH SMITHFIELD ANGS				
COMMUNICATIONS/ELECTRONICS TRNG FAC-PH I	2,400	---	2,400	---
TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND	26,108	---	17,268	-8,840
SOUTH CAROLINA				
ARMY				
FORT JACKSON				
APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	1,300	---	1,300	---
NAVY				
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION BEAUFORT				
AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	740	-740	---	-740
AUTOMOTIVE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	640	---	---	-640
FLIGHT LINE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1,280	---	1,280	---
FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	1,370	---	1,370	---
RUNWAY APPROACH LIGHTING	960	---	960	---
MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT PARRIS ISLAND				
RECRUIT BATTALION OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,870	-1,870	---	-1,870
NAVAL SHIPYARD CHARLESTON				
DRYDOCK PUMPHOUSE ALTERATIONS	7,860	---	7,860	---
HAZARDOUS & FLAMMABLE MATERIALS STOREHOUSE	2,950	---	2,950	---
NAVAL STATION CHARLESTON				
MOBILE MINE ASSEMBLY GROUP OPERATIONS FAC	1,400	---	1,400	---
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION CHARLESTON				
CONSOLIDATED BRIG	16,500	---	16,500	---
PIER IMPROVEMENTS	6,130	---	---	-6,130
AIR FORCE				
CHARLESTON AFB				
ADD-ALTER RECREATION CENTER	560	---	---	-560
AERIAL REFUELING PANT TASK TRAINER	1,200	*	1,200	---
CORROSION CONTROL COVERED WASHRACK	5,000	---	5,000	---
MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP MAINT SHOP	730	---	730	---
MYRTLE BEACH AFB				
AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	3,000	-3,000	---	-3,000
SHAW AFB				
ADD-ALTER 9TH AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS	3,700	---	---	-3,700
ADD-ALTER WEAPONS SYSTEM MAINT MGMT FAC	1,150	*	---	-1,150
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
DEF FUEL SUPPORT CHARLESTON				
FIRE PROTECTION	460	---	460	---
DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT NSC CHARLESTON				
FUEL TANKAGE	5,130	---	---	-5,130
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
HAMPTON				
LOGISTICAL FACILITY DASI CLIX	128	---	128	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	315	---	315	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
MCENTIRE				
MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE/STORAGE FACILITY	1,600	---	1,600	---
ARMY RESERVE				
FLORENCE				
LAND ACQUISITION	200	---	---	-200
ORANGEBURG				
LAND ACQUISITION	75	---	75	---
ROCK HILL				
LAND ACQUISITION	20	---	20	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	66.268	-5.610	43.148	-23.120
SOUTH DAKOTA				
AIR FORCE				
ELLSWORTH AFB				
B1B-ADD/ALTER WRSK STORAGE FACILITY	860	---	860	---
COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS	1.000	---	1.000	---
CONTROL TOWER	2.150	---	2.150	---
LIFE SUPPORT FACILITY	950	---	---	-950
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	450	---	450	---
STC-CONTROL AND OPERATIONS FACILITY	5.500	---	5.500	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
MITCHELL				
RANGE, PISTOL BAFFLED	221	---	221	---
SIOUX FALLS				
RANGE, PISTOL BAFFLED	221	---	221	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	400	---	400	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
JOE FOSS FIELD				
JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	2.500	---	2.500	---
TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA	14.252	---	13.302	-950
TENNESSEE				
NAVY				
NAVAL AIR STATION MEMPHIS				
AVIATION ORDNANCEMAN TRAINING BUILDING	12.800	-12.800	---	-12.800
BRIG	2.750	---	2.750	---
ENTRANCE ROADS IMPROVEMENT	1.570	-1.570	---	-1.570
WATER TREAMENT FACILITY	260	---	260	---
AIR FORCE				
ARNOLD ENGINEERING DEV CENTER				
ADD-ALTER CHEMICAL LABORATORY	730	---	730	---
FIRE DEFICIENCY CORRECTIONS	2.800	---	2.800	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
DEFENSE DEPOT MEMPHIS				
DINING FACILITY	1.160	---	1.160	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CATOOSA				
RANGE, MACHINE GUN/DEMOLITION	138	---	138	---
HOHENWALD				
60 PERSON ARMORY	656	---	656	---
MILAN				
TRAINING FAC, PHASE III	2.697	---	2.697	---
MILLINGTON				
60 PERSON ARMORY	1.013	---	1.013	---
NASHVILLE				
USPFO ADDITION	644	---	644	---
PULASKI				
100 PERSON ARMORY	650	---	650	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	335	---	335	---
TOTAL, TENNESSEE	28.203	-14.370	13.833	-14.370
TEXAS				
ARMY				
CORPUS CHRISTI ARMY DEPOT				
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOP	7.200	---	7.200	---
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION	2.150	-2.150	---	-2.150
COMPOSITE PANEL PREPARATION FACILITY	1.200	---	1.200	---
POWER TRAIN FACILITY	---	+2.250	2.250	+2.250
FORT HOOD				
ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION FACILITY	2.750	---	2.750	---
RANGE MODERNIZATIONS	4.500	*	4.500	---
VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	10.600	---	10.600	---
FORT SAM HOUSTON				
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2.900	---	2.900	---
WATER STORAGE TANK	800	---	800	---
RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT				
SECURITY UPGRADE	1.350	-1.350	---	-1.350
NAVY				
NAVAL AIR STATION CORPUS CHRISTI				
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	690	---	690	---
NAVAL AIR STATION KINGSVILLE				
FIRE ALARM SYSTEM	640	---	640	---
JET ENGINE TEST CELL FACILITY	3.140	---	---	-3.140
AIR FORCE				
BERGSTROM AFB				
ADD-ALTER TACTICAL INTELL SQ FACILITY	1.260	---	1.260	---
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	600	---	600	---
TACTICAL AIR CONTROL CENTER FACILITY	3.390	-3.390	---	-3.390
CARSWELL AFB				
VEHICLE FUELING STATION	490	---	490	---
DYESS AFB				
B1B-JET ENGINE STORAGE FACILITY	590	---	590	---
B1B-MUNITIONS EQUIPMENT STORAGE FACILITIES	1.050	---	1.050	---
COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS	960	---	960	---
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	750	---	750	---
T9-NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	780	---	780	---
GOODFELLOW AFB				
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	10.000	---	8.500	-1.500
KELLY AFB				
FUEL SYSTEMS ACCESSORIES TEST FACILITY	10.900	---	9.400	-1.500
WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT CENTER	39.000	-39.000	---	-39.000
LACKLAND AFB				
ACADEMIC FACILITY-SECURITY POLICE	5.500	-5.500	---	-5.500
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	16.000	---	16.000	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
LAUGHLIN AFB				
LAND ACQUISITION-AUXILIARY FIELD	3.700	---	3.700	---
RANDOLPH AFB				
AF MGMT ENGINEERING AGENCY ADMIN FAC	2.250	---	---	-2.250
SHEPPARD AFB				
MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	14.200	*	12.000	-2.200
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
BROOKS AFB				
ADD/ALTER DRUG ABUSE DETECTION LAB	1.850	-1.850	---	-1.850
FORT HOOD				
TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC	3.450	---	3.450	---
RANDOLPH AFB				
CLINIC/DENTAL CLINIC	13.700	---	12.200	-1.500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
ABILENE				
ARMORY ADD/ALT	924	---	924	---
AUSTIN				
ARMORY MODIFICATION	400	---	400	---
U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE ADDITION	400	---	400	---
CAMP MABRY				
RANGE, COMBAT PISTOL	126	---	126	---
CAMP SWIFT				
RANGE, M-16 RECORD FIRE	271	---	271	---
RANGE, M60/M2 TRANSITION	312	---	312	---
DECATUR				
ARMORY ADD/ALT	801	---	801	---
WAXAHACHIE				
ARMORY ADD/ALT	774	---	774	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
ELLINGTON AFOB				
ALTER WEAPONS AND RELEASE SHOP	224	---	224	---
KELLY AFB				
JET BLAST DEFLECTOR	125	---	125	---
ARMY RESERVE				
CAMP BULLIS				
MILITARY INTELLIGENCE TRAINING FACILITY	1.918	---	1.918	---
FT SAM HOUSTON				
RESERVE CENTER ALTERATION	145	---	145	---
HINTON				
RESERVE CENTER ADDITION	190	---	190	---
NAVY RESERVE				
NAVAL AIR STATION DALLAS				
AIRCRAFT COOLING	250	---	250	---
AIRFIELD APPROACH LIGHTING	2.400	---	2.400	---
OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RMMCB-22	175	---	175	---
NAVAL RESERVE CENTER CORPUS CHRISTI				
VEHICLE MAINT FACILITY	250	---	250	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
CARSWELL AFB				
COMPOSITE TRAINING FACILITY	1.500	---	1.500	---
TOTAL, TEXAS	179.525	-50.990	116.445	-63.080
UTAH				
ARMY				
DUGWAY PROVING GROUND				
COMMUNITY CENTER	3.200	-3.200	---	-3.200
MATERIAL TEST FACILITY	9.700	---	9.700	---
TOOELE ARMY DEPOT				
SECURITY UPGRADE	1.850	-1.850	---	-1.850
AIR FORCE				
HILL AFB				
ADD TO WATER SUPPLY AND DIST SYSTEM	1.200	---	1.200	---
DEPOT INSTRUMENT OVERHAUL SHOP	1.550	---	1.550	---
GAPFILLER RADAR FACILITY	1.300	---	1.300	---
LOGISTICS SYSTEMS OPERATIONS CENTER	11.500	---	---	-11.500
PEACEKEEPER-ADD/ALTER STAGE I STORAGE	1.000	---	1.000	---
PEACEKEEPER-SYSTEM ENGINEERING TEST SILO	4.000	---	4.000	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
DEFENSE DEPOT OGDEN				
ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITIES	9.780	-9.780	---	-9.780
TRANSIENT AND VISITOR HOUSING	620	-620	---	-620
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CAMP WILLIAMS				
BOQ/BEQ RENOVATION	139	---	139	---
RANGE, FIELD FIRE	179	---	179	---
RANGE, KNOWN DISTANCE	135	---	135	---
DRAPER				
MILITARY COMPLEX ACQUISITION	5.376	---	---	-5.376
ARMY RESERVE				
PROVO				
STORAGE BUILDING	110	---	110	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
HILL AFB				
POWER CHECK PAD WITH SUPPRESSOR	750	---	750	---
TOTAL, UTAH	52.389	-15.450	20.063	-32.326
VERMONT				
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	350	---	350	---
TOTAL, VERMONT	350	---	350	---
VIRGINIA				
ARMY				
FORT BELVOIR				
CHILD DEVELOPMENT/RELIGIOUS ED FACILITY	5.600	-5.600	---	-5.600
FORT EUSTIS				
APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	2.050	---	2.050	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
FORT LEE				
BARRACKS	10.000	---	10.000	---
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING ADDITION	7.600	---	7.600	---
FORT MYER				
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	3.800	---	---	-3.800
FORT STORY				
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM UPGRADE	1.700	---	1.700	---
STORAGE/MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1.000	---	1.000	---
NAVY				
FLEET COMBAT TRAIN CTR ATLANTIC DAM NECK				
CHAPEL	1.570	*	1.570	---
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	820	-820	---	-820
FLEET INTEL CTR EUROPE & ATLANTIC NORFOLK				
INTELLIGENCE BUILDING ADDITION	1.880	-1.880	---	-1.880
FLEET TRAINING CENTER NORFOLK				
ELECTRICAL TRADES TRAINING BLDG ADDITION	5.400	---	---	-5.400
HEADQUARTERS MARINE CORPS ARLINGTON				
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	3.020	---	3.020	---
NAVAL AIR STATION NORFOLK				
HELICOPTER TRAINING FACILITIES	1.570	---	1.570	---
NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE LITTLE CREEK				
BEACH GROUP OPERATIONS FACILITIES	5.890	---	5.890	---
COMBAT SWIMMER TRAINING FACILITY	3.730	*	3.730	---
STEAM AND CONDENSATE SYSTEMS	1.080	---	1.080	---
NAVAL ELECTRONIC SYS ENGR CTR PORTSMOUTH				
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS INTEGRATION & SPT FAC	1.870	---	1.870	---
NAVAL GUIDED MISSILES SCHOOL DAM NECK				
SUBMARINE TRAINING BUILDING ADDITION	3.140	---	---	-3.140
NAVAL LEGAL SERVICE OFFICE DET OCEANA				
LEGAL SERVICES OFFICE	540	---	540	---
NAVAL LEGAL SERVICE OFFICE NORFOLK				
NAVAL LEGAL SERVICE BUILDING ADDITION	1.080	---	---	-1.080
NAVAL OCEAN PROCESSING FACILITY DAM NECK				
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	540	---	540	---
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ANNEX QUANTICO				
MIDWAY RESEARCH CENTER	1.500	---	1.500	---
NAVAL SEA COMBAT SYSTEMS ENGR STA NORFOLK				
SHIPBOARD ELECTRONICS SYSTEMS EVAL FAC	980	---	980	---
NAVAL SHIPYARD NORFOLK				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	5.900	---	5.900	---
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER NORFOLK				
HAZARDOUS MTRLS STRG & HANDLING FAC ADDN	3.140	---	3.140	---
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER DAHLGREN				
AEGIS COMPUTER CENTER ADDITION	3.830	---	3.830	---
AEGIS EDUCATION CENTER ADDITION	2.950	---	---	-2.950
PERSONNEL SUPPORT FACILITIES	5.450	---	5.450	---
WEAPONS SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT LABORATORY ADDN	3.730	---	3.730	---
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION YORKTOWN				
MISSILE STORAGE FACILITIES	4.220	---	4.220	---
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER NORFOLK				
MAINTENANCE STORAGE FACILITY	2.450	---	2.450	---
OIL SPILL PREVENTION	690	---	690	---
STEAM PLANT IMPROVEMENTS	5.600	---	---	-5.600
NAVY REGIONAL DATA AUTOMATION CTR NORFOLK				
DATA PROCESSING CENTER	9.330	*	9.330	---
AIR FORCE				
LANGLEY AFB				
ADD-ALTER DATA PROCESSING FACILITY	3.550	---	3.550	---
ASAT-ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING	500	-500	---	-500
ASAT-CONTROL CENTER	420	-420	---	-420
ASAT-HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STORAGE	300	-300	---	-300
ASAT-INTEGRATED MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	9.300	-9.300	---	-9.300
ASAT-MISSILE AND MOTOR STORAGE	1.900	-1.900	---	-1.900
ASAT-SECURITY CONTROL	280	-280	---	-280
ASAT-UTILITIES AND SITE WORK	2.900	-2.900	---	-2.900
BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX-PHASE III	4.450	---	4.450	---
ECIP-UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEM	1.640	-1.640	---	-1.640
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
DAHLGREN				
ELEMENTARY/JR HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	1.130	---	1.130	---
PENTAGON				
DEMOLITION OF PARKING LOT	2.500	-2.500	---	-2.500
JDSSC PENTAGON ALTERATION/EXPANSION	2.300	-2.300	---	-2.300
QUANTICO				
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	420	---	420	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CHARLOTTESVILLE				
ARMORY ADD/ALT	910	---	910	---
FREDERICKSBURG				
ARMORY ADD/ALT	1.239	---	1.239	---
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP MODIFICAT	191	---	191	---
LEESBURG				
100 PERSON ARMORY	1.024	---	1.024	---
LEXINGTON				
60 PERSON ARMORY	897	---	897	---
MANASSAS				
ARMORY ADD/ALT	903	---	903	---
STAUNTON				
ARMORY ADD/ALT	1.302	---	1.302	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	460	---	460	---
ARMY RESERVE				
FORT LEE				
ADD/ALTER ARMY RESERVE CENTER	2.299	---	---	-2.299
NAVY RESERVE				
RMMCB-23 FT BELVOIR				
OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RMMCB-23	175	---	175	---
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	154.640	-30.340	100.031	-54.609

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
WASHINGTON				
ARMY				
FORT LEWIS				
COMSEC MAINTENANCE FACILITY	600	---	600	---
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	480	---	480	---
LANDFILL UPGRADE	7,500	---	7,500	---
REFUSE INCINERATOR PLANT	13,000	---	13,000	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	4,400	---	4,400	---
NAVY				
NAVAL AIR STATION WHIDBEY ISLAND				
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	3,510	---	3,510	---
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING ADDITION	980	---	980	---
WATER TREATMENT FACILITY	690	---	690	---
NAVAL STATION EVERETT - CARRIER SUPPORT COMPLEX				
CARRIER PIER	38,000	-38,000	38,000	---
DREDGING AND BREAKWATER	13,820	-13,820	13,820	---
SHORELINE IMPROVEMENT AND SEAWALL	15,700	-15,700	15,700	---
UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	19,070	-19,070	19,070	---
LAND ACQUISITION	8,810	-8,810	8,810	---
GENERAL REDUCTION	---	---	-10,000	-10,000
NAVAL STATION SEATTLE				
BRIG	2,950	---	2,950	---
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE BANGOR				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	4,900	-4,900	---	-4,900
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVES	5,600	---	5,600	---
HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY	1,280	-1,280	---	-1,280
SECURITY BUILDING	2,750	-2,750	---	-2,750
SEWERAGE SYSTEM	300	---	300	---
SEWERAGE SYSTEM	400	-400	---	-400
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER BREMERTON				
OIL SPILL PREVENTION	500	---	500	---
NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE ENGR STA KEYPORT				
MISSILE MAGAZINES	5,890	---	5,890	---
TRIDENT REFIT FACILITY BANGOR				
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	980	---	980	---
WATER TREATMENT PLANT IMPROVEMENTS	590	---	590	---
AIR FORCE				
FAIRCHILD AFB				
ALTER CONSOLIDATED BASE PERSONNEL OFFICE	1,950	---	1,950	---
ECIP-WEATHERIZATION	1,350	-1,350	---	-1,350
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	570	---	570	---
SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	2,900	---	2,900	---
T9-NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	750	---	750	---
MCCHORD AFB				
ADD TO-ALTER AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL	6,400	---	6,400	---
SQUADRONS OPERATIONS FACILITY	4,900	-4,900	---	-4,900
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT MUKILTEO				
FUEL PIER	6,360	-6,360	---	-6,360
FORT LEWIS				
MADIGAN ARMY MEDICAL CENTER PHASE II	72,100	---	72,100	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CAMP MURRAY				
U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE ADDITION	302	---	302	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	332	---	332	---
ARMY RESERVE				
MITHELL				
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	3,400	---	3,400	---
NAVY RESERVE				
NAF WHIDBEY ISLAND				
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	6,100	---	6,100	---
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	260,114	-117,340	228,174	-31,940
WEST VIRGINIA				
ARMY				
FORT HAWSON				
STUDENT TRAINING FACILITY	8,700	---	8,700	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
E WV REGIONAL APT (MARTINSBURG)				
SHORTFIELD RUNWAY	2,500	---	2,500	---
TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA	11,200	---	11,200	---
WISCONSIN				
ARMY				
BADGER AAP				
OPEN/CLOSE LANDFILL	980	---	980	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
FORT MCCOY				
CONFORMING STORAGE FACILITIES	260	---	260	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CAMP WILLIAMS				
LOGISTICAL FACILITY DAS3 CLIX	110	---	110	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	290	---	290	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
TRUAX FIELD				
ADD TO AIRCRAFT ENGINE SHOP	900	---	900	---
ALTER BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE SHOP	386	---	386	---
COMPOSITE SQUADRON OPERATIONS	2,200	---	2,200	---
ARMY RESERVE				
FOND DU LAC				
ARMY RESERVE CENTER TANK TRAINING FACILITY	1,562	---	1,562	---
RACINE				
LAND ACQUISITION	235	---	235	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
BILLY MITCHELL FIELD				
CONSTRUCT SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,565	---	1,565	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
TOTAL, WISCONSIN	8,488	---	8,488	---
WYOMING				
AIR FORCE				
FE WARREN AFB				
ADD/ALTER ENERGY MONITORING/CONTROL SYS	2,600	---	2,600	---
COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS	550	---	550	---
PEACEKEEPER-ACCESS ROADS	14,500	---	14,500	---
PEACEKEEPER-LAUNCH FACILITIES SITE WORK	5,000	*	3,400	-1,600
PEACEKEEPER-REAL ESTATE	400	---	400	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CAMP GUERNSEY				
MESS HALL REHAB	641	---	641	---
RANGE, FIELD FIRE	175	---	175	---
TOTAL, WYOMING	23,866	---	22,266	-1,600
CONUS CLASSIFIED				
ARMY				
CLASSIFIED LOCATION				
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	7,400	-5,000	2,400	-5,000
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
CLASSIFIED LOCATION				
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	3,000	-3,000	---	-3,000
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	4,000	---	4,000	---
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	3,000	---	3,000	---
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	1,000	---	1,000	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
H-70 SERVICE AND STORAGE FACILITY	250	---	250	---
TOTAL, CONUS CLASSIFIED	18,650	-8,000	10,650	-8,000
CONUS UNSPECIFIED				
AIR FORCE				
CONUS UNSPECIFIED				
SPECIAL TACTICAL DETACHMENT	37,056	---	37,056	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
ADD-ALTER MISCELLANEOUS SHOPS	1,350	---	1,350	---
ALTER AVIONICS SHOP	650	---	650	---
TOTAL, CONUS UNSPECIFIED	39,056	---	39,056	---
CONUS VARIOUS				
AIR FORCE				
BASE THIRTY NINE				
FISE-ADD/ALTER FLIGHT SIMULATOR FAC	2,300	---	2,300	---
WEST CONUS				
OTH-B RADAR TRANS STANDBY POWER LINE	18,000	-10,500	7,500	-10,500
OTH-B TRANSMITTER/RCVR SUPPORT FACS	2,800	---	2,800	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
CONUS VARIOUS				
AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEMS	1,700	---	1,700	---
FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITIES	2,683	---	2,683	---
POWER CHECK PADS WITH SUPPRESSOR	4,000	---	4,000	---
TOTAL, CONUS VARIOUS	31,483	-10,500	20,983	-10,500
AUSTRALIA				
NAVY				
NAVAL COMMUNICATION STATION HAROLD E HOLT				
EMERGENCY COOLING SYSTEM	310	---	310	---
PUBLIC WORKS SHOP	1,870	-1,870	---	-1,870
AIR FORCE				
WOOMERA AS				
SOLID STATE UNINTERRUPT POWER SUPPLY SPT	2,300	---	2,300	---
TOTAL, AUSTRALIA	4,480	-1,870	2,610	-1,870
BAHAMAS				
NAVY				
NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CTR ANDROS ISLAND				
CIVILIAN QUARTERS	3,730	---	3,730	---
TOTAL, BAHAMAS	3,730	---	3,730	---
BAHRAIN ISLAND				
NAVY				
ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT UNIT				
INDOOR RECREATION FACILITY	2,550	---	2,550	---
TOTAL, BAHRAIN ISLAND	2,550	---	2,550	---
BELGIUM				
AIR FORCE				
FLORENNES				
GLCM-AUTOMOTIVE HOBBY SHOP	520	-520	---	-520
GLCM-COMMISSARY	3,500	-3,500	---	-3,500
GLCM-DATA PROCESSING FACILITY	1,200	---	1,200	---
GLCM-EXCHANGE COMPLEX	2,850	-2,850	---	-2,850
GLCM-RECREATION LIBRARY	460	-460	---	-460
GLCM-YOUTH CENTER	750	-750	---	-750
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
SHAPE HQ - CASTEAU				
ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	1,260	---	1,260	---
TOTAL, BELGIUM	10,540	-8,080	2,460	-8,080

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
BERMUDA				
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
NAVAL AIR STATION				
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	4.280	---	3.280	-1.000
TOTAL, BERMUDA	4.280	---	3.280	-1.000
DIEGO GARCIA				
AIR FORCE				
DIEGO GARCIA				
GLOBAL POSITIONING SYS INTERNET FACILITIES	3.900	-3.900	---	-3.900
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE/STORAGE FACILITY	800	-800	---	-800
TOTAL, DIEGO GARCIA	4.700	-4.700	---	-4.700
GERMANY				
ARMY				
ANSBACH				
AMMUNITION STORAGE	860	---	860	---
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	930	---	930	---
ASCHAFFENBURG				
HARDSTAND	3.650	---	3.650	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	3.350	---	3.350	---
BAD KREUZNACH				
FACILITY MODERNIZATION	10.200	---	10.200	---
BAMBERG				
FACILITY MODERNIZATION	18.000	---	18.000	---
BAUMHOLDER				
AMMUNITION STORAGE	4.400	---	4.400	---
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2.000	---	2.000	---
COMMAND AND CONTROL BUILDING	10.000	---	10.000	---
FACILITY MODERNIZATION PHASE IV	12.400	-12.400	---	-12.400
SEWER/POL SEPARATOR	2.050	---	2.050	---
BITBURG TRAINING AREA				
AMMUNITION STORAGE	520	---	520	---
BARRACKS	13.800	---	13.800	---
DINING FACILITY	1.850	---	1.850	---
HEATING PLANT	2.550	---	2.550	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1.200	---	1.200	---
EINSIEDLERHOF				
TRAINING EXERCISE FACILITY	4.750	-4.750	---	-4.750
FRANKFURT				
CRIME LABORATORY MODERNIZATION/ADDITION	3.500	-3.500	---	-3.500
FULDA				
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1.000	---	1.000	---
GIESSEN GENERAL DEPOT				
DINING FACILITY	2.100	---	2.100	---
FACILITY MODERNIZATION	16.000	-16.000	---	-16.000
FACILITY MODERNIZATION PHASE III	970	---	970	---
FUEL STATION	3.500	---	---	-3.500
GOEPPINGEN				
SITE SUPPORT UPGRADE	1.850	---	1.850	---
HANAU				
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	7.100	---	7.100	---
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1.850	---	1.850	---
FACILITY MODERNIZATION	11.000	---	11.000	---
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	3.700	---	3.700	---
HARDSTAND	2.500	---	2.500	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	3.700	*	3.700	---
HEIDELBERG				
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1.600	---	1.600	---
OPERATIONS BUILDING	1.800	*	1.800	---
HEILBRONN				
BARRACKS	2.100	---	2.100	---
HOHENFELS TNG AREA				
COMBAT MANEUVER TRAINING COMPLEX	8.800	---	8.800	---
MILITARY OPERATIONS ON URBANIZED TERRAIN	2.800	*	2.800	---
KAISERSLAUTERN				
PETROLEUM LABORATORY	1.400	---	1.400	---
KARLSRUHE				
FACILITY MODERNIZATION	10.000	---	10.000	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1.800	*	1.800	* ---
LOCATION 260				
AIR CONDITIONING UPGRADE	2.100	---	2.100	---
MANNHEIM				
RANGE COMPLEX	2.450	---	2.450	---
NEU ULM				
SITE SUPPORT UPGRADE	2.850	---	2.850	---
SITE SUPPORT UPGRADE	19.000	---	19.000	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	4.200	---	4.200	---
NUERNBERG				
FERRIS BARRACKS	5.500	---	5.500	---
RHEINBERG				
ADMINISTRATIVE SPACE ALTERATION	7.200	-7.200	---	-7.200
AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING FACILITY	680	-680	---	-680
BARRACKS WITH DINING	14.800	-14.800	---	-14.800
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	930	-930	---	-930
COMMUNICATIONS CENTER CONVERSION	400	-400	---	-400
LOGISTICAL SUPPLY FACILITY	6.700	-6.700	---	-6.700
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	4.900	-4.900	---	-4.900
SCHWEINFURT				
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	900	---	900	---
FACILITY MODERNIZATION	16.000	-16.000	---	-16.000
FACILITY MODERNIZATION PHASE IV	8.500	---	8.500	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	13.600	---	13.600	---
STUTTGART				
SEWER SYSTEM UPGRADE	820	---	820	---
VARIOUS SITES				
MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY	1.850	---	1.850	---
SITE SECURITY ENHANCEMENTS	17.000	---	17.000	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
WARTIME HOST NATION SUPPORT	17,500	-17,500	---	-17,500
VILSECK				
BARRACKS	6,800	---	6,800	---
CHAPEL/RELIGIOUS EDUCATION FACILITY	3,450	-3,450	---	-3,450
COMMISSARY SALES	7,300	-7,300	---	-7,300
EXCHANGE MAIN RETAIL STORE	8,000	-8,000	---	-8,000
POST OFFICE	1,300	-1,300	---	-1,300
PROVOST MARSHAL FACILITY	1,800	---	1,800	---
RELOCATE AMMUNITION AREA	920	---	920	---
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICERS HOUSING	4,050	---	4,050	---
UTILITIES	36,000	---	36,000	---
WILDFLECKEN				
VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	3,800	-3,800	---	-3,800
WUERZBURG				
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	900	---	900	---
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1,000	---	1,000	---
AIR FORCE				
BITBURG AB				
ADD-ALTER SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	1,500	---	1,500	---
ALTER BASE MAIN ENTRANCE	440	---	440	---
DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY	1,200	---	1,200	---
PASSIVE DEFENSE EQUIP STORAGE FACILITY	770	---	770	* ---
RAPID RUNWAY REPAIR EQUIP STORAGE FAC	960	---	960	---
WATER STORAGE TANK	480	---	480	---
EINSIEDLERHOF				
VISITING OFFICERS QUARTERS	2,600	*	---	-2,600
HAHN AB				
ADD TO VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,900	-1,900	---	-1,900
ADD-ALTER FIRE STATION	1,100	---	1,100	---
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,300	---	2,300	---
ALTERNATE LAUNCH/RECOVERY SURFACE (ALRS)	2,100	-2,100	---	-2,100
POST OFFICE	980	-980	---	-980
RAPID RUNWAY REPAIR EQUIP STORAGE BLDG	840	---	840	---
SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,100	---	1,100	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,400	---	1,400	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,000	---	4,000	---
HESSISCH				
ADD-ALTER COMPOSITE RECREATION CENTER	2,000	-2,000	---	-2,000
LINDSEY AS				
DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY	1,200	---	---	-1,200
PHUEN AS				
COMPOSITE RECREATION CENTER	1,150	-1,150	---	-1,150
RAMSTEIN AB				
ADD TO NONCOMMISSIONED OFFICER ACADEMY	1,850	-1,850	---	-1,850
ADD-ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	6,000	-6,000	---	-6,000
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,900	-2,900	---	-2,900
F16-ADD/ALTER AEROSPACE SUPPORT EQUIP COMP	1,600	---	1,600	---
F16-AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,200	---	1,200	---
F16-CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL FACILITY	2,050	---	2,050	---
F16-MUNITIONS LOAD CREW TRAINING FACILITY	2,350	---	2,350	---
F16-WAREHOUSE	2,200	---	2,200	---
OUTDOOR PROCESSING-PALLET STORAGE AREA	1,350	---	750	-600
PROTECTED COMBAT TARGETING CENTER	36,000	-36,000	---	-36,000
SECURITY LIGHTING	420	---	420	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,000	---	3,000	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	6,300	---	6,300	---
VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS	3,000	-3,000	---	-3,000
WATER STORAGE TANK	2,600	---	2,600	---
WEAPONS STORAGE AND SECURITY SYSTEM	800	-800	---	-800
RHEIN-MAIN AB				
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	1,300	---	1,300	---
SEMBACH AB				
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,900	---	4,900	---
C130-ADD/ALTER FIRE STATION	770	---	---	-770
C130-CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL	2,200	---	---	-2,200
C130-SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFO FAC	1,500	---	---	-1,500
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,450	---	1,450	---
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,050	---	---	-1,050
SPANGDAHLEM AB				
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,700	---	3,700	---
CHEM WARFARE-SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,700	---	1,700	---
FIELD TRAINING FACILITY	1,600	*	1,600	* ---
GROUND DEFENSE MUNITION STORAGE IGLOOS	560	---	560	---
POST OFFICE	1,050	-1,050	---	-1,050
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,350	---	2,350	---
WUSCHHEIM				
GLCM-BASE CIVIL ENG PAVEMENTS/GROUND SHOP	310	---	310	---
ZWEIBRUCKEN AB				
ALTERNATE LAUNCH/RECOVERY SURFACE (ALRS)	3,550	-3,550	---	-3,550
CHEM WARFARE-AIR PHOTO INTERP FACILITY	950	---	950	---
CHEM WARFARE-SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,940	---	1,940	---
EDS-FORWARD STORAGE WAREHOUSE	1,100	---	---	-1,100
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,750	---	2,750	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
ASCHAFFENBURG				
ELEMENTARY AND JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	6,600	---	6,600	---
BAD KISSINGEN				
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,330	---	1,330	---
BAUMHOLDER				
SMITH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,600	---	1,600	---
BOEBLINGEN				
DISPENSARY AND DENTAL CLINIC	3,650	---	3,650	---
DEF REUTILIZATION & MARKETING OFC BITBURG				
COVERED STORAGE	650	-650	---	-650
DEXHEIM				
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	2,430	---	2,430	---
ERLANGEN				
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	3,220	---	3,220	---
GELNHAUSEN				
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,130	---	1,130	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
GRAFENWOEHR				
DISPENSARY AND DENTAL CLINIC	3.950	---	3.950	---
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	2.500	---	2.500	---
HAHN AIR BASE				
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	3.470	---	3.470	---
HEIDELBERG				
ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL	3.190	---	3.190	---
HESSISCH-OLDENDORF				
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	4.310	---	2.310	---
HOHENFELS				
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1.190	---	1.190	---
KAISERLAUTERN AIR BASE				
ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	7.880	---	7.880	---
KARLSRUHE				
DISPENSARY AND DENTAL CLINIC	6.800	---	6.800	---
NUERNBERG				
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	8.580	---	8.580	---
SCHWAEBISCH GMUEND				
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1.640	---	1.640	---
STUTTGART				
ELEMENTARY AND JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	4.530	---	4.530	---
VILSECK				
DISPENSARY AND DENTAL CLINIC	5.600	---	5.600	---
WUERZBURG				
MIDDLE SCHOOL	7.760	---	7.760	---
TOTAL, GERMANY	621.160	-193.540	413.160	-208.060
GREECE				
ARMY				
GREECE				
OPERATIONS BUILDING	560	---	560	---
OPERATIONS BUILDING MODERNIZATION	730	-730	---	-730
AIR FORCE				
IRAKLION AS				
2ND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE FAC	320	---	---	-320
TOTAL, GREECE	1.610	-730	560	-1.050
GREENLAND				
AIR FORCE				
SONDRESTROM AB				
UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5.860	---	5.860	---
THULE AB				
ALTER SATCOM GROUND TERMINAL	1.540	---	1.340	-200
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2.200	---	2.000	-200
FIRE STATION	3.900	---	3.500	-400
SOLID STATE UNINTERRUPT POWER SUPPLY SPT	2.150	---	1.950	-200
TOTAL, GREENLAND	15.650	---	14.650	-1.000
GUAM				
NAVY				
MOBILE CONSTR BATTALION CAMP COVINGTON				
BACHELOR HOUSING	15.500	---	11.400	-4.100
NAVAL COMM AREA MASTER STA WESTPAC GUAM				
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	480	---	480	---
NAVAL FACILITY				
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	820	---	820	---
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP DETACHMENT GUAM				
OCEAN SURVEILLANCE BUILDING ADDITION	2.150	---	2.150	---
NAVAL SUPPLY DEPOT GUAM				
FLEET SUPPORT WAREHOUSE MODIFICATIONS	400	---	400	---
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER GUAM				
POWER PLANT MODIFICATIONS	1.080	---	---	-1.080
VEHICLE SERVICE CENTER	490	---	490	---
AIR FORCE				
ANDERSON AFB	7.600	---	7.600	---
ALTER JET FUEL STORAGE FACILITIES				
ARMY RESERVE	888	---	888	---
APRA HEIGHTS				
EQUIPMENT CONCENTRATION SITE/MAINT FAC	29.408	---	24.228	-5.180
TOTAL, GUAM				
GUANTANAMO BAY, CU				
NAVY				
NAVAL STATION GUANTANAMO BAY				
STANDBY ELECTRIC POWER GENERATORS	2.600	---	2.600	---
TOTAL, GUANTANAMO BAY, CU	2.600	---	2.600	---
HONDURAS				
ARMY				
HONDURAS				
CONTINGENCY FACILITY	4.900	-4.900	---	-4.900
TOTAL, HONDURAS	4.900	-4.900	---	-4.900
ICELAND				
NAVY				
NAVAL AIR SATATION KEFLAVIK				
AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS	13.490	---	11.490	---
FUEL FACILITIES	13.300	-13.300	---	-13.300
MAINTENANCE HANGAR ALTERATIONS	350	---	350	---
NAVAL FACILITY KEFLAVIK				
STANDBY GENERATOR PLANT	1.570	---	1.570	---
AIR FORCE				
KEFLAVIK	1.600	---	1.200	-400
ACCOUNTING AND FINANCE FACILITY				

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

[AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS]

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
TOTAL, ICELAND	30.310	-13.300	16.610	-13.700
ITALY				
ARMY				
CP DARBY				
BARRACKS	610	---	610	---
NAVY				
NAV CON AREA MASTER STATION MED NAPLES				
SIGONELLA TRANSMITTER FACILITY	8.250	---	8.250	---
NAVAL AIR STATION SIGONELLA				
CHAPEL FACILITIES	1.370	-1.370	---	-1.370
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY NAPLES				
AIR CARGO TERMINAL	5.500	-5.500	---	-5.500
CMD CONTROL COMMS & INTELLIGENCE COMPLEX	18.000	-18.000	---	-18.000
SUBMARINE MOORING FACILITY	1.570	---	1.570	---
AIR FORCE				
AVIANO AB				
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4.200	-4.200	---	-4.200
VISITING OFFICERS QUARTERS	3.000	*	---	-3.000
CAMP DARBY				
SEGREGATED MAGAZINE	270	---	270	---
COMISO AB				*
CNEW WARFARE-CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL FAC	1.500	---	1.500	---
CNEW WARFARE-WING COMMAND POST FACILITY	1.500	---	1.500	---
GLCM-ARTS AND CRAFTS SHOP	370	-370	---	-370
GLCM-AUTOMOTIVE HOBBY SHOP	410	-410	---	-410
GLCM-MILITARY CLOTHING SALES	300	-300	---	-300
GLCM-RECREATION LIBRARY	230	-230	---	-230
DECIMOMANNU AB				
VISITING OFFICERS QUARTERS	900	*	900	---
SAN VITO AS				
2ND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE FAC	490	---	---	-490
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3.300	---	3.300	---
VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS	2.450	-2.450	---	-2.450
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
NAPLES				
ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL	19.590	-19.590	---	-19.590
REPLACEMENT HOSPITAL	29.300	-29.300	---	-29.300
TOTAL, ITALY	103.110	-81.720	17.900	-85.210
JAPAN				
ARMY				
KAWAKAMI				
MEDICAL SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	1.200	---	1.200	---
NAVY				
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION FUTENMA OKINAWA				
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP	3.440	---	---	-3.440
PASSENGER TERMINAL	830	---	830	---
MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP BUTLER OKINAWA				
BUILDING ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENTS	6.200	---	6.200	---
ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATIONS MAINT SHOP	2.750	---	---	-2.750
AIR FORCE				
KADENA AB				
ADD-ALTER HYDRANT REFUELING SYS-PHASE II	7.100	---	7.100	---
VEHICLE CORROSION CONTROL SUPPORT FACILITY	265	---	265	---
MISAWA AB				
COMMANDO PORT-IV-CONCRETE ARCH IGLOO MAG	3.050	---	3.050	---
COMMANDO PORT-IV-MUNITIONS PRELOAD COMP	7.250	---	7.240	---
TOTSUKA				
ADD TO TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	700	---	700	---
YOKOSUKA				
ADD TO TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	600	---	600	---
YOKOTA AB				
JET FUEL STORAGE	4.900	---	---	-4.900
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT CHIMU WAN OKINAWA				
FUEL TANKAGE	6.640	---	6.640	---
DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT TSUMUMI				
FUEL TANKAGE	3.520	---	---	-3.520
TOTAL, JAPAN	48.445	---	33.835	-18.610
KOREA				
ARMY				
CAMP CARROLL				
MEDICAL SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	4.350	*	4.350	---
STORAGE WAREHOUSE	920	---	920	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	820	---	820	---
CAMP CASEY				
AUTOMATED FIELD FIRE RANGE	3.600	---	3.600	---
BARRACKS	15.500	---	15.500	---
COMMUNICATION/ELECTRONICS MAINTENANCE FACS	490	---	490	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP MOD/ADDN	3.400	---	---	-3.400
TANK RANGE	1.950	---	1.950	---
CAMP CASTLE				
BARRACKS	1.950	---	1.950	---
FLAMMABLE MATERIAL STORAGE	2.850	---	2.850	---
CAMP ESSAYONS				
BARRACKS	1.850	---	1.850	---
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	1.150	---	1.150	---
CAMP GREAVES				
BARRACKS	2.350	---	2.350	---
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	1.300	---	1.300	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	340	-340	---	-340
CAMP HOVEY				
BARRACKS	4.650	---	4.650	---
DINING FACILITY	4.350	---	4.350	---
CAMP HOWZE				
BARRACKS	4.050	---	4.050	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,800	---	1,800	---
CAMP HUMPHREYS				
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	1,500	---	1,500	---
BARRACKS	5,700	---	5,700	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	5,900	-700	5,200	-700
UNIT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	3,700	---	3,700	---
CAMP JACKSON				
BARRACKS	1,800	---	1,800	---
CAMP LAGUARDIA				
AIRFIELD FIRE STATION	320	---	320	---
BARRACKS	2,650	---	2,650	---
CAMP LIBBY				
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,100	---	---	-1,100
CAMP LIBERTY BELL				
BARRACKS	780	---	780	---
CAMP LONG				
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	5,600	---	5,600	---
CAMP MARKET				
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICERS HOUSING	540	---	540	---
CAMP NIMBLE				
BARRACKS	1,950	---	1,950	---
CAMP PAGE				
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER HOUSING	1,400	---	1,400	---
CAMP PELHAM				
BARRACKS	980	---	980	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,300	---	1,300	---
CAMP RED CLOUD				
DINING FACILITY	2,250	---	2,250	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,300	---	2,300	---
CAMP STANLEY				
BARRACKS	2,450	---	2,450	---
DINING FACILITY	2,250	---	2,250	---
H220				
BARRACKS	2,750	---	2,750	---
K-16 ARMY AIRFIELD				
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	1,600	---	1,600	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	920	---	920	---
LOC 177				
POWER UPGRADE	1,950	---	1,950	---
PUSAN				
BARRACKS	1,500	---	1,500	---
BARRACKS	5,900	---	5,900	---
FIRE STATION	340	---	340	---
GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE	3,800	---	3,800	---
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	1,400	---	1,400	---
SECOND INFANTRY				
BARRACKS	2,800	---	2,800	---
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,350	---	---	-2,350
TAEGU				
UNDERGROUND COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	4,900	---	4,900	---
YONGSON				
BARRACKS	2,500	---	2,500	---
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS	760	---	760	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,500	---	2,500	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,000	---	1,000	---
UPGRADE TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,000	---	---	-1,000
WIDEN ROAD	1,000	---	1,000	---
AIR FORCE				
CAMP HUMPHREYS				
OPERATIONS/MAINT/STORAGE FACILITIES	8,300	---	---	-8,300
CAMP RED CLOUD				
MAINTENANCE-STORAGE-VEHICLE/EQ PARKING	1,450	---	1,450	---
KIMHAE AB				
PORTOMOD WAREHOUSE	570	---	---	-570
UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,050	---	---	-3,050
KUNSAN AB				
ADD TO AIRCRAFT APRON	1,050	-1,050	---	-1,050
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT FACILITY	2,050	---	2,050	---
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FACILITY	1,800	-1,800	---	-1,800
ALTER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYS-PH II	2,600	---	2,600	---
BASE CIVIL ENGINEER FACILITY	840	---	840	---
CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT FACILITY	2,250	*	2,250	* ---
PORTOMOD WAREHOUSE	600	---	600	---
SECURITY LIGHTING SYSTEM	1,650	---	1,650	---
UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION	430	---	430	---
KWANG-JU AB				
PORTOMOD WAREHOUSE	600	---	600	---
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	650	*	550	-100
OSAN AB				
ADD-ALTER PRIMARY ELECTRICAL DIST SYSTEM	5,400	---	5,400	---
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	3,000	-3,000	---	-3,000
ALTER AIRMEN DINING HALL	1,150	-1,150	---	-1,150
CHAPEL CENTER	2,300	-2,300	---	-2,300
MUNITIONS MAINT-STORAGE FACS-PHASE II	5,950	---	5,000	-950
SUWON				
MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE FACS	3,250	---	---	-3,250
PORTOMOD WAREHOUSE	600	---	---	-600
TAEGU AB				
AIRMEN DINING HALL	2,350	---	2,350	---
PORTOMOD WAREHOUSE	1,070	---	1,070	---
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,750	---	1,300	-450
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
CAMP EDWARDS				
HEALTH/DENTAL CLINIC	1,800	---	1,800	---
CAMP LONG				
DISPENSARY/DENTAL CLINIC	1,850	---	1,850	---
CAMP PELHAM				
TROOP AID STATION	720	---	720	---
DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT TOEGYEWON				
FUEL TANKAGE	1,010	---	1,010	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
DEF REUTILIZATION & MARKETING OFC INCHEON				
COVERED STORAGE	1,290	--	1,290	---
SEOUL				
HIGH SCHOOL UPGRADING	510	---	510	---
TOTAL, KOREA	203,000	-10,340	167,540	-35,460
KWAJALEIN				
ARMY				
KWAJALEIN				
HARBOR/CHANNEL DREDGING	2,100		2,100	---
MISSION CONTROL CENTER MODIFICATION	5,100		5,100	---
MULTIPLE OBJECT TRACKING FACILITY	2,400		2,400	---
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICERS HOUSING	11,000		9,000	-2,000
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
MISSILE RANGE				
TARGET LAUNCH COMPLEX	1,340	1,340	---	-1,340
TOTAL, KWAJALEIN	21,940	1,340	18,600	-1,340
MARIANA ISLANDS				
AIR FORCE				
SAIPAN				
PACBAR RADAR BARRIER III	5,200	---	5,200	---
TOTAL, MARIANA ISLANDS	5,200		5,200	---
MOROCCO				
AIR FORCE				
VARIOUS LOCATIONS-MOROCCO				
PETROLEUM RESUPPLY SYSTEM	25,400	25,400	--	-25,400
TOTAL, MOROCCO	25,400	25,400	--	-25,400
OMAN				
AIR FORCE				
MASIRAH AB				
SW ASIA-CARETAKER CONTRACTOR SPT FACS	3,500	-	--	-3,500
THUMRAIT AB				
SW ASIA-CARETAKER CONTRACTOR SPT FACS	3,400	---	---	-3,400
TOTAL, OMAN	6,900	---	---	6,900
NETHERLANDS				
AIR FORCE				
CAMP NEW AMSTERDAM				
ADD TO BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	2,450	-2,450	---	-2,450
ADD-ALTER CHAPEL	1,300	-1,300	---	-1,300
CHEM WARFARE-SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	930	---	930	---
COMMUNICATIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	570	---	570	---
BRUNSSUMCENT AB				
GLCM-BASE CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX	3,500	---	3,500	---
GLCM-BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	2,350	---	2,350	---
GLCM-DINING HALL	1,600	-1,600	---	-1,600
GLCM-FIRE STATION	1,000	---	1,000	---
GLCM-HIGH FREQUENCY FACILITY	1,600	---	1,600	---
GLCM-OPERATIONS/MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACS	1,700	---	1,700	---
GLCM-SUBSISTENCE STORAGE FACILITY	1,050	---	1,050	---
GLCM-TELECOMMUNICATIONS CENTER	1,000	---	1,000	---
GLCM-TOWER SUPPORT	350	---	350	---
GLCM-UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	7,300	-1,920	5,380	-1,920
GLCM-UNACCOMP OFFICERS PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,000	---	3,000	---
GLCM-UTILITIES, PAVEMENTS AND ROADS	8,600	---	8,600	---
GLCM-VEHICLE CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	700	---	700	---
GLCM-VEHICLE OPERATIONS COMPLEX	550	---	550	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
CAMP NEW AMSTERDAM				
CLINIC/DENTAL CLINIC	6,000	---	5,000	-1,000
SOESTERBERG				
ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	7,420	---	7,420	---
TOTAL, NETHERLANDS	52,970	-7,270	44,700	-8,270
PANAMA				
ARMY				
FORT KOBBE				
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON EXTENSION	420	-420	---	-420
TOTAL, PANAMA	420	-420	---	-420
PHILIPPINES				
NAVY				
NAVAL AIR STATION CUBI POINT				
BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	5,300	-5,300	5,300	---
NAVAL COMMUNICATION STATION SAN MIGUEL				
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	470	-470	470	---
NAVAL SHIP REPAIR FACILITY SUBIC BAY				
ABRASIVE BLAST FACILITY	1,770	-1,770	1,770	---
NAVAL STATION SUBIC BAY				
CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CAMP	1,710	-1,710	1,710	---
NAVAL SUPPLY DEPOT SUBIC BAY				
DATA PROCESSING CENTER ADDITION	290	-290	290	---
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER SUBIC BAY				
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	2,100	-2,100	2,100	---
AIR FORCE				
CLARK AFB				
AEROSPACE SYS BRANCH/ENGINE DISPATCH FAC	1,050	-1,050	1,050	---
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,850	-1,850	1,850	---
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,150	-3,150	3,150	---
CHILD CARE CENTER	2,000	-2,000	2,000	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
COPE THUNDER OPERATIONS FACILITY	4,650	-4,650	4,650	---
ESSENTIAL MAINTENANCE FACILITIES-PHASE II	4,600	-4,600	4,600	---
FIRE STATION	760	-760	760	---
PARALLEL RUNWAY	32,500	-32,500	---	-32,500
PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,650	-1,650	1,650	---
PORTOMOD WAREHOUSE	460	-460	460	---
UPGRADE POWER PLANT-PHASE IV	8,600	-8,600	8,600	---
TOTAL, PHILIPPINES	72,910	-72,910	40,410	-32,500
PORTUGAL				
AIR FORCE				
LAJES FIELD				
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,750	---	4,750	---
HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM	8,300	-8,300	---	-8,300
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,700	---	2,000	-700
TOTAL, PORTUGAL	15,750	-8,300	6,750	-9,000
PUERTO RICO				
NAVY				
LANT FLT WPNS TRAINING FAC ROOSEVELT ROADS				
LAND ACQUISITION	195	-195	---	-195
RANGE OPERATIONS CENTER ADDITION	4,220	---	4,220	---
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY SABANA SECA				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS IMPROVEMENTS	790	---	790	---
NAVAL STATION ROOSEVELT ROADS				
CONSTRUCTION BATTALION EQUIPMENT MAIN FAC	3,930	---	3,930	---
SECURITY FACILITIES	2,360	---	2,360	---
UNDERWATER DEMOLITION TEAM FACILITIES	790	---	790	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
CAMP SANTIAGO (SALINAS)				
BRIDGE, HIGHWAY	148	---	148	---
RANGE, COMBAT PISTOL	156	---	156	---
RANGE, TANK TABLE VI	190	---	190	---
ARMY RESERVE				
FORT ALLEN				
ARMY RESERVE CENTER MAINT/STORAGE	7,784	---	---	-7,784
TOTAL, PUERTO RICO	20,563	-195	12,584	-7,979
SCOTLAND				
NAVY				
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY EDZELL				
OCEAN SURVEILLANCE BUILDING ADDITIONS	2,250	---	2,250	---
TOTAL, SCOTLAND	2,250	---	2,250	---
SPAIN				
NAVY				
NAVAL STATION ROTA				
POL PIPELINE IMPROVEMENTS	4,600	---	4,600	---
AIR FORCE				
TORREJON AB				
SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL	1,450	-1,450	---	-1,450
ZARAGOZA AB				
2ND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE FAC	540	-540	---	-540
TOTAL, SPAIN	6,590	-1,990	4,600	-1,990
TURKEY				
ARMY				
LOCATION 276				
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	3,700	---	3,700	---
AIR FORCE				
ANKARA				
CHAPEL CENTER	1,550	-1,550	---	-1,550
CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT CENTER	3,050	---	3,050	---
DINING HALL	1,250	---	1,250	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	930	---	930	---
INCIRLIK AB				
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,250	---	2,250	* ---
BASE SUPPLY ADMINISTRATION FACILITY	1,200	---	1,200	---
DINING HALL	2,350	---	2,350	---
MEDICAL CONTINGENCY FACILITY	820	*	---	-820
POST OFFICE	510	-510	---	-510
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	4,800	---	4,800	---
VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS	3,400	-3,400	---	-3,400
WAREHOUSE	1,650	---	1,650	---
PIRINCLIK				
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,000	---	2,000	-1,000
TOTAL, TURKEY	30,460	-5,460	23,180	-7,280
UNITED KINGDOM				
NAVY				
NAVAL ACTIVITIES LONDON				
CONSOLIDATED PERSONNEL SUPPORT FACILITIES	1,180	-1,180	---	-1,180
NAVAL COMMUNICATION STATION THURSO				
PERIMETER SECURITY FENCE	350	---	350	---
AIR FORCE				
MOLESWORTH				
CHEM WARFARE-CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL FAC	1,050	---	1,050	---
CHEM WARFARE-WING COMMAND POST FACILITY	1,050	---	1,050	---
GLCM-BASE CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX	330	---	330	---
RAF ALCONBURY				
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	640	---	640	---
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,450	---	2,450	---
BASE OPERATIONS FACILITY	900	*	900	---
DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY	1,200	---	1,200	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
GLCM-ADD/ALTER PHYSICAL TRAINING FACILITY	2.100	-2.100	---	-2.100
GLCM-BASE THEATER	1.300	-1.300	---	-1.300
GLCM-CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT CENTER	8.800	---	8.800	---
TRI-AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	680	---	680	---
TRI-LIBRARY	1.050	-1.050	---	-1.050
TRI-VISITING AIRMEN QUARTERS	1.300	-1.300	---	-1.300
RAF BENTWATERS				
2ND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE FAC	1.250	---	---	-1.250
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HOUSING	3.700	-3.700	---	-3.700
CENTRAL POST OFFICE	650	-650	---	-650
DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY	1.200	---	1.200	---
RECREATION CENTER	2.250	-2.250	---	-2.250
WATER STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	1.400	---	1.400	---
RAF CHICKSANDS				
ADD-ALTER WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	950	---	950	---
RAF CROUGHTON				
TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	630	---	630	---
RAF FAIRFORD				
ADD-ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1.700	---	---	-1.700
ORG MAINT AND SUPPORT EQUIP COMPLEX	2.750	---	---	-2.750
RAF GREENHAM COMMON				
GLCM-TEMPORARY LIVING FACILITY	2.100	-2.100	---	-2.100
RAF LAKENHEATH				
BASE SUPPLY STORAGE SHED	500	---	500	---
GYMNASIUM	2.500	*	2.500	---
POST OFFICE	1.200	-1.200	---	-1.200
RAF MARTLESHAM				
DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY	1.650	---	1.650	---
RAF MILDENHALL				
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4.700	---	4.700	---
RAF UPPER HEYFORD				
ADD-ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	2.300	-2.300	---	-2.300
DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY	1.200	---	1.200	---
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG ALTERATION	4.500	---	4.500	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	6.000	-6.000	---	-6.000
RAF WELFORD				
GROUND DEFENSE MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS	830	---	830	---
MUNITION SURVEILLANCE AND INSPECTION SHOP	760	---	760	---
RAF WETHERSFIELD				
2ND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE FAC	650	---	---	-650
RAF WOODBRIDGE				
CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	3.400	-3.400	---	-3.400
SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	1.650	-1.650	---	-1.650
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	74.800	-38.180	38.270	-36.530
OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED				
ARMY				
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	4.000	---	4.000	---
NAVY				
MAGAZINES	15.700	---	15.700	---
AIR FORCE				
BASE THIRTY				
TRI-UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2.950	---	2.950	---
US AIR FORCES IN EUROPE				
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	11.500	---	11.500	---
TOTAL, OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED	34.150	---	34.150	---
OVERSEAS VARIOUS				
ARMY				
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
NAVY				
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
HOST NATION INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	300	-300	---	-300
PRE-POSITIONED WAR MATERIAL FACILITIES	4.900	-4.900	---	-4.900
AIR FORCE				
US AIR FORCES IN EUROPE				
AUXILIARY SEISMIC NETWORK	3.953	---	3.953	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
CONFORMING STORAGE FACILITIES	18.137	-18.137	---	-18.137
TOTAL, OVERSEAS VARIOUS	27.290	-23.337	3.953	-23.337
NATO				
NATO INFRASTRUCTURE	247.000	---	247.000	---
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED				
ARMY				
PLANNING AND DESIGN	131.640	-200	131.440	-200
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	20.000	---	20.000	---
GENERAL REDUCTION - PROJECTS IN GERMANY	---	---	-10.000	-10.000
NAVY				
ACCESS ROADS	5.400	---	5.400	---
PLANNING AND DESIGN	143.770	---	143.770	---
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	15.000	---	15.000	---
AIR FORCE				
PLANNING AND DESIGN	127.260	---	127.260	---
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	16.000	---	16.000	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES				
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	5.000	---	5.000	---
PLANNING AND DESIGN	110.700	-32.500	78.200	-32.500
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4.000	---	4.000	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
PLANNING AND DESIGN	19.000	---	19.000	---
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4.647	---	4.647	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
PLANNING AND DESIGN	15.420	---	15.420	---
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	2.130	---	2.130	---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
ARMY RESERVE				
PLANNING AND DESIGN	11.300	---	11.300	---
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	1.100	---	1.100	---
NAVY RESERVE				
PLANNING AND DESIGN	5.300	---	5.300	---
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	1.500	---	1.500	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE				
PLANNING AND DESIGN	6.560	---	6.560	---
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	2.580	---	2.580	---
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE VARIOUS	648.307	-22.700	605.607	-42.700
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY				
ALASKA				
FORT WAINWRIGHT	33.000	-33.000	---	-33.000
CALIFORNIA				
FORT IRWIN	730	---	730	---
FORT ORD	34.000	---	30.500	-3.500
LOUISIANA				
FORT POLK	37.000	---	33.900	-3.100
MARYLAND				
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND	9.700	---	9.100	-600
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND	1.100	---	1.100	---
NEW YORK				
FORT DRUM	91.000	---	86.500	-4.500
SENECA ARMY DEPOT	2.900	---	2.650	-250
GERMANY				
CRAILSHEIN	4.100	---	3.200	-900
DARMSTADT	3.150	---	2.500	-650
ERLANGEN	9.400	---	7.750	-1.650
HERZO BASE	3.300	---	2.600	-700
MAINZ	1.850	-1.850	---	-1.850
SCHWEINFURT	8.400	---	6.800	-1.600
VILSECK	21.000	---	17.800	-3.200
WILDFLECKEN	2.050	---	1.550	-500
ITALY				
LIVORNO	7.700	-7.700	---	-7.700
KWAJALEIN				
KWAJALEIN	23.000	---	16.000	-7.000
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	146.000	-70	125.000	-21.000
PLANNING	24.000	---	20.000	-4.000
OPERATING EXPENSES				
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	72.686	---	69.000	-3.686
SERVICES ACCOUNT	44.719	---	44.719	---
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	120.815	---	110.000	-10.815
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	650	---	650	---
SUBTOTAL	238.870	---	224.369	-14.501
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	268.596	-9.266	259.330	-9.266
LEASING	173.885	---	144.549	-29.336
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	587.852	-18.000	570.000	-17.852
INTEREST PAYMENTS	347	---	347	---
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	70	---	70	---
SUBTOTAL, NET BUDGET AUTHORITY	1.269.420	-27.266	1.198.665	-70.955
PLUS APPROPRIATION FOR DEBT REDUCTION	7.646	---	7.646	---
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1.740.646	-69.886	1.573.991	-166.655
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY				
CALIFORNIA				
MARCOR AIR-GRND COMB CTR TWENTYNINE PALMS	33.900	---	31.400	-2.500
MARCOR AIR-GRND COMB CTR TWENTYNINE PALMS	1.400	---	1.400	---
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION EL TORO	---	+2.300	---	---
MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP PENDLETON	1.800	---	1.800	---
NAS MOFFETT FIELD	11.600	---	10.400	-1.200
NAVAL STATION LONG BEACH	22.900	---	21.000	-1.900
PUBLIC WORKS CENTER SAN FRANCISCO	26.450	---	24.450	-2.000
NEW YORK				
NAVAL STATION NEW YORK	28.330	-28.330	---	-28.330
NORTH CAROLINA				
MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP LEJEUNE	930	---	930	---
VIRGINIA				
MARCOR DEV EDUC COM QUANTICO	793	---	790	---
ICELAND				
NAVAL STATION KEFLAVIK	48.642	-20.000	28.642	-20.000
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	51.580	---	48.580	-3.000
PLANNING	2.000	---	2.000	---
OPERATING EXPENSES				
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	44.730	---	42.730	-2.000
SERVICES ACCOUNT	31.553	---	29.847	-1.706
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	14.124	---	12.124	-2.000
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	437	---	400	-37
SUBTOTAL	90.844	---	85.101	-5.743
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	172.071	-2.400	168.071	-4.000
LEASING	22.459	---	18.859	-3.600

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	NASC AUTH COMPARED TO BUDGET	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	HOUSE COMPARED TO BUDGET
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	268,146	-4,300	260,000	-8,146
INTEREST PAYMENTS	268	---	268	---
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	190	---	190	---
SUBTOTAL, NET BUDGET AUTHORITY	553,978	-4,700	532,489	-21,489
PLUS APPROPRIATION FOR DEBT REDUCTION	8,613	---	8,613	---
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY	792,913	-50,730	712,494	-80,419
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE				
ARIZONA				
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB	300	---	300	---
CALIFORNIA				
BEALE AFB	180	---	180	---
EDWARDS AFB	376	---	376	---
COLORADO				
LA JUNTA	4,000	---	3,400	-600
NEW JERSEY				
MCGUIRE AFB	325	---	325	---
NORTH CAROLINA				
POPE AFB	300	---	300	---
GERMANY				
BITBURG AB	26,415	---	24,015	-2,400
HAHN AB	11,300	---	10,300	-1,000
KOREA				
OSAN AB	160	-160	---	-160
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	58,644	---	50,644	-8,000
PLANNING	7,000	---	7,000	---
OPERATING EXPENSES				
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	29,969	---	27,969	-2,000
SERVICES ACCOUNT	23,992	---	23,592	-400
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	48,872	---	41,872	-7,000
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	6,462	---	4,462	-2,000
SUBTOTAL	109,295	---	97,895	-11,400
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	247,289	-11,969	235,320	-11,969
LEASING	79,776	---	70,000	-9,776
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	307,684	---	300,000	-7,684
INTEREST PAYMENTS	206	---	206	---
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	250	---	250	---
SUBTOTAL, NET BUDGET AUTHORITY	853,500	-12,129	800,511	-52,989
PLUS APPROPRIATION FOR DEBT REDUCTION	6,909	---	6,909	---
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	860,409	-12,129	807,420	-52,989
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE AGENCIES				
NSA CLASSIFIED PROJECT	270	---	240	-30
OPERATING EXPENSES				
SERVICES ACCOUNT	6	---	6	---
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	197	---	197	---
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	2,013	---	1,413	-600
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	578	---	578	---
LEASING	14,027	---	13,527	-500
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	509	---	509	---
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE AGENCIES	17,600	---	16,470	-1,130
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND				
OPERATING EXPENSES				
ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY	2,300	---	---	-2,300
MORTGAGES ASSUMED	2,000	---	---	-2,000
OTHER OPERATING COSTS	1,550	---	---	-1,550
PAYMENT TO HOMEOWNERS	150	---	---	-150
TOTAL, HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND	6,000	---	---	-6,000

* INDICATES PREVIOUSLY OR PERMANENTLY AUTHORIZED

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 1987

Agency and item	New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1986	Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1987	New budget (obligational) authority recommended in bill	Bill compared with—	
				New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1986	Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1987
Military construction, Army	1,524,436,000	1,695,200,000	1,200,070,000	-324,366,000	-495,130,000
Advance appropriation, 1988	---	221,000,000	221,000,000	+221,000,000	---
Advance appropriation, 1989	---	162,000,000	214,000,000	+214,000,000	+52,000,000
Military construction, Navy	1,621,807,000	1,814,100,000	1,364,090,000	-257,717,000	-450,010,000
Military construction, Air Force	1,501,727,000	1,773,200,000	1,228,630,000	-353,097,000	-544,570,000
Military construction, Defense agencies	172,488,000	762,100,000	541,563,000	+369,075,000	-220,537,000
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure	9,510,000	247,000,000	247,000,000	+237,490,000	---
Military construction, Army National Guard	97,197,000	121,100,000	115,724,000	+18,527,000	-5,376,000
Military construction, Air National Guard	115,309,000	140,000,000	140,000,000	+24,691,000	---
Military construction, Army Reserve	58,340,000	86,700,000	76,417,000	+18,077,000	-10,283,000
Military construction, Naval Reserve	39,752,000	44,500,000	44,500,000	+4,748,000	---
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	59,942,000	58,900,000	58,900,000	-1,042,000	---
Total, military construction	5,280,508,000	7,125,800,000	5,451,894,000	+171,386,000	-1,673,906,000
Appropriations, 1987	(5,280,508,000)	(6,742,800,000)	(5,016,894,000)	(-263,614,000)	(-1,725,906,000)
Advance appropriation, 1988	---	(221,000,000)	(221,000,000)	(+221,000,000)	---
Advance appropriation, 1989	---	(162,000,000)	(214,000,000)	(+214,000,000)	(+52,000,000)
Family housing, Army	1,375,089,000	1,740,646,000	1,573,991,000	+198,902,000	-166,655,000
Portion applied to debt reduction	-15,064,000	-7,646,000	-7,646,000	+7,418,000	---
Subtotal, family housing, Army	1,360,025,000	1,733,000,000	1,566,345,000	+206,320,000	-166,655,000
Family housing, Navy	648,788,000	792,913,000	712,494,000	+63,706,000	-80,419,000
Portion applied to debt reduction	-16,239,000	-8,613,000	-8,613,000	+7,626,000	---
Subtotal, family housing, Navy	632,549,000	784,300,000	703,881,000	+71,332,000	-80,419,000

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Family housing, Air Force	806,926,000	860,409,000	807,420,000	+494,000	-52,989,000
Portion applied to debt reduction	-14,298,000	-6,909,000	-6,909,000	+7,389,000	---
Subtotal, family housing, Air Force	792,628,000	853,500,000	800,511,000	+7,883,000	-52,989,000
Family housing, Defense agencies	15,755,000	17,600,000	16,470,000	+715,000	-1,130,000
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense	---	6,000,000	---	---	-6,000,000
Total family housing (budget authority)	2,846,558,000	3,417,568,000	3,110,375,000	+263,817,000	-307,193,000
Total portion applied to debt reduction	-45,601,000	-23,168,000	-23,168,000	+22,433,000	---
Total, family housing	2,800,957,000	3,394,400,000	3,087,207,000	+286,250,000	-307,193,000
Grand total, new budget (obligational) authority	8,081,465,000	10,520,200,000	8,539,101,000	+457,636,000	-1,981,099,000
Appropriations, 1987	(8,081,465,000)	(10,137,200,000)	(8,104,101,000)	(+22,636,000)	(-2,033,099,000)
Advance appropriation, 1988	---	(221,000,000)	(221,000,000)	(+221,000,000)	---
Advance appropriation, 1989	---	(162,000,000)	(214,000,000)	(+214,000,000)	(+52,000,000)

BEST COPY AVAILABLE